



# EFFECT OF GROWTH REGULATOR AND BIOCONTROL AGENT ON GROWTH, BULB YIELD, YIELD CONTRIBUTING TRAITS AND ECONOMICS OF ONION (*ALLIUM CEPA* L.) cv. AGRIFOUND LIGHT RED

Jagati Yadagiri and Prashant Kumar Gupta

Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Rajmata Vijayaraje Scindia Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Gwalior (Madhya Pradesh), India.

## Abstract

A field experiment was conducted at Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Rajmata Vijayaraje Scindia Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Gwalior, (M.P.), India; during *Rabi* 2014-15 and 2015-16 to study the impact of gibberellic acid and *Trichoderma viride* on growth, yield and economics of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) cv. Agrifound Light Red. Foliar application of GA<sub>3</sub> 100 mg and soil treatment of *T. viride* (10kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) (T<sub>II</sub>) was observed significantly maximum, (66.60 cm) plant height (17.82) number of leaves plant<sup>-1</sup>, (61.17 cm) length of leaf, (1.12 cm) width of leaf, (0.09%) bolting percentage, (1.48cm) neck thickness of the bulb, (612.72cm<sup>2</sup>) leaf area plant<sup>-1</sup>, (4.09) leaf area index and (1.74) bulb green top ratio over to control (T<sub>I</sub> i.e., GA<sub>3</sub> 0 mg + *T. viride* 0). Significantly maximum (43.31g) fresh weight of bulb, (28.10g) dry weight of per 100g bulb, (5.33 cm) diameter of bulb, (6.01 cm) length of bulb and (326.76 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) bulb yield were recorded in the treatment T<sub>II</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 100 mg and soil treatment of *T. viride* (10kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)). Results on pooled data revealed that the 326.76 q bulb yield hectare<sup>-1</sup> was recorded significantly maximum under the treatment T<sub>II</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 100 ppm + *T. viride* 10kg ha<sup>-1</sup> soil treatment) along with net return of Rs. 2,66,592 ha<sup>-1</sup> and cost benefit ratio 1: 5.43.

**Key words :** Onion, gibberellic acid, *Trichoderma viride* and economics.

## Introduction

Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) belongs to the family Alliaceae is one of the most important bulbous vegetable crop grown all over the world. Onion is the oriented crop earning valuable foreign exchange for the country. It is the second after tomato in their importance as a vegetable in the tropics. The demand for onion is worldwide. Onions are found in most marketable of the world thought out the year and can be grown under wide range of agro-climate condition. Irrespective of pries, the demand for remain almost constant in the market as it is primarily, used as seasoning for a wide variety of dishes in many homes almost. It is an indispensable item in every kitchen and used to enhance flavor of different recipes. Nutritive value of onion varies variety to variety, small size onion is more nutritive then big size, its major value is in flavor. Onion ranks medium in caloric low in protein and very low in Vitamins.

The area of onion in Madhya Pradesh is 117.3 thousand hectare, total production is 2826.0 thousand metric tonnes and productivity is about 24.1 metric tonnes per hectare during 2013-14 (Anonymous, 2014). Onion accounts for 70 per cent of our total foreign exchange earnings from the export of fresh vegetables. Government of India has declared onion as an essential commodity. Looking to its importance for domestic consumption as well as export greater attention is needed for its improvement. Onion has recently received considerable attention for its high medicinal value. The application of plant growth regulators give miraculous changes in the performance of optimum dose of nitrogen without compromising with quality & yield aspect of bulb. Gibberellins are natural constituents of plants and are known to participate in the endogenous control of growth activities and a variety of developmental activities. Dormancy, seed germination, stem elongation, flowering, sex expression, and responses to photoperiod and

vernalization are some important role of gibberellins in plant. Presently, over 125 different types of gibberellins have been discovered. The most commonly occurring gibberellins is GA<sub>3</sub>, which is also called as gibberellic acid.

Trichoderma-based preparations are marketed worldwide and used for crop protection of various plant pathogens or increase the plant growth and productivity in diverse cultivated environments; bulb treatment together with foliar application of *Trichoderma viride* improves the yield as well as yield related parameters such as, basal diameter, circumference of bulb. The vital importance to manage the fungal disease in red onion effectively by using plant doctor fungi *Trichoderma viride* or other species without the use of dangerous synthetic fungicides. In case of *T. viride* as biocontrol agent, it worked well under greenhouse conditions but these results are required to be confirmed by on-field study. Amongst the different fungi *Trichoderma* spp. has been reported to have greatest impact on the pathogens (McLean and Stewart, 2000). Therefore, the present experiment was undertaken to find out the effects of GA<sub>3</sub> and *Trichoderma viride* on the growth, yield and economics of onion to optimum dose and maximum efficiency dose for achieving highest yield.

