



Chemical composition calculated										
Metabolizable energy(kcal/kg)	2880	2880	2847	2847	2896	2896	2847	2847	2842	2842
Crude protein %	16	16	15	15	14	14	15	15	14	14
DL-methionine%	0.41	----	0.40	----	0.41	----	0.48	----	0.49	----
Herbal methionine	----	0.41	----	0.40	----	0.41	----	0.48	----	0.49
Calcium %	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
phosphorus	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39

T1, basic diet (16% C.P) supplemented with 0.17 % DL-methionine to complete the needs, T2 basic diet (16% C.P) supplemented with 0.17% Herbal methionine to complete the needs, T3, basic diet (15% C.P) supplemented with 0.17% DL-methionine to complete the needs, T4, basic diet (15% C.P) supplemented with 0.17% Herbal methionine to complete the needs, T5, basic diet (14% C.P ) supplemented with 0.20% DL-methionine to complete the needs , T6 , basic diet (14% C.P) supplemented with 0.20% Herbal methionine to complete the needs, T7, basic diet (15% C.P) supplemented with 0.25% DL-methionine to complete the needs, T8, basic diet (15% C.P) supplemented with 0.25% Herbal methionine to complete the needs, T9, basic diet (14% C.P) supplemented with 0.28% DL-methionine to complete the needs, T10, basic diet (14% C.P) supplemented with 0.28% Herbal methionine to complete the needs, The period of data recording was 60 days. The

performance data were recorded at weekly intervals while the external and internal quality traits were recorded at 20 days intervals. The cost per kg. egg was calculated as following equation:

Feed cost (dollars)/kg eggs=Feed ratio conversion x price (dollars) kg of Feed (AL-Nuaimy and AL- Hadeedy)

### Results and Discussion

Performance characteristics: The effect of herbal methionine supplementation instead of DL-methionine to complete the methionine needs or above the needs in the layer diets contains three levels of protein 16%, 15% and 14% (Table 2) revealed that egg production (H.D%) and egg mass (gms) were significant ( $p<0.05$ ) among the birds of treatments. The egg production (H.D%) and mass (gms) were significantly higher for the fourth treatment birds than control treatment birds.

**Table 2 :** Effect of the using herbal methionine as an alternative about DL-methionine in a feed chicken eggs on the adjectives productivity

Nutritional Treatments	Egg production (%H.D)	Weight Egg (gm)	Egg mass (gm)
T1	3.50±78.9 c	0.73±63.32	2.92±49.94 Ac
T2	1.36±82.08 ac	0.39±64.59	1.41±50.90 Abc
T3	2.46±89.06 ab	0.25±64.34	1.17± 56.20 A
T4	1.26±92.70 a	0.36±64.49	0.50±56.42 A
T5	3.30±83.33 bc	0.43±64.93	1.80±52.45 Abc
T6	.20± 81.04 1 bc	0.41±64.21	1.08±48.75 C
T7	3.65±81.45 bc	0.62±63.44	2.55±54.77 Ab
T8	0.81±82.81 bc	0.70±64.38	1.39±52.69 Abc
T9	3.38±84.06 bc	0.51±63.67	2.30±54.69 Ab
T10	2.02±80.20 c	0.48±64.39	1.41±50.90 Abc

(T) by 17.49% and 12.98% for egg production (%H.D) and egg mass (gms) respectively. There were no significant variation among treatments for the egg weight (gms) and the live birds weight at the initial and final of the experiment .The results in the (Table 2) indicated the low the feed and protein intake and protein conversion ratio significantly were the birds of the T10 birds by comparing that with T1birds.

While the best value of feed conversion ratio were for the birds of T8 (1.59) while this value were (2.11) for the control treatment birds. On other hand significantly ( $P<0.05$ ) best value for methionine conversion ratio were for the birds of the T4 (6.58) by comparing with the T1 birds (8.76). External and Internal Egg.

