



# Plant Archives

Journal homepage: <http://www.plantarchives.org>  
DOI Url : <https://doi.org/10.51470/PLANTARCHIVES.2021.v21.no2.075>

## PERFORMANCE OF TOMATO (*SOLANUM LYCOPERSICUM* L.) GENOTYPES FOR GROWTH, YIELD AND QUALITY ATTRIBUTES

Rajeev Kumar, R.B. Ram, Sanjay Kumar and Pradeep Kumar

Department of Horticulture, School of Agricultural Sciences & Technology  
Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University vidya vihar, Rae Bareli Road Lucknow-226025 (U.P.), India  
Email Corresponding author: malhotra5234@gmail.com

(Date of Receiving : 14-05-2021; Date of Acceptance : 22-07-2021)

### ABSTRACT

The experiment was carried out at B.B.A. University, Lucknow (UP), India during rabi season of 2017-18 and 2018-19 to study the performance of tomato genotypes for growth and yield. The twenty genotypes were evaluated in randomized block design with three replications. Among the genotypes Pusa Sadabahar proved superior for growth and yield contributing characters i.e. plant height (30.9), number of branches per plant (7.0), number of cluster per plant (20.4), number of flower per cluster (9.8), number of fruits per cluster (5.0), number of fruits per plant (18.3), average fruit weight (53.0 g), fruit yield per plant (974.8 g), fruit yield (15.1 kg/plot) and fruit yield (36.1 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) respectively, followed by Selection -7. On the other hand, minimum values of these parameters were recorded in Toleu-32, took plant height (25.1), number of branches per plant (4.5), number of cluster per plant (5.4), number of flower per cluster (5.6), number of fruits per cluster (3.1), number of fruits per plant (13.1), average fruit weight (41.9 g), fruit yield per plant (550.8 g), fruit yield (8.8 kg/plot) and fruit yield (20.4 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) consequently. The genotype Toleu-32 was significantly superior for days to 50 % flowering (41.9). The accession H-86 was found to be superior for TSS (5.4 °Brix). The check variety VRT-50 was found to be superior for vitamin C (27.7mg) followed by TLCV-16.

**Keywords :** Tomato, genotypes, quality, growth, yield.

### INTRODUCTION

Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) belongs to the family Solanaceae with chromosome number  $2n = 24$  and originated from South America. Tomato is one of the most popular and widely grown vegetable in the world ranking second in importance only next to potato in many countries and ranked first in preserved and processed vegetables.

Tomato is widely consumed vegetable crop throughout the world both for fresh fruit market and processed food industries. Tomato is protective supplementary food and used in preserved products like ketchup, sauce, chutney, soup, paste, puree etc. Tomato is a typical day neutral plant and belongs to warm season crop reasonably resistant to hot and drought. The present demand for tomato is based on the industrial requirement and ultimately the consumer's preference. So there is an immediate need for further improvement of this crop through development of superior varieties and hybrids in order to most of the present day requirement. The basic tool to bring genetic improvement in a crop is to utilize the available genetic variability. If the variability with the population is largely due to the genetic cause with least environment effect, the probability of obtaining superior genotype is so visible. Yield improvement in tomato is a polygenic character and it is associated with agronomic, morphologic and physiologic traits. The crop has wide acceptance among plains farmers due to its high market value and constant demand throughout the year. Farmers

fetch remunerative return by sending their produce to the plains from June to September as this is the lean period of tomato production in plains due to prevalence of high temperature and rainfall along with the occurrence of leaf curl disease which makes crop cultivation very difficult during this period. Considering the importance of this crop there is a need for improvement and development of varieties suitable for different agro-ecological conditions with specific end use. But before coming out with any superior variety with better yield and quality traits there is need to periodically check the performance of available germplasm in comparison to best performing variety of that agro-climatic region.

Therefore the present study was undertaken to evaluate the performance of twenty genotypes of tomato for yield and quality traits under plains conditions of Lucknow.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiment was conducted at Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University, Lucknow (UP) India during rabi season of 2017-18 and 2018-19. The experimental site is situated at 26°50' N latitude, 80°52' E longitude and altitude of 111 meter above mean sea level (MSL). The area receives average rainfall of 750 mm with annual and maximum temperature ranging from 15 to 30°C. The experimental material comprising of twenty diverse genotypes was transplanted on 29 November, in both the year. Plants of each genotype were planted at a spacing of 60x45 cm in a plot of