### Materials and Methods

A field experiment was conducted at Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Rajmata Vijayaraja Scindia Krishi Vishwa Vidyalyaya, Gwalior, (M.P.), India; during Rabi 2014-15 and 2015-16 and data presented in two year mean for this manuscript. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Complete Block Design with sixteen treatments and three replications. Ten kg seeds (7.5 kg seeds untreated + 2.5 kg seeds treated with 10g *T. viride*) of onion cv. Agrifound Light Red was sown on the nursery beds on September 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2014 and September 5<sup>th</sup> 2015. Healthy seedlings were transplanted in the field during October 17<sup>th</sup>, 2014 and October 19<sup>th</sup>, 2015 with row to row 15 cm and plant to plant 10.0 cm space. A recommended dose of 100kg N, 60kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and 80kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> along with 20 tonnes FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> was applied in the form of urea, single super phosphate and murate of potash respectively. One third nitrogen and entire quantity of P, K and FYM was applied prior to sowing. Remaining dose of nitrogen was applied in two splits at 25 and 50 days after transplanting. The crop was sprayed with Trizophos 0.1% and Propenophos 0.2%, to keep the crop free from pest during crop growth period. All the recommended package of practices was followed to raise healthy crop.

The treatment combinations involving four levels of GA<sub>3</sub> viz. 0, 50, 100 and 150 ppm (foliar spray was done after 30 DAT) and four methods of application of *Trichoderma viride* i.e. control (without treatment), seed treatment (4g kg<sup>-1</sup> seed), soil treatment (10kg ha<sup>-1</sup> before transplanting) and seedling treatment (10g lit.<sup>-1</sup>) were applied at the time of transplanting (by root dipping). Distilled water was spray in control plots. Five plants were randomly selected from each treatment and observations regarding morphological parameter (i.e. plant height (cm), number of leaves plant<sup>-1</sup>, length of leaf (cm), width of leaf (cm), bolting percentage, neck thickness of bulb (cm), leaf area plant<sup>-1</sup> (cm<sup>2</sup>), leaf area index and bulb green top ratio and yield characters viz., fresh weight and dry weight of bulb, diameter of bulb (cm), length of bulb (cm) and bulb yield hectare<sup>-1</sup> (q) were recorded and also estimate the economics of the treatments. Collected data were analyzed statistically using SPAR 2.0 computer programme. The significance of difference between pair of means was tested by the Critical differences (CD) test at 5% level of probability (Panse and Sukhatme, 1985).

### Results and Discussion

The result demonstrated that treatment combinations of GA<sub>3</sub> and *Trichoderma viride* had significant influence on all the pre farvest and yield parameters of onion cv. Agrifound Light Red. The plant height, number of leaves plant<sup>-1</sup>, length of leaf (cm), width of leaf (cm), bolting percentage, neck thickness of bulb (cm), leaf area plant<sup>-1</sup> (cm<sup>2</sup>), leaf area index and bulb green top ratio linearly increased up to the maximum vegetative growth stage (120 DAT) and thereafter decreased possibly due to the senescence and drying up of the tips of the leaves. The highest plant height (66.60 cm) were obtained from T<sub>11</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 100 mg + *T. viride* soil treatment 10kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) followed by T<sub>12</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 100 ppm + *T. viride* seedling dipping 10g lit<sup>-1</sup>) (65.27cm) at 120 DAT, while the lowest plant height (55.13 cm) were recorded with the control (T<sub>1</sub>) (table 1). Results obtained were in agreement with the findings of Islam *et al.* (2007), Patel *et al.* (2010), Islam *et al.* (2013), Nagwa *et al.* (2013) and Govind *et al.* (2015) for GA<sub>3</sub> treatment, Lalitha *et al.* (2012) for use of *T. viride*.

