

# METHANE AND CARBON DIOXIDE EMISSION AND LEACHATE CHARACTERIZATION FROM LANDFILLS ALONG GANGES RIVER AT VARANASI (U.P.), INDIA

# J. Pandey\*, P. Kaushik, M. Mehra and S. Masood

Laboratory of Trans-boundary Research on Ganges Basin and Climate Change Drivers, Environmental Science Division, Centre of Advanced Study in Botany, B. H. U., Varanasi - 221 005 (U.P.), India

# Abstract

Landfills are now considered to be among the largest anthropogenic source of green house gas (GHG) emission in the world. Methane and carbon dioxide emission rates were studied at two landfill sites along the Ganges river at Varanasi (U.P.), India. Chemical characteristics of leachates emerging from both the landfills were also studied. Overall, the emission rates ranged from 10.73 to 60.20 mg  $CH_4$  m<sup>-2</sup>h<sup>-1</sup> and from 17.28 to 281.27 mg  $CO_2$  m<sup>-2</sup>h<sup>-1</sup>. Emissions increased sharply with rising temperature in summer months. The rates were higher at young landfill site and between site differences in  $CH_4$  and  $CO_2$  emissions were significant. Landfill sites differed also with respect to leachate characteristics. The BOD<sub>5</sub>: N: P ratio averaged to 90 : 3 : 1 at Site 1 and 80 : 2 : 0.5 at Site 2 indicating that, for leachate treatment, the aerobic biological systems may operate successfully without nutrient supplement. Although, more exhaustive data sets are needed, the present study, that forms the first report on GHG emission from Varanasi landfills, will help reducing uncertainties in  $CH_4$  and  $CO_2$  emission estimate scenario.

*Key words* : Climate change, Ganga river, green house gas, landfill, leachate.

# Introduction

Among the many environmental issues associated with rapidly growing population and economic development, an important issue is the rising accumulation of municipal solid wastes (MSW) around towns and metro-cities. The management of MSW is a major problem faced by the municipalities of India. Per capita production of MSW in India ranges from 0.2 to 0.5 Kg day-1 (Sharholy et al., 2005) and the most common method applied to manage over 90% of MSW is open dumping (Ithnin et al., 2012). Major environmental problems associated with MSW include availability of space, emission of green house gases (GHGs) and the leachate that drains from landfills. Emission of GHGs from MSW contributes to global warming, while leachate drains from dumping sites contaminate surface and ground waters (Chakroborty et al., 2011 and Ithnin et al., 2012). Compared to most of the developed countries, the MSW in developing countries contain higher percentage of organic wastes and by implication, may have high potential to emit GHGs per unit weight of MSW. Further, when water percolates through a large mass of MSW, it generates high strength contaminated liquid

commonly known as leachate. It varies widely in composition depending upon the age of landfill and the constituents it contains. Untreated leachate generated from MSW may lead serious threat to environment including contamination of surface and ground water resources (Cortez *et al.*, 2011).

Among the global sources of methane reaching the atmosphere are wetlands (Matthews and Fung, 1987; Cicerone and Oremland, 1988; Smith et al., 2000), contributing to about 88% of all natural methane emission (IPCC, 2007 and Flury et al., 2010). Recent studies have shown that landfills are among the largest anthropogenic source of methane (Jha et al., 2007; Chang et al., 2009; Chakraborty et al., 2011 and Vargas et al., 2013). On global scale, generation of MSW is about 1200 Tg/yr (1  $Tg = 10^{12} g$ , >70% of which is landfilled. Landfilling of waste contributes about 30-35 Tg methane  $(CH_{4})$ annually to the world's total  $CH_4$  emission of ~550 Tg/yr. Compared to wetlands and paddy fields, landfills function as a closed system characterized by controlled burial of biodegradable organic materials. The compositions, moisture content, pH and the management practices all influence the methane and carbon dioxide production in landfills. The essential reaction in a landfill is anaerobic

<sup>\*</sup>Author for correspondence : E-mail : jiten\_pandey@rediffmail.com

biodegradation of organic components of MSW. It involves hydrolysis of complex molecules into soluble product by bacteria; conversion of these soluble products by acid forming bacteria to simple organic acids, carbon dioxide and hydrogen gas and finally, production of methane either by breaking down the organic acids or by reducing  $CO_2$  with  $H_2$  by methanogens (Chen *et al.*, 2008) under optimal pH (6.82 to 7.4) and moisture content.