2.40 m x 1.80 m. Twenty genotypes of tomato namely LA-3957, Rio-Grande, Punjab Barkha Bahar-2, Kashi Aman, Solan Vojr, IIHR-2202, VRT-103-6-1, Kalyanpur type-1, Switizar Land, Pusa Sadabahar, VRT-02 (Pe), TLCV-16, VRT-50, Selection-7, Toleu-32, VRT-01, H-86, KT-8, TLCV-28 and VRT-51 were evaluated in randomized block design with three replication. Recommended dose of N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O was supplied through urea, single superphosphate and muriate of potash, respectively. Appropriate management practices were adopted to raise the crop. Observations were recorded on plant height, number of branches/plant, days to 50% flowering, number of clusters per plant, number of flower per cluster, number of fruit per plant, average fruit weight, number of locules per fruit, pericarp thickness (mm), fruit length (cm), fruit width, number of ridges on fruit, fruit yield per plant (g), fruit yield (tha<sup>-1</sup>), Total soluble solids (<sup>0</sup>Brix) and vitamin C (mg/100g) were recorded. All the parameters were recorded from randomly selected plants of each treatment. Observation on vegetative parameters were recorded at proper stage and statistically analyzed.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Growth and yield attributes

Plant height differed significantly among the genotype at maturity stage due to varied genetic makeup of different tomato genotype. The plant height of all the twenty genotypes ranged between 25.1 and 30.9 cm (Table 1) Pusa Sadabahar recorded maximum plant height of (30.9 cm) followed by Selection-7 (29.5 cm), Punjab Barkha Bahar-2 (28.3 cm), Kashi Aman (27.4 cm) and IIHR-2202 (27.0 cm), respectively. The genotype Toleu-32 recorded least height of (25.12 cm). Similar observations in tomato were also reported by Mohammed *et al.* (2012) and Narolia *et al.* (2012). Number of branches per plant ranged from 4.5 to 7.0. The genotype Pusa Sadabahar exhibited maximum number of branches per plant (7.0) followed by Selection-7 (6.3), Punjab Barkha Bahar-2 (6.2), Kashi Aman (6.0) and IIHR-2202 (6.0) whereas, minimum number of branches per plant was observed in genotype Toleu-32 (4.5).

Days to 50 % flowering ranged from 29.6 to 41.9 and highest days to 50 % flowering was observed in Toleu-32 (41.9) pursued by Solon Vojr (41.4). The genotype Pusa Sadabahar exhibited least days to 50 % flowering (29.6). The number of cluster ranged from 15.4 to 20.4. The maximum number of cluster per plant was observed in genotype Pusa Sadabahar (20.4) followed by Selection-7 (19.9). However, minimum number of cluster per plant had taken in genotype Toleu-32 (15.4). Number of flower per cluster ranged from 5.6 to 9.8 and genotype Pusa Sadabahar recorded maximum number of flower per cluster (9.8) which was statistically at par with Selection-7 (8.5). Minimum number of flower per cluster (5.6) was recorded in Toleu-32. The results of present investigation could also be compared with findings of Shankar *et al.* (2013), Cheema *et al.* (2013).

Number of fruits per cluster ranged from 3.1 to 5.0 and maximum number of fruits per cluster (5.0) was recorded in Pusa Sadabahar while, minimum was recorded in Toleu-32 (3.1). The number of fruits per plant ranged between 13.1

and 18.3. The perusal of data reveal that the maximum number of fruits per plant (18.3) was observed in Pusa Sadabahar which was superior to all the other genotypes while the minimum value was recorded in Toleu-32 (13.1). The results were in accordance with those reported by Sekhar *et al.* (2009), Singh *et al.*, (2013), Saleem *et al.* (2013) and Cheema *et al.* (2013). Data recorded for average fruit weight showed significant variation among all genotypes. Its value ranged from 41.9 to 53.0 and maximum value of average fruit weight (53.0) was recorded in Pusa Sadabahar which was statistically superior to all other genotypes. However the lowest average fruit weight (41.9) was recorded in Toleu-32. The number of locules per fruit ranged between 3.5 and 5.5 and Punjab Barkha Bahar had maximum value (5.5) and minimum number of locules per fruit (3.5) was recorded in the genotype Rio-Grande. The pericarp thickness ranged from 2.9 to 4.6 mm. Maximum pericarp thickness was observed in VRT-103-6-1 (4.6 mm). However, minimum pericarp thickness (2.9) was recorded in TLCV-28. Fruit length ranged from 5.2 to 6.5 cm. The genotype Kashi Aman exhibited highest fruit length (6.5 cm) followed by VRT-01 (6.5 cm). whereas, Least fruit length was observed in genotype VRT-50 (5.2 cm). The fruit width ranged between 4.6 to 7.9 cm. The genotype LA-3957 exhibited highest fruit width (7.9 cm) followed by VRT-50 (7.9 cm). However, Least fruit width was observed in genotype Kashi Aman (4.6 cm).