The maximum number of leaves plant<sup>-1</sup> (17.82) were obtained from T<sub>11</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 100 mg + *T. viride* soil treatment 10kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) followed by T<sub>12</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 100 ppm + *T. viride* seedling dipping 10g lit<sup>-1</sup>) (17.40) at 120DAT, while the lowest number of leaves plant<sup>-1</sup> (10.95) were recorded with the control (T<sub>1</sub>) (table 1). Similar results have been reported by Islam *et al.* (2007), Patel *et al.* (2010), Sisodia and Nagaich (2011), Islam *et al.* (2013), Nagwa *et al.*

(2013) and Govind *et al.* (2015) for GA<sub>3</sub> treatment, Lalitha *et al.* (2012) for use of *T. viride*.

The length of leaf was significantly increased by the different treatments. The treatment T<sub>11</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 100 mg + *T. viride* soil treatment 10kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was found significantly superior as compared to other treatments and which was recorded maximum (61.17 cm) length of leaf followed by T<sub>12</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 100 ppm + *T. viride* seedling dipping 10g lit<sup>-1</sup>) (59.97 cm), T<sub>10</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 100 ppm + *T. viride* seed treatment 4g kg<sup>-1</sup>) (59.30 cm) and T<sub>9</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 100 ppm + *T. viride* without treatment) (58.67 cm) at 120DAT, and which were at par with each other. While, it was recorded lowest (53.20 cm) in treatment T<sub>1</sub> (control) (table 1). These findings are in agreement with the findings of Islam *et al.* (2007), Patel *et al.* (2010) and Nagwa *et al.* (2013) for GA<sub>3</sub> treatment, Lalitha *et al.* (2012) for use of *T. viride*.

It is obvious from the table 1 that the treatment T<sub>11</sub> was found significantly superior as compared to other treatments and which was recorded maximum 1.12 cm width of leaf followed by T<sub>12</sub> (1.09 cm) and T<sub>10</sub> (1.04 cm) at 120 DAT as compared to other treatments, while, it was recorded minimum 0.61 cm in treatment T<sub>1</sub>. These findings are in agreement with the findings of Islam *et al.* (2007) and Nagwa *et al.* (2013) for GA<sub>3</sub> treatments, Lalitha *et al.* (2012) for *T. viride* treatments.

The bolting percentage (at flowering stage) was significantly influenced by different treatments. Significantly lowest (0.09%) bolting percentage was recorded in treatment T<sub>11</sub> followed by T<sub>12</sub> (0.21%), T<sub>10</sub> (0.31%) and T<sub>9</sub> (0.40%) and which were at par with each other. While, it was noted maximum (6.73%) bolting percentage in treatment T<sub>1</sub> (table 1). Similar results have been reported by Prajapati *et al.* (2016) for GA<sub>3</sub> treatments.

The neck thickness of the bulb was significantly influenced by various treatments of levels of gibberelic acid and methods of application of *Trichoderma viride*. The significantly minimum (0.92 cm) neck thickness of the bulb was recorded in treatment control (T<sub>1</sub> *i.e.* GA<sub>3</sub> 0 ppm + *T. viride* without treatment), while, the highest (1.48 cm) neck thickness of the bulb was recorded with treatment T<sub>11</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 100 mg + *T. viride* soil treatment 10kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) (table 1). These findings are in agreement with the findings of Islam *et al.* (2007) and Sisodia and Nagaich (2011) for GA<sub>3</sub> treatment. The highest plant height, number of leaves plant<sup>-1</sup>, length of leaf width of leaf, bolting percentage and neck thickness of bulb linearly increased up to the maximum vegetative growth stage might be due to the rapid increment and expansion of

plant cells for proper plant growth by the increased concentrations of GA<sub>3</sub> and the use of *Trichoderma viride* as bio-control agents induced the accumulation of some enzymes such as chitinase, peroxidase and polyphenol oxidase, which play an important role in plant defense mechanisms against pathogens infection and cleared that the enzymatic activity in treated plants increased than in untreated one which stimulated the plant growth.

The treatment T<sub>11</sub> was recorded significantly maximum (612.72 cm<sup>2</sup>) leaf area plant<sup>-1</sup> followed by T<sub>12</sub> (565.68 cm<sup>2</sup>) and T<sub>10</sub> (522.55 cm<sup>2</sup>) as compared to other treatments at 120 DAT. While, it was recorded minimum (78.48 cm<sup>2</sup>) in treatment T<sub>1</sub> at 120 DAT (table 1). These findings are in agreement with the findings of Abdel (2007) and Sainath *et al.* (2012) for GA<sub>3</sub> treatments, Chauhan *et al.* (2010) and Azarmi *et al.* (2011) for *T. viride* treatments.