**Table 3 :** Effect of the using herbal methionine as an alternative about DL-methionine in a fee d chicken eggs on the adjectives consumption feed and protein and methionine

Nutritional Treatment	Feed intake (gm)	Intake (gm)	Protein Conversion ratio	Methionine Intake(gm)	Feed Conversion ratio	Methionine conversion ratio
T1	4.26±102.52 ab	0.69±16.68 a	0.01±0.34 A	17.66±424 bc	0.10±2.11 ab	0.44±8.76 ab
T2	3.50±84.79 cd	0.53±13.79 cd	0.01±0.27 Bcd	14.49±350.94 d	0.07±1.67 ab	0.29±6.93 Cd
T3	3.18±97.13 bc	0.48±14.78 abc	0.01±0.26 cde	12.82±391.27 cd	0.06±1.75 ab	0.27±7.07 Cd
T4	5.89±92.42 bcd	0.89±14.06 bcd	0.01±0.24 de	23.72±372.27 ab	0.09±1.63 b	0.39±6.58 D
T5	6.54±113.65 a	0.91±15.97 ab	0.01±0.30 ab	27.44±476.76 cd	0.10±2.19 a	00.42±9.22 A
T6	2.44±86.55 cd	0.34±12.16 de	0.01±0.25 de	10.26±363.09 dc	0.07±1.79 ab	0.31±7.52 Cd
T7	4.15±106.84 ab	0.63±16.24 a	0.01±0.30 bc	19.98±513.29 cd	0.11±1.98 ab	0.54±9.55 A
T8	5.47±83.84 cd	0.83±12.75 cde	0.01±0.24 de	26.33±403±14 cd	0.08±1.59 b	0.41±7.68 Bcd
T9	4.26±104.53 ab	0.59±14.69 abc	0.00±0.27 bcd	21.21±520.06 a	0.06±1.94 ab	0.31±9.67 A
T10	4.70±81.60 d	0.66±11.47 e	0.01±0.22 e	23.41±405.96 cd	0.07±1.60 b	0.36±8.00 bc

The economic efficiency for the nutritional treatments were shown in the (Table). The best nutritional treatment economically (feed cost per one Kilogram produced) and lowest const for feed 1 Kilogram egg was for of this core were (0.668, 0.524, 0.536, 0.495, 0.658, 0.533, 0.610, 0.484, 0.576, and 0.468) for the experimental treatments respectively.

### References

- Ahmed, M.E. and Abbas, T.E. (2015). The effect of feeding herbal methionine versus dl-methionine supplemented diets on broiler performance and carcass characteristics. International Conference on Agricultural Ecological and Medical Sciences, 10-11.
- Ahmed, M.E. and Talha, E.A. (2011). Effects of Dietary Levels of Methionine on Broiler performance and Carcass Characteristics , International Journal of poultry Science, 10(2): 147-151.
- Al-Nuaimy Mohammad, I.A. and Al-Hadeedy, Ismail Y.H. (2018). Effect of different levels of energy, protein, methionine and lysine without variation the value of calorie protein ratio upon the layer performance and quality traits of egg. Journal of Kirkuk University for Agricultural sciences. Vol(9) No.(2).
- Figge, R.; Soucaile, P. and Bestel- Corre, G. (2010). Producing methionine without N-acetyl-methionine. patent application publication. USA: 2010.No :US 2010/0047879 A1.
- Hadinia, S.; Shivazad, M.; Moravej, H.; Al-ahyari-Shahrashb, M. and Nabi, M.M. (2014). Bio-efficacy comparison of herbal methionine and DL-methionine based on performance and blood parameters of broiler chickens . Ves.Forum., 5(2): 81-87
- N.R.C. National Research council (1994). Nutrient Requirement of Poultry. (9th rev. ed.). National Research Council. National Academy Press, Washington, D.S; USA
- Schutte, J.B.; De Jong, J.; Smink, W. and Pack, M. (1997). Replacement value of betaine for DL methionine in male broiler chicks; Poult. Sci., 76: 321-325.