Although, the global warming potential (GWP) of methane is about 25 times higher than that of carbon dioxide, the contribution of the latter to overall green house forcing is about four folds higher (Forster et al., 2007). On 9<sup>th</sup> May 2013, the daily mean carbon dioxide concentrations at Mauna Loa, Hawaii crossed the milestone of 400 ppm (Monastersky, 2013). Values much larger than this value have been recorded on local and regional scales depending upon local source- sink relations and atmospheric stability (Bala, 2013). During recent years, anthropogenic emissions and large scale land use changes have been implicated for this rise in CO, (Ballantyne et al., 2012). However, an understanding of magnitude of anthropogenic impact on composition of radiative gases requires information pertaining to the contribution of other sources to overall emission scenario. The contribution of MSW in total CO<sub>2</sub> through local and regional-scale CO2 emission has received relatively less attention.

In developing countries such as India, inventory estimates of emission of green house gases from landfills show wide uncertainties due to paucity of data on in situ emission estimates. In situ measurements of GHGs emission from landfills therefore bears great relevance in not only reducing uncertainties in inventory estimates, but also for local and regional contributions to overall green house forcing. In the present study, we present the results of site specific emission fluxes of CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> measured at two landfill sites of Varanasi for six consecutive months. We also attempted to characterize the quality of leachate being generated from the landfills and to compare our results with other observed records. With a population of over 1.6 million, the city witnesses massive generation of MSW annually. The total quantity of MSW generated in Varanasi is about 600 million ton (Municipal Corporation of Varanasi). Being the cultural capital of India, a major part of waste generated in Varanasi city comprises of biodegradable, compostable and recyclable materials. Varanasi is a prominent tourist place and therefore, receives significant floating population that also contribute to massive amount of waste generation. For these reasons, the present study bears scientific and environmental relevance and contributes to national GHGs emission inventory estimates data base. The study, that forms the first report from Varanasi landfills, will help reducing uncertainties in GHG emission scenario.

# **Materials and Methods**

#### Study area

The present study was conducted during dry months (January to June) of 2013 to measure fluxes of CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> emission from two landfill sites of Varanasi city (25° 18' N latitude, 83°1' E longitude and 76.19 m above mean sea level) situated at the west margin of Ganga river. Climate of the region is tropical with three distinct seasons; winter (November to February), summer (March to June) and rainy (July to October). Varanasi region receives 1100 mm average rainfall, about 90% of which occurs during rainy season. During the study period, mean monthly maximum temperature ranged from 27.5 to 45.4°C and minimum temperature from 9.5 to 25.7°C. During winter, night temperature sometimes drops below freezing. Wind direction shifts from predominantly westerly and south-westerly in October through April to easterly and north westerly in remaining months. The alluvium soil of the region is highly fertile light textured sandy loam with pH ranging from 6.8 to 7.9.

Landfill Site 1 is situated at Purana Pul near Kazzakpura (KZP), Varanasi. The site is currently being used for dumping MSW. The waste dumped consist of household waste, animal waste, street sweeping (mainly polythene, plastic material, foam, paper, packing materials, metals, cloths etc.), construction and demolition waste and excavated soil. Site 2 is situated at Bypass (BPS) near Ramnagar, Varanasi (U.P.), India. This site is abandoned from last one year although the composition of the MSW dumped at the Site 2 is almost similar to that of Site 1.

## **Experimental design**

The experimental protocol of the investigation consists of two tiers of studies, including characterization of leachate and emission of methane and carbon dioxide from two landfill sites. The strategy was to evaluate the possible contamination from the leachate draining to Ganga River and to record source emission estimates of two most important green house gases,  $CH_4$  and  $CO_2$ . The factorial design of the whole experiment consisted of two landfills x twelve leachate quality variables x two green house gases.