It revealed from the results that the leaf area index was significantly influenced by the different treatments. Significantly maximum (4.09) leaf area index was recorded in treatment T<sub>11</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 100 mg + soil treatment 10kg ha<sup>-1</sup> before transplanting) followed by T<sub>12</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 100 ppm + *T. viride* seedling dipping 10g lit<sup>-1</sup>) (3.77) and T<sub>10</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 100 ppm + *T. viride* seed treatment 4g kg<sup>-1</sup>) (3.49) as compared to other treatments at 120 DAT. However, minimum (1.19) leaf area index was recorded in treatment T<sub>1</sub> (control *i.e.* GA<sub>3</sub> 0 mg + *Trichoderma viride* 0 without treatment) at 120 DAT (table 1). Results are in agreement with the finding reported by Abdel (2007) for GA<sub>3</sub> treatments and Shadap *et al.* (2015) for *T. viride* treatments.

It is obvious from results that the bulb and green top ratio was significantly influenced by the different treatments. Significantly maximum (1.74) bulb and green top ratio was recorded in treatment T<sub>11</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 100 mg + soil treatment 10kg ha<sup>-1</sup> before transplanting), which was at par with T<sub>12</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 100 ppm + *T. viride* seedling dipping 10g lit<sup>-1</sup>) (1.68), T<sub>10</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 100 ppm + *T. viride* seed treatment 4g kg<sup>-1</sup>) (1.63), T<sub>9</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 100 ppm + *T. viride* without treatment) (1.58) and T<sub>15</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 150 mg+ soil treatment 10kg ha<sup>-1</sup> before transplanting) (1.55). However, minimum (1.15) bulb and green top ratio were recorded in treatment T<sub>1</sub> (control *i.e.* GA<sub>3</sub> 0 mg + *Trichoderma viride* 0 without treatment) (table 1). These findings are in agreement with the findings of Le Guen Le Saos *et al.* (2002) and Kandil *et al.* (2013) for GA<sub>3</sub> treatments.

Growth analytical parameters such as leaf area plant<sup>-1</sup>, leaf area index and bulb /green top ratio as influenced by the application of different levels of gibberellic acid and

Table 1 : Effect of foliar sprays of gibberellic acid and methods of application of *T. viride* on pre harvest parameters.

Treat. Symb.	Treatment	Plant height (cm) at 120 DAT	No. of leaves plant <sup>-1</sup> at 120 DAT	Length of leaf (cm) at 120 DAT	Width of leaf (cm) at 120 DAT	Bolting (%)	Neck thickness of bulb (cm)	Leaf area plant <sup>-1</sup> (cm <sup>2</sup> ) at 120 DAT	Leaf area index at 120 DAT	Bulb/green top ratio
T <sub>1</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 0 mg+T <sub>0</sub>	55.13	10.95	53.20	0.61	6.73	0.92	178.48	1.19	1.15
T <sub>2</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 0 mg+T <sub>1</sub>	55.77	11.33	53.73	0.64	4.61	0.99	195.36	1.31	1.20
T <sub>3</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 0 mg+T <sub>2</sub>	56.98	12.09	54.50	0.71	2.61	1.09	236.29	1.58	1.24
T <sub>4</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 0 mg+T <sub>3</sub>	56.33	11.70	54.15	0.67	3.91	1.04	214.53	1.43	1.22
T <sub>5</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 50 mg+T <sub>0</sub>	57.63	12.47	54.85	0.74	2.42	1.12	254.21	1.70	1.28
T <sub>6</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 50 mg+T <sub>1</sub>	58.23	12.86	55.27	0.77	2.00	1.16	275.85	1.84	1.31
T <sub>7</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 50 mg+T <sub>2</sub>	59.50	13.93	56.23	0.84	0.95	1.23	333.24	2.22	1.39
T <sub>8</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 50 mg+T <sub>3</sub>	58.83	13.45	55.78	0.81	1.20	1.19	305.22	2.04	1.35
T <sub>9</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 100 mg+T <sub>0</sub>	63.52	16.42	58.67	0.99	0.40	1.37	479.23	3.20	1.58
T <sub>10</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 100 mg+T <sub>1</sub>	64.43	16.95	59.30	1.04	0.31	1.41	522.55	3.49	1.63
T <sub>11</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 100 mg+T <sub>2</sub>	66.60	17.82	61.17	1.12	0.09	1.48	612.72	4.09	1.74
T <sub>12</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 100 mg+T <sub>3</sub>	65.27	17.40	59.97	1.09	0.21	1.45	565.68	3.77	1.68
T <sub>13</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 150 mg+T <sub>0</sub>	60.03	14.53	56.75	0.87	0.80	1.27	362.19	2.42	1.43
T <sub>14</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 150 mg+T <sub>1</sub>	60.58	14.92	57.25	0.90	0.67	1.29	388.16	2.59	1.48
T <sub>15</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 150 mg+T <sub>2</sub>	62.02	15.90	58.23	0.95	0.52	1.34	442.49	2.96	1.55
T <sub>16</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 150 mg+T <sub>3</sub>	61.32	15.40	57.72	0.93	0.58	1.32	414.41	2.76	1.51
	SEM±	0.34	0.12	0.90	0.006	0.16	0.009	7.85	0.05	0.06
	CD5%	0.97	0.36	2.61	0.02	0.48	0.03	22.68	0.15	0.19

