

Plant Archives

Journal homepage: http://www.plantarchives.org DOI Url: https://doi.org/10.51470/PLANTARCHIVES.2022.v22.no1.046

STUDIES ON CHEMICAL CONSTITUENTS OF INFECTED GROUNDNUT KERNELS BY FUNGAL PATHOGENS, EAST KHANDESH, MAHARASHTRA, INDIA

S. A. Chaudhari¹, Ashok N. Patil² and R.M. Bagul³

¹Dept. of Botany; Anna Saheb G.D. Bendale Mahila Mahavidyalya, Jalgaon, 425 001, M.S. India ²Dept. of Botany, Arts, Science & Commerce College, Junnar, Pune. India ³Post Graduate Research Center, Dept. of Botany, MGSM's Arts, Science & Com. College, Chopda, 425107, India. *Email: drrmbagul@gmail.com

(Date of receiving : 18-01-2022; Date of Acceptance : 28-03-2022)

In 2020, groundnut production for Maharashtra was 0.32 million tonnes. Groundnut production of Maharashtra fell gradually from 0.42 million tonnes in 2017 to 0.32 million tonnes in 2020. Ground nut production and utilization has increased since last decades due to high nutritive value and its uses. Seed borne fungi of groundnut (Arachis hypogaea L.) are responsible to deteriorate and degrade kernels quality and quantity of potential nutrients causing losses to the farmers in East Khandesh region during storage practices. The prevalent symptoms on seeds were decay, rots, pod rots, spots etc. In these studies, an attempt was made to note the ABSTRACT dominant fungal organisms like Aspergillus flavus, Rhyzoctonia bataticola, Penicillium sps., Fusarium sps. and so on, affecting the primary contents in the seeds such as carbohydrates, fats and proteins. The best storage practices are needed to check the damages. Present paper highlights % Changes in primary metabolites contents of groundnut kernels infected with different fungal species Keywords: Groundnut seeds, fungal primary contents, East Khandesh.

Introduction

India is the largest producer of groundnut (Arachis hypogeaea L.) in the world. In East Khandesh region of Maharashtra groundnut is grown in kharip and rabi. Where irrigation facilities are available. The pods are sold fresh or dried and stored. Micro fungi from the soil cause disease to groundnut pods/kernels before and after harvest in the fields during the handling transport and storage also. They affect quality and quantity of pods and seeds resulting economic losses to farmers. Fungi like Absidia corymbifera, Aspergillus acaleatus, A. flavus, A. niger, A. tereus, A. astus, Curvularia lunata, Fusarium oxysperum, Humicola sp., Penicillium funiculosm, P. varians, Phytophthora undulate and Rhizoctonia bataticola discover and damage. The kernels (Joffe and Borut, 1966; Gupta and Chohan, 1970). In these studies, an attempt was made to note the dominant fungal species affecting primary contents of groundnut kernels.

Materials and Method

Groundnut pod samples were collected from fields throughout East Khandesh region of Maharashtra from 2006-2008. The mycoflora from suspected concealed pod/kernels was isolated and screened by soil dilution plate techniques (Montegut, 1960). Some pods and kernels were also directly plated on the medium. Dominant fungi were selected for studying their effect on carbohydrate, lipids & proteins contents (Ward & Diner, 1961) and Singh et al. (1974). 20 gm healthy sterilized seeds of groundnut were inoculated with 2 ml. as of individual fungal spores' suspension. Seeds were previously moistened with Czapek Dox liquid medium.

Flask was incubated for 14 days at room temperature (26 +/-°C). Mycelial mat was removed by washing the seeds with sterile water, dried at 60 °C and powdered sample were analyzed for primary contents detection. Crude fat content was estimated by Soxhlet extractor and defatted samples were analyzed for estimation of total carbohydrates Dubois et al. (1956) and total crude proteins Nagel, (1981) as described by Oser, (1960) and AOAC (1975).

Results and Discussion

From the concealed pre-harvest kernels of groundnut pod a total of 51 samples from East Khandesh were studied and 17 fungi were recorded. Fusarium oxysporum showed its highest frequency followed by Rhizoctonia bataticola, Aspergillus favus, A. niger, F. solani, R. solani, Penicillium funiculosum, Rhizopus stolonifer, A. ustus, R. nigricans, Sclerotium rolfsii, F. semitectum, Mucor sp., Phoma herbarum, Pythium aphanidermatum, Pythium sp. and Chetonium globosum in decreasing order. Most of them cause diseases and damages to the kernels. The organisms reported here are also reported by Joffe and Borut (1966), Waliyar (1979) and, Kang and Chohan (1966) from kernels. However, variations in the species may be attributed to the country, variety of groundnut, ecological factors, handling, transport, storage, soil type, methods of study etc. These organisms transmit the disease in the seeds.