### In-situ measurement of CH<sub>4</sub> emission flux

The *in situ* emission flux of  $CH_4$  was measured during dry months (January to June) of 2013 from two MSW

dumping sites namely, Kazzakpura (KZP) and Bypass (BPS). Closed chamber technique (Majumdar et al., 2000) was used for measuring CH<sub>4</sub> emission from landfills. Sampled areas were partly covered by excavated soils and/or construction and demolition wastes. Cylindrical steel chambers of 25 cm diameter were inverted and fixed firmly penetrating the soil to 6 cm deep. A battery operated pump was used to circulate air to ensure proper mixing of air inside the chamber. Each chamber was devised with one sampling pot on the top with a nonreactive silicon rubber septum. Samples were collected at 0, 15, 30, and 45 minute intervals in 10 ml air tight syringes fitted with three way top cork to prevent leakage of air samples. During each sampling event eight air samples from a chamber were collected using air tight syringes for gas chromatographic (GC) analysis. Landfill temperature, atmospheric temperature and chamber temperature all were also continuously monitored. Concentration of CH<sub>4</sub> was analysed in a gas chromatograph (Agilent Technologies 7820A, Germany) equipped with Thermal Conductivity Detector (TCD) and a Porapak Q Column (3 m). The carrier gas was N<sub>2</sub> with flow rate of 7 ml/minute. The detector and injector temperatures were set at 250°C and 210°C, respectively. The oven temperature was set at 60°C for 0 min and then ramped to 180°C at the rate of 10°C/min for 17 min. During analysis, standard gas of 10 ppm and 100 ppm of NIST (National Institute for Standards and Technology, USA) were used for calibration. The CH<sub>4</sub> concentration values, thus obtained, were used for CH<sub>4</sub> flux calculation. Concentration of gas was calculated from respective relative peak area from the standard gas of known concentrations obtained by running known volume of standard gas in GC. The methane emission flux (mg  $m^{-2} h^{-1}$ ) was calculated using the following equation :

 $CH_4 \text{ emission flux (mg m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>)} = \frac{\Delta X \times EBV_{(STP)} \times M \times 10^3 \times 60 \times 1}{22400 \text{ T A}}$ 

Where,

 $\Delta X$  = change in concentration for each time interval (0, 15, 30, 45 min)

 $EBV_{(STP)}$  = effective box volume at standard temperature and pressure

T =flux time in min (0, 15, 30, 45 min)

A = landfill area covered by the box in  $m^2$ 

M = molecular weight of  $CH_4$ 

# In-situ measurement of CO, emission flux

Carbon dioxide emission flux was measured at each experimental site using closed chamber technique. This

technique involves trapping of the gas in a closed perspex chamber as it leaves the soil surface and allowing the gas to absorb in KOH within the chamber for a known period of time, which is latter analyzed volumetrically. The perspex chambers were inverted and fixed firmly penetrating the soil up to 6 cm deep. The CO<sub>2</sub> built–up inside the chamber was trapped in 50 ml of 0.5 N KOH kept in a beaker inside the chamber for a known period of time. The KOH with absorbed CO<sub>2</sub> was brought to the laboratory and titrated against 0.5 N HCl. The CO<sub>2</sub> emission flux was measured in terms of mg m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>.

# Landfill leachate quality

The samples of landfill leachate were collected from both sites and stored in 1 L polythene containers at 4°C before analysis. Physical and chemical parameters of leachate were analyzed following standard methods. The pH, conductivity, total dissolved solids (TDS) and salinity all were analyzed by multi-parameter tester 35 series (Eutech PCSTESTR 35-01 × 441506/Oakton 35425-10). Biological oxygen demand (BOD) of the leachate was estimated after 5 day incubation following standard method (APHA, 1998). Nitrate-N was quantified using a brucine sulphuric acid method (Voghe, 1971) and phosphate-P using ammonium molybdate method (Mackereth, 1963). Chloride content was measured following Mohr's method (APHA, 1998). Leachate samples were digested in concentrated HNO<sub>2</sub> and the concentrations of Na, K and Ca were determined using Flame Photometer, (Systronics, India).

#### Statistical analysis

Standard error of difference  $(SE_d)$  was used to test the significant differences between sites. Samples were collected in replicates and all the measurements, except a few that were excluded for not following normal distribution pattern were considered in statistical analysis. Data normality was determined using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Coefficient of variation (cv) with least significant difference ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ) was computed for expressing data variability. To give an indication of uncertainty of the mean, arithmetic means are accompanied by  $\pm$  SE. The SPSS package (version 16) was used for statistical analysis.