methods of application of *Trichoderma viride*. The increasing trends in growth analytical parameters under spraying with gibberellic acid may be due to the role of gibberellic acid on enhancing cell division activity, increasing of proline accumulation of plant and increasing of endogenous phytohormones *i.e.* increasing promotion hormones (GA<sub>3</sub> and cytokinins) and reducing ABA content which found that bio-regulators make a shift in hormonal balance characterized by increasing in endogenous phytohormone in plant. The use of *Trichoderma viride* as bio-control agents which play an important role in plant defense mechanisms against pathogens infection and cleared that the enzymatic activity in treated plants increased than in untreated one which stimulated the plant growth as well as growth analytical parameters.

Fresh weight of bulb plant<sup>-1</sup> was significantly influenced by the different treatments. Significantly maximum (43.31 g) fresh weight of bulb plant<sup>-1</sup> was recorded in treatment T<sub>11</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 100 mg + soil treatment 10kg ha<sup>-1</sup> before transplanting) which was at par with T<sub>12</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 100 ppm + *T. viride* seedling dipping 10g lit<sup>-1</sup>) (41.43 g). However, minimum (26.01 g) fresh weight of bulb plant<sup>-1</sup> was recorded in treatment T<sub>1</sub> (control *i.e.* GA<sub>3</sub> 0 mg + *Trichoderma viride* 0 without treatment) (table 2). These findings are in agreement with the findings of Le Guen Le Saos *et al.* (2002), Islam *et al.* (2007), Patel *et al.* (2010), Sisodia and Nagaich (2011), Govind *et al.* (2015) and Prajapati *et al.* (2016) for GA<sub>3</sub> treatments, Chauhan *et al.* (2010) and Azarmi *et al.* (2011) for *T. viride* treatments.

The data revealed that maximum dry weight of bulb per 100 g fresh weight (28.10 g) was found in treatment T<sub>11</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 100 mg + soil treatment 10kg ha<sup>-1</sup> before transplanting) followed by T<sub>12</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 100 ppm + *T. viride* seedling dipping 10g lit<sup>-1</sup>) (26.93 g) and T<sub>10</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 100 ppm + *T. viride* seed treatment 4g kg<sup>-1</sup>) (25.83 g) as compared to other treatments. While, it was noted minimum (8.92 g) in T<sub>1</sub> (control *i.e.* GA<sub>3</sub> 0 mg + *Trichoderma viride* 0 without treatment) (table 2). Results are in agreement with the finding reported by

Table 2 : Effect of foliar sprays of gibberellic acid and methods of application of *T. viride* on yield parameters and economics.