13 organisms were selected for studying their effects on primary contents of kernels (Table 1) such as structural carbohydrate, crude proteins and fats. The results depict those total nonstructural carbohydrates were reduced by all fungi but highest was in *Penicillium varians* (65%) and least by *Absidia corymbifera* (25%). Proteins were increased in *A. flavus* and *F. oxysporum* in higher amount than others. While fats were more in A. *flavus* and less amount in *F. oxysporum*

when compared. The results are optimistic. Nager and Chauhan (1977) reported losses in proteins due to fungal growth on groundnut kernels. In different metabolic and catabolic process of fungal organisms' source, elements are utilized while others are prepared and stored. This might be possible reason why there was a variation in the composition of kernels due to attack of different fungi (Foster, 1958)

Sr.No.	Fungal species	Carbohydrates	Proteins	Crude fats
51.100.	r ungai species	(+/-)	(+/-)	(+/-)
1	Absidia corymbifera	19.17	44.36	30.81
		(-28.56)	(+11.34)	(-25.76)
2	Aspergillus aculeatas	13.21	23.35	15.34
		(-50.74)	(-41.38)	(-62.93)
3	A. flavus	17.80	46.63	38.09
		(-33.67)	(+17.04)	(-08.21)
4	A. niger	09.40	34.12	19.59
	_	(-66.21)	(-14.36)	(-52.80)
5	A. terrus	12.35	42.98	36.40
		(-53.94)	(+07.88)	(-12.29)
6	A. ustus	12.95	37.90	24.78
		(-51.70)	(-04.87)	(-40.27)
7	Curvularia lunata	11.74	38.27	34.31
		(-56.20)	(-03.94)	(-17.33)
8	Fusarium chlamydosporum	14.96	28.37	15.15
		(-44.97)	(-28.79)	(-28.19)
9	F. oxysporum	17.38	45.06	33.31
		(-35.22)	(+13.10)	(-19.74)
10	Humicola sp.	14.27	24.75	21.99
		(-46.79)	(-37.87)	(-47.02)
11	Penicillium funiculosum	08.85	40.38	20.66
		(-66.96)	(+01.36)	(-50.36)
12	P. varians	08.92	41.94	29.80
		(-66.68)	(+05.27)	(-11.70)
13	Rhizoctonia bataticola	11.62	40.16	29.01
		(-56.65)	(+00.80)	(-30.11)
		CD at 5 % 3.90	2.67	4.05
		at 1% 5.28	3.61	5.47

	Table 1: % Changes in primary	metabolites contents of groundnut kernels infected v	with different fungal species.
--	-------------------------------	--	--------------------------------

References

- Dubois, M; Giles, K.A; Hamilton, J.K. Rebers, P.A. and Smith, F. (1956). Colorimetric Methods for determination of Sugars and related Substances, *Analytical. Chemistry*, 28(3): 350-355.
- Foster, J.W. (1958). Isolation, Purification and Maintenance of Yeast, Academic Press Inc, New York pp.153-182
- Gupta, V.K. and Chohan, J.S. (1970). Seed borne fungi and seed health testing in relation to seedling diseases of groundnut. *Indian Phytopath*, 23(4): 622-625.
- Joffe, A.Z. and Borut, S.Y. (1966). Soil and kernel mycoflora of groundnut fields in Israel. *Mycologia*, 58: 629-640.
- Kang, M.S. and Chohan, J.S. (1966). Relative efficacy of some fungicides for the control of stripe diseases of barley caused by *Helminthosporium gramineum* Rabh. J.Res. 3(3) P Agric. Uni Ludhiana. 3 :159, 297-300.
- Kenneth, H. (1990), 15th Edition, 1975, 1980.1984,1990. Official Methods of Analysis of The Association of Official Agricultural Chemist, Washington, 4, D.C. pp, 405, 569 and 943.

- Lalitha Kumari, D; Govind Swamy, C.V. and Vidhysekaran, P. (1971). Effect of seed borne fungi on the physiochemical properties of groundnut oil. *Indian Phytopathology*. 24(2): 283-289.
- Menon, V.K. and Chohan, J.S. (1965). Effect of Fungicides on leaf-spot pathogens and phylloplane mycoflora of ground nut *Punjab Res.* 2:19. 76(3): 361-366.
- Montegut, J. (1960). Value of the dilution method. In: Parkinson, D. and Waid, J.S. (eds.), The Ecology of Soil Fungi; An International Symposium. Liverpool: Liverpool University Press. *Oxfort Publ.* pp. 43-52
- Nagar, M.L. and Chohan, J.S. (1977). Science and Cult. 43; 499.
- Oser, B.L. (1960). Hawk's Physiological Chemistry 14th edn. Tata McGraw Hill Publishing Company Ltd; New Delhi, 1133,1140,1158,1228 and 1263.
- Sharma, K.D. (1977). Biochemical changes in stored oilseeds. *Indian J. agric. Res.*, 11: 134-144.
- Singh, B.K. and Prasad, T. (1988). Effect of seed quality on germination and seed mycoflora in Sunflower (*Helianthus annus*) Jour. Indian Bot. Soc., 67: 316-317.

- Singh, B.P.; Shukla, B.N. and Sharma, Y.K. (1974). Post infection changes in chemical composition of groundnut (*Arachis hypogea* L.) seed. J. Res. Punjab Agric. Univ. Ludhiana, 33: 74-75.
- Sinha, M.K. and Prasad, T. (1977). Deterioration of Arhar seeds by *Aspergillus flavus*. *Indian Phytopath*, 30(1): 70-72.
- Sinha, M.K. and Prasad, T. (1981). Effect of fungal metabolites on seed germination, microbial association

and seedling growth of Mung. *Indian Phytopath*, 34(4): 515-517.

- Waliyar, F. and Zambettakis, C. (1979). Study of the mycoflora if groundnut seeds and pods in Senegal. *Oleagineux*, 34:191-198.
- Ward, H.S. and Diener, U.L. (1961). Biochemical changes in shelled peanut caused by storage fungi. *Phytopathology*, 51: 244-250.