#### **Results and Discussion**

One of the major problems associated with MSW is the production of massive amount of leachate, which if not properly managed, may lead serious threat to environment. Leachate may enter the underground water and/or mixed with runoff and directly contaminate the surface waters (Munafo *et al.*, 2005 and Lee *et al.*, 2006). This has relevance in the present study since the leachate emerging from the study sites could directly contaminate the Ganges, a major river system of India. In the present study, although pH of the leachate did not show a definite trend, other variables such as conductivity, TDS, salinity, BOD and concentration of Cl-, Na+, K+,  $Ca^{2+}$ ,  $NO_3^{-}$  and  $PO_4^{-3-}$  all were comparatively higher at Site 1 (KZP) and lower at site 2 (BPS) (table 1). Between- site differences were significant at p > 0.05for Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, PO<sub>4</sub><sup>-3-</sup> and BOD and at p > 0.01for conductivity, TDS, salinity and Cl<sup>-</sup> (table 1). The temporal and between site variations in leachate quality showed a pattern similar to the previous studies (Farquhar, 1989). Our observations showed a change in leachate quality overtime although the rate of change was lower than those reported for changes in the quality of leachate generated from woodwastes (Tao et al., 2005).

Landfill leachates are generally characterized as a high strength waste water. Leachate generated from mature landfills has typically low biochemical oxygen demand (BOD<sub>5</sub>) indicating resistance to biodegradability (Cortez et al., 2011). In a previous study, the young wood waste leachate showed higher oxygen demand than the older leachates (Tao et al., 2005). In the present study also, the BOD<sub>5</sub> was higher in leachate generated at Site 1 containing greater percentage of younger wastes. The contribution of easily biodegradable fraction to total oxygen demand decreases rapidly overtime, resulting in a more recalcitrant leachate. This indicates that microbial decomposition within the landfill reduces the availability of labile organic carbon for percolation with the age of the landfill. This has relevance regulating concentrations of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) in leachate runoff generally added to down-stream Ganga River.

As water percolate through the waste, contaminants mobilize into the liquid phase through dissociation and suspension from the stationary phase, thus producing a concentrated leachate. In the present study, the low BOD<sub>5</sub> of older landfill leachate pool suggests that the anaerobic degradation might have predominated the degradation process producing  $CH_4$ ,  $CO_2$  and metabolic intermediates. Taylor and Carmichael (2003) reported a significant BOD decline due to storing the leachate in a catch basin under anoxic condition. The anaerobic reducing environment within the landfill causes a decrease in the concentration of nitrate as was evident in the present study.

Relatively low concentration of TDS coupled with synchronous trends in the concentrations of ions was clearly reflected through between-site differences in conductivity (table 1). The biological treatment processes rely on the establishment and activity of mixture of microorganisms and the nutrients that support their growth. Among the many factors regulating leachate treatment efficiency, aerobic biological system operate efficiently with a BOD<sub>5</sub> : N : P ratio of 100: 5: 1 (Forgie, 1988). In the present study, the mean BOD<sub>5</sub>: N: P ratio was 90: 3: 1 at Site 1 and 80: 2: 0.5 at Site 2 indicating that for the treatment of leachate draining from both the study landfills, the aerobic biological systems may operate efficiently without nutrient supplement (Tao *et al.*, 2005).

With respect to leachate temperature, higher temperature in summer months would improve microbial metabolism in the landfill to produce concentrated leachate. Further, the leachate quality showed a mixed effect of moisture and temperature. Month-wise trends observed in the present study did reflect such possibility as the concentrations of ion and nutrients were generally high in summer months (table 1). On the other hand, relatively higher moisture content of MSW in winter months could enhance microbial activity and leaching of ions and soluble compounds. In present study, the leachate quality showed a mixed effect of moisture and temperature. The effect of wet-winter however, was found sub-ordinate to the effect of summer temperature. A marked synchrony between temperature and concentrations of ions and nutrients in leachate confirmed the effect of climate variables on landfill leachate quality (Trankler et al., 2005).

Our observations showed methane emission fluxes of 16.91 to 20.11 mg m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> at Site 1 and 10.73 to 11.14 mg m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> at Site 2 during winter months (January-February). During summer (March-June), the CH<sub>4</sub> flux ranged between 20.27 and 60.20 mg m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> at Site 1 and between 13.89 and 40.20 mg m<sup>-2</sup>h<sup>-1</sup> at Site 2. This suggests that Site 1 with relatively higher proportion of new waste dominated the overall CH<sub>4</sub> emission flux during the study period. Despite range of variabilities, the values of CH. emission flux obtained in the present study are lower than those reported in previous studies. For instance (Börjesson and Svensson, 1997) reported methane emission flux ranging from 0.54 to 320 mg m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> from landfill areas in Sweden. Chen et al. (2008) estimated 8.8 to 163 mg m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> from landfills of Taiwan. Jha et al. (2007) and Ankolkar et al. (2008) quantified methane emission fluxes of 1 to 433 mg m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> and 982.8 to 5972.4 mg m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> from landfills of Chennai and Pune respectively. Similarly, Chakraborty et al. (2011) estimated methane emission fluxes of 1154.3 to 3617.5 mg m<sup>-2</sup>h<sup>-1</sup> from three landfills of Delhi. Similar ranges of methane emission flux were reported by Rawat et al. (2008), Kumar et al. (2004) and Mor et al. (2006) from different landfills of India.