Treat. Symb.	Treatment	Fresh weight of bulb (g)	Dry weight of bulb (g)	Diameter of bulb (cm)	Length of bulb (cm)	Bulb yield hectare <sup>-1</sup> (q)	Gross income (Rs/ha)*	Expenditure (Rs/ha)	Net income (Rs/ha)	B: C ratio
T <sub>1</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 0 mg+T <sub>0</sub>	26.01	8.92	3.60	3.97	193.70	193700	54208	139492	3.57
T <sub>2</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 0 mg+T <sub>1</sub>	29.09	9.91	3.95	4.16	212.52	212520	54216	158304	3.92
T <sub>3</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 0 mg+T <sub>2</sub>	33.03	13.20	4.65	4.41	242.83	242830	56208	186622	4.32
T <sub>4</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 0 mg+T <sub>3</sub>	31.06	11.30	4.32	4.30	227.26	227260	54308	172952	4.18
T <sub>5</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 50 mg+T <sub>0</sub>	35.04	15.27	4.81	4.78	260.08	260080	56188	203892	4.63
T <sub>6</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 50 mg+T <sub>1</sub>	35.70	16.57	4.86	4.91	268.68	268680	56196	212484	4.78
T <sub>7</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 50 mg+T <sub>2</sub>	36.17	19.20	4.94	5.17	275.98	275980	58188	217792	4.74
T <sub>8</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 50 mg+T <sub>3</sub>	36.03	17.75	4.88	5.04	274.44	274440	56288	218152	4.88
T <sub>9</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 100 mg+T <sub>0</sub>	39.39	24.73	5.19	5.54	299.06	299060	58168	240892	5.14
T <sub>10</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 100 mg+T <sub>1</sub>	40.28	25.83	5.23	5.57	303.99	303990	58176	245814	5.23
T <sub>11</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 100 mg+T <sub>2</sub>	43.31	28.10	5.33	6.01	326.76	326760	60168	266592	5.43
T <sub>12</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 100 mg+T <sub>3</sub>	41.43	26.93	5.28	5.60	311.29	311290	58268	253022	5.34
T <sub>13</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 150 mg+T <sub>0</sub>	36.61	20.40	4.99	5.29	277.53	277530	60148	217382	4.61
T <sub>14</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 150 mg+T <sub>1</sub>	37.27	21.15	5.05	5.38	282.23	282230	60156	222074	4.69
T <sub>15</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 150 mg+T <sub>2</sub>	38.60	23.55	5.16	5.50	293.98	293980	62148	231832	4.73
T <sub>16</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 150 mg+T <sub>3</sub>	38.04	22.40	5.11	5.43	288.70	288700	60248	228452	4.79
	SEm±	0.85	0.38	0.05	0.26	7.98	-	-	-	-
	CD5%	2.48	1.11	0.15	0.76	23.06	-	-	-	-

Sisodia and Nagaich (2011) and Govind *et al.* (2015) for GA<sub>3</sub> treatments, Chauhan *et al.* (2010) and Azarmi *et al.* (2011) for *T. viride* treatments.

The highest fresh weight and dry weight of bulb plant<sup>-1</sup> might be due to the rapid increment and expansion of plant cells for proper plant growth by the increased concentrations of GA<sub>3</sub>, and the use of *Trichoderma viride* as bio-control agents induced the accumulation of some enzymes such as chitinase, peroxidase and polyphenol oxidase which play an important role in plant defense mechanisms against pathogens infection and indicated that the enzymatic activity in treated plants increased than in untreated one which stimulated the plant growth which ultimately fresh weight of bulb plant<sup>-1</sup>.

It is obvious from table 2 that the significantly maximum (5.33 cm) diameter of bulb was recorded in treatment T<sub>11</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 100 mg + *T. viride* soil treatment 10kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) which was at par with T<sub>12</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 100 ppm + *T. viride* seedling dipping 10g lit<sup>-1</sup>) (5.28 cm), T<sub>10</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 100 ppm + *T. viride* seed treatment 4g kg<sup>-1</sup>) (5.23 cm) and T<sub>9</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 100 ppm + *T. viride* without treatment) (5.19 cm). However, minimum (3.60 cm) diameter of bulb was recorded in treatment T<sub>1</sub> (control). Results are in agreement with the finding reported by Islam *et al.* (2007), Patel *et al.* (2010) and Sisodia and Nagaich (2011) for GA<sub>3</sub> treatment, Gupta and Gupta (2013) and Naguleswaran *et al.* (2014) for use of *T. viride*.