### Yield and quality attributes

Fruit yield per plant varied significantly among all the tomato genotype. The fruit yield per plant ranged from 550.8 to 974.8 g. It was observed maximum in genotype Pusa Sadabahar (974.8 g) followed by Selection-7 (944.6 g). However, minimum fruit yield per plant was recorded in genotype Toleu-32 (550.8 g). Sharma *et al.* (2013), Singh *et al.* (2013), Saleem *et al.* (2013) and Shankar *et al.* (2013) also reported similar results.

Fruit yield tha<sup>-1</sup>a exhibited a wide range of variability which ranged from 20.4 to 36.1 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. The maximum fruit yield (36.1 tha<sup>-1</sup>) while, minimum was recorded in Toleu-32 (20.4 t ha<sup>-1</sup>). These finding were in accordance with the result obtained by Narolia *et al.* (2012), Jogi *et al.* (2008) and Joshi and Kohli (2005) in tomato.

Among the twenty genotypes tested, the total soluble solids ranged from 4.17 to 5.49<sup>0</sup>Brix. The genotype H-86 (5.49<sup>0</sup>Brix) recorded significantly higher amount of total soluble solids and minimum was observed in LA-3957 (4.17<sup>0</sup>Brix). Ascorbic acid content in tomato genotypes ranged from 23.95 to 27.77 mg/100g of pulp. The genotype VRT-50 (27.77 mg/100g) recorded significantly higher ascorbic acid content compared to the best checks (TLCV-16) and lower ascorbic acid was found in LA-3957 (23.95 mg/100).

The current findings on growth and yield contributing parameters of various tomato genotypes in the Lucknow region. This study's findings can be used to aid in the development of a tomato breeding programme. In genotype Pusa Sadabahar, the highest growth characters, yield, and yield attributing characters were observed.

**Table 1 :** Mean performance of twenty genotypes of tomato with respect to various traits.

Genotypes	Plant height (cm)	Number of branches per plant	Days to 50% flowering	Number of clusters per plant	Number of flowers per cluster	Number of fruits per cluster	Number of fruits per plant	Average fruit weight (g)	Number of locules per fruit
LA-3957	26.0	5.7	33.7	17.5	7.3	3.9	15.2	46.5	4.1
Rio-Grande	26.8	5.7	39.6	16.8	6.9	3.9	15.6	46.8	3.5
Punjab Barkha Bahar-2	28.3	6.2	30.9	18.8	8.3	4.3	17.7	50.6	5.5
Kashi Aman	27.4	6.0	31.4	18.0	8.2	3.9	17.5	50.1	4.2
Solan Vojr	25.4	5.4	41.4	15.7	5.8	3.4	13.4	42.3	4.5
IIHR-2202	27.0	6.0	32.1	18.4	8.1	3.8	16.5	49.2	4.6
VRT-103-6-1	26.5	5.0	37.7	16.1	7.0	4.2	15.2	46.9	4.7
Kalyanpur typ-1	25.7	5.6	34.9	17.1	7.1	4.2	14.9	43.9	3.9
Switizar Land	27.0	5.3	37.3	17.8	6.8	4.4	15.0	45.9	4.6
Pusa Sadabahar	30.9	7.0	29.6	20.4	9.8	5.0	18.3	53.0	4.6
VRT-02 (Pe)	26.0	5.2	35.1	16.9	7.1	3.6	14.2	43.4	4.3
TLCV-16	26.0	5.7	35.9	17.1	7.2	4.0	15.1	45.0	3.7
VRT-50	26.1	5.1	38.6	17.9	7.0	3.4	15.5	46.7	3.9
Selection-7	29.5	6.3	30.5	19.9	8.5	4.4	18.1	51.9	4.8
Toleu-32	25.1	4.5	41.9	15.4	5.6	3.1	13.1	41.9	5.3
VRT-01	26.2	5.7	36.1	17.8	6.9	3.6	15.4	47.6	5.3
H-86	26.2	5.0	33.3	17.8	7.3	3.7	15.6	47.9	4.0
KT-8	25.7	4.9	38.1	17.6	7.1	3.5	15.0	44.4	4.5
TLCV-28	26.6	5.8	33.9	17.8	7.5	3.5	15.0	45.4	4.3
VRT-51	25.8	5.3	36.9	17.0	6.9	3.5	15.1	44.9	3.8
SE <sub>M</sub> ±	0.96	0.32	1.77	0.90	0.40	0.21	0.80	2.35	0.22
CD (P=0.05)	2.82	0.93	5.22	2.64	1.18	0.61	2.36	6.82	0.65