Treatment T<sub>11</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 100 mg + *T. viride* soil treatment 10kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was observed maximum (6.01 cm) length of bulb followed by T<sub>12</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 100 ppm + *T. viride* seedling dipping 10g lit<sup>-1</sup>) (5.60 cm) and T<sub>10</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 100 ppm + *T. viride* seed treatment 4g kg<sup>-1</sup>) (5.57 cm), while, it was noted minimum (3.97 cm) in treatment T<sub>1</sub> (control). The treatment T<sub>11</sub>, T<sub>12</sub>, T<sub>10</sub>, T<sub>9</sub>, T<sub>15</sub>, T<sub>16</sub>, T<sub>14</sub> and T<sub>13</sub> were found statistically at par (table 2). These findings are in agreement with the findings of Islam *et al.* (2007) and Patel *et al.* (2010) for GA<sub>3</sub> treatment, Gupta and Gupta (2013) and Naguleswaran *et al.* (2014) for use of *T. viride*.

It was apparent from the results (table 2)

that the treatments  $T_{11}$  ( $GA_3$  100 mg + *T. viride* soil treatment 10kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) recorded significantly maximum (326.76 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) bulb yield, which was statistically at par with  $T_{12}$  (311.29 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and  $T_{10}$  (303.99 q ha<sup>-1</sup>), while, bulb yield hectare<sup>-1</sup> was observed minimum (193.70 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) in the treatment  $T_1$  (control). Likewise, maximum bulb diameter, bulb length and bulb yield showed upward trend with the increase in  $GA_3$  concentrations, which could be due to the rapid cell division and elongation leading to longer bulb formation. The findings of the present study revealed that judicious use of fertilizers, organic manures, and chemical pesticides supplemented with biopesticide are effective to increase yield as well as quality of the onion bulb. These findings are in agreement with the findings of Islam *et al.* (2007), Patel *et al.* (2010), Sisodia and Nagaich (2011), Islam *et al.* (2013), Nagwa *et al.* (2013) and Govind *et al.* (2015) for  $GA_3$  treatment, Gupta and Gupta (2013) and Naguleswaran *et al.* (2014) for use of *T. viride*.

It is evident from the results (table 2) that the treatment  $T_{11}$  ( $GA_3$  100 ppm + *T. viride* 10kg ha<sup>-1</sup> soil treatment) was obtained maximum net return of Rs 2,66,592 ha<sup>-1</sup> and cost benefit ratio 1: 5.43 followed by  $T_{12}$  ( $GA_3$  100 ppm + *T. viride* 10g lit.<sup>-1</sup> seedling dipping) gave the net return of Rs 2,53,022 ha<sup>-1</sup> along with cost benefit ratio 1: 5.34. However, the lowest bulb yield of 193.70 q ha<sup>-1</sup>, net return of Rs 1,39,492 ha<sup>-1</sup> and cost benefit ratio 1: 3.57 was noted in control  $T_1$  ( $GA_3$  0 ppm + *T. viride* without treatment). The high return was clearly due to the maximum bulb yield hectare<sup>-1</sup> in the treatment. Similar results of plant growth regulators have also been detailed by Bhardwaj *et al.* (1995), Baloch *et al.* (2014) and Thomson *et al.* (2015).