**Table 2 :** Mean performance of twenty genotypes of tomato with respect of yield and quality (mean of two years)

Genotypes	Pericarp thickness (mm)	Fruit length (cm)	Fruit width (cm)	Fruit yield per plant (g)	Fruit yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	T.S.S ( <sup>0</sup> Brix)	Vitamin-C (mg/100)
LA-3957	4.5	5.3	7.9	711.2	26.3	4.1	23.9
Rio-Grande	3.9	5.7	6.9	733.0	27.1	5.0	24.9
Punjab Barkha Bahar-2	4.4	6.0	5.1	899.6	33.3	4.9	24.6
Kashi Aman	3.4	6.5	4.6	853.1	31.5	4.9	25.0
Solan Vojr	4.1	5.3	7.7	571.2	21.1	5.2	25.1
IIHR-2202	3.8	5.7	7.1	812.3	30.0	5.1	24.7
VRT-103-6-1	4.6	6.0	4.9	716.6	26.5	5.2	25.2
Kalyanpur typ-1	3.7	5.3	7.6	658.4	24.3	5.4	24.8
Switizar Land	4.4	5.8	6.8	691.5	25.6	5.2	24.8
Pusa Sadabahar	3.9	5.8	6.9	974.8	36.1	5.3	25.2
VRT-02 (Pe)	3.7	5.5	6.9	617.8	22.8	5.0	25.0
TLCV-16	4.2	5.9	5.2	681.4	25.2	6.1	27.4
VRT-50	3.6	5.2	7.9	729.2	27.0	5.2	27.7
Selection-7	3.9	6.1	5.4	944.6	34.9	5.3	25.3
Toleu-32	4.4	5.9	6.4	550.8	20.4	5.0	25.5
VRT-01	3.8	6.5	7.1	734.3	27.2	4.7	26.1
H-86	3.9	5.9	4.7	755.2	27.9	5.4	26.2
KT-8	3.6	5.7	5.1	667.6	24.7	5.1	25.7
TLCV-28	2.9	5.3	7.5	683.2	25.3	5.4	26.5
VRT-51	3.8	5.3	7.5	682.8	25.2	5.2	26.1
SEM ±	0.21	0.28	0.36	37.38	13.85	0.36	0.62
CD at 5%	0.59	0.83	1.05	110.26	40.84	0.99	1.79

## REFERENCES

- Cheema, D.S.; Singh, N. and Jindal, S.K. (2013) Evaluation of indeterminate tomato hybrids for fruit yield and quality traits under net house and open field condition, *Vegetable Science*, 40(1): 45-49.
- Jogi, P.; Shukla, N.; Mehta, N. and Sahu, M. (2008). Genetic divergence for fruit Traits in tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.). *Orissa Journal of Horticulture*, 36(2): 149-151.
- Joshi, A. and Kohli, U.K. (2005). Evaluation, path coefficient and correlation studies for fruit shelf life in tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.). *Horticulture Journal*, 18(2):110-113.
- Mohamed, S.M.; Ali, E.E. and Mohamed, T.Y. (2012). Study of Heritability and Genetic Variability among Different Plant and Fruit Characters of Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.). *International Journal of Scientific and Technological Research*, 1(2):55-58.
- Narolia, R.K.; Reddy, R.V.S.K. and Padma, M. (2012) Correlation and path coefficient analysis of growth, yield and quality of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.). *Indian Journal of Tropical Biodiversity*, 20(1):65-69.
- Saleem, M.Y.; Iqbal, Q. and Asghar, M. (2013) Genetic variability, heritability character association and path analysis in F1 hybrids of tomato. *Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 50(4): 649-653.
- Sekhar, L.; Prakesh, B.G.; Salimath, P.M.; Sridevi, O. and Patil, A.A. (2009). Performance of productive single cross hybrids in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 22(4): 877-878.
- Shankar, A.; Reddy, R.V.S.K.; Sujata, M. and Pratap, M. (2013). Genetic variability studies in F1 generation of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) *IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science*, 4(5): 31-34.
- Sharma, D. and Sharma, H.R. (2013). Production and evaluation of tomato hybrids using diallele genetic design. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*, 70: 4-6.
- Singh, A.; Ahirwar, C.S. and Prasad, V.M. (2013). Evaluation of tomato hybrids (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill) in Allahabad agroclimatic conditions. *Trends in Bioscience*, 6(6): 744-746.
- Yadav, V.; Yadav, M.S. and Prasad, F.M. (2018). Effect of gibberellic acid and boron on yield and biochemical parameters of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill) fruits. *Annals of Plant and Soil Research*, 20(4): 401-404