## References

- Abdel, C. G. (2007). Water relation in faba bean (*Vicia faba* L. cv. Aquadulce) : 2- Boosting growth and dry seed yield under rainfed by the use of Naphthalene-3-acetic acid (NAA) and inole-3-butyric acid (IBA). *J. Tikrit University for Agricultural Sci.*, **7(2)** : 262-271.
- Anonymous (2014). *Annual report of Indian Horticulture Database 2014*, NHB, Gurgaon pp 165.
- Azarmi, Rasool, Behzad Hajieghrari and Abolfazl Giglou (2011). Effect of *Trichoderma* isolates on tomato seedling growth response and nutrient uptake. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, **10 (31)** : 5850-5855.
- Baloch, Rameez Ahmed, Baloch, Sana Ullah, Baloch, Shahbaz Khan, Baloch, Hafeez Noor, Badini, Shabeer Ahmed, Waseem Bashir, Baloch, Allah Bakhsh and Jehangeer Baloch (2014). Economic analysis of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) production and marketing in district Awaran, Balochistan. *Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development*, **5(24)** : 192-205.
- Bhardwaj, M. L., R. S. Rattan and U. K. Kohli (1995). Effect of growth regulator on onion seed production. *Indian Journal of Hill Farming*, **8(1)** : 38-41.
- Chauhan, Sonika, Aditya Kumar, Mangla, Chhavi and Ashok Aggarwal (2010). Response of Strawberry plant (*Fragaria ananassa* Duch.) to inoculation with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and *Trichoderma viride*. *Journal of Applied and Natural Science*, **2(2)** : 213-218.
- Govind, S. Maji, R. Kumawat, A. Pal, S. Kumar and S. Saha (2015). Improvement of growth, yield and quality of garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) Cv. G-282 through a novel approach. *Bio. Science*, **10(1)** : 23-27.
- Gupta, R. C. and R. P. Gupta (2013). Effect of integrated disease management packages on diseases incidence and bulb yield of onion (*Allium cepa* L.). *SAARC Journal of Agriculture*, **11(2)** : 49-59.
- Islam, M. S., M. O. Islam, M. N. Alam, M. K. Ali and M. A. Rahman (2007). Effect of plant growth regulator on growth, yield and yield components of Onion. *Asian Journal of Plant Sciences*, **6** : 849-853.
- Islam, Md. Rakibul, Md. Islam Monirul and Md. Yamin Kabir (2013). Performance of cold and  $GA_3$  on growth and seed yield of onion. *Annals of Biological Research*, **4(12)** : 18-21.
- Kandil, Ahmed A., Ali E. Sharief and Fathalla H. Fathalla (2013). Effect of organic and mineral fertilizers on vegetative growth, bulb yield and quality of onion cultivars. *ESci J. Crop Prod.*, **2(3)** : 91-100.
- Lalitha, P., Srujana and K. Arunalakshmi (2012). Effect of *Trichoderma viride* on germination of mustard and survival of mustard seedlings. *International Journal of Life Sciences Botanical & Pharmacological Research*, **1(1)** : 137-140.
- Le Guen Le Saos, F., A. Hourmant, F. Esnault and J. E. Chauvin (2002). *In vitro* bulb development in Shallot (*Allium cepa* L. Aggregatum Group) : Effects of anti gibberellins, sucrose and light. *Annals of Botany*, **89** : 419-425.
- McLean, K. and A. Stewart (2000). Application strategies for control of onion white rot by fungal antagonists. *New Zealand Journal of Crop and Horticultural Science*, **28** : 115.
- Naguleswaran, Vigitha, Pakeerathan, Kandiah and Gunasingam Mikunthan (2014). Biological control: A promising tool for bulb-rot and leaf twisting fungal diseases in red onion (*Allium cepa* L.) in Jaffna District. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, **31(6)** : 1090-1095.
- Nagwa, M. K., Hassan, M. R. Shafeek, S. A. Saleh and Nadia H. M. EL-Greadly (2013). Growth, yield and nutritional values of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) plants as affected by bioregulators and Vitamin E under newly reclaimed lands. *Journal of Applied Sciences Research*, **9(1)** : 795-803.

- Panse, V. C. and P. V. Sukhatme (1985). *Statistical methods for agricultural workers*. ICAR Publications, New Delhi. pp 155.
- Patel, M. J., H. C. Patel and J. C. Chavda (2010). Effect of plant growth regulators and their application methods on growth and yield of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) cv. Gujarat White Onion -1. *Advance Research Journal of Crop Improvement*, **1(2)** : 85-87.
- Prajapati, Sunil, P. K. Jain and Akhilesh Tiwari (2016). Effects of Salicylic acid (SA) and Azospirillum on growth and bulb yield of Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) cv. Agrifound Light Red. *International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology*, **9(3)** : 393-402.
- Sainath, Uppar, D. S. and M. K. Meena (2012). Effect of different plant growth regulators on growth, quality, yield and yield components in chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum coronarium* L.). *International Journal of Plant Sciences*, **7(1)** : 10-17.
- Shadap, A., N. K. Hegde and Y. A. Lyngdoh (2015). Effect of storage methods and seed rhizome treatment on the field performance of ginger. *Journal of Spices and Aromatic Crops*, **24(1)** : 51-55.
- Sisodia, Anjana and K. N. Nagaich (2011). Effect of nitrogen and GA<sub>3</sub> on growth yield and yield attributes in onion cv. Nasik Red. Abstracts of National Symposium on Vegetable Biodiversity, JNKVV April 4-5<sup>th</sup>, 2011. Indian Society of Vegetable Science, Varanasi pp 122-123.
- Thomson, T., G. S. Patel, K. S. Pandya, J. S. Dabhi and Yogesh Pawar (2015). Effect of plant growth substances and antioxidants on growth, flowering, yield and economics of garden pea, *Pisum sativum* L. cv Bonneville. *International Journal of Farm Sciences*, **5(1)** : 8-13.