Fig. 1: Methane (a) and carbon dioxide (b) emission flux at two landfill sites of Varanasi. Value are mean  $(n=5) \pm 1$ SE.

Months

Mar

Feb

May

Jun

Apr

100

60

0

Jan

The decomposition of MSW is regulated by factors such as temperature, moisture, composition and age of landfill. The most conducive range of temperature for methane emission is 30 °C- 40 °C. This has relevance since this temperature range prevails in tropical countries including India for a major part of the year. However, CO<sub>2</sub> emission is relatively rapid for easily biodegradable materials where the rate of decomposition is faster especially at high temperature and moisture content. Accordingly, a major fraction of carbon is emitted as CO<sub>2</sub> rather than CH<sub>4</sub> (Jha et al., 2007). Being the cultural capital of India, a major part of the waste generated in Varanasi city comprises of biodegradable and compostable materials. Due possibly to this reason CH<sub>4</sub> emission flux were lower in present study compared to other observations. Although the CO<sub>2</sub> emission flux did override CH<sub>4</sub> emission flux, the CO<sub>2</sub> emission was relatively lower than those reported in previous studies conducted at other landfills of India (Jha et al., 2007).

the sa	me letter are :	significantly di	ifferent at ${}^{b}p > 0$	$0.05$ ; $^{c}p > 0.0$	l; a : not signi	ificant.						
Variables				KZP						BPS		
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
Temp( <sup>0</sup> C)	$21.4^{a}$	25.1 <sup>a</sup>	29.6ª	39.9ª	$43.6^{a}$	48.7ª	$20.8^{a}$	23.5ª	27.9ª	38.3ª	39.4ª	$42.6^{b}$
pH	6.98ª	7.1 <sup>a</sup>	$7.06^{a}$	6.03 <sup>a</sup>	6.12 <sup>a</sup>	6.09ª	5.35 <sup>b</sup>	6.92ª	$6.48^{a}$	7.21 <sup>b</sup>	7.32 <sup>b</sup>	7.93 <sup>b</sup>
Cond (iS)	2678ª	2652 <sup>a</sup>	$2870^{a}$	$4961^{a}$	5248ª	5510 <sup>a</sup>	1294°	$1286^{\circ}$	$1320^{\circ}$	1792°	1731°	1883°
TDS (ppm)	1728 <sup>a</sup>	1794ª	1910 <sup>a</sup>	$2994^{a}$	2968ª	$3810^{a}$	784°	732°	820°	1432°	1569°	$1620^{\circ}$
Sal (ppm)	994ª	$986^{a}$	$1280^{a}$	2748ª	2756 <sup>a</sup>	2872ª	598°	<del>6</del> 08°	632°	1132°	$1094^{\circ}$	1190°
Cl-(mg/l)	483.95 <sup>a</sup>	496.35 <sup>a</sup>	571.55ª	703.55 <sup>a</sup>	698.95ª	763.25 <sup>a</sup>	173.5°	186.3°	195.25°	276.3°	298.5°	308.8°
Na <sup>+</sup> (ppm	81.67 <sup>a</sup>	77.49ª	83.81 <sup>a</sup>	29.46ª	31.48ª	$32.48^{a}$	54.38°	49.02 <sup>b</sup>	$56.31^{b}$	$18.34^{b}$	$18.96^{\circ}$	19.56 <sup>b</sup>
K <sup>+</sup> (ppm)	$21.84^{a}$	21.63 <sup>a</sup>	22.66 <sup>a</sup>	28.32 <sup>a</sup>	33.48ª	$40.04^{a}$	13.22 <sup>b</sup>	$13.36^{b}$	14.81 <sup>b</sup>	24.48 <sup>b</sup>	$26.36^{b}$	27.96 <sup>b</sup>
Ca <sup>2+</sup> (ppm)	97.36ª	105.73 <sup>a</sup>	112.7 <sup>a</sup>	27.62 <sup>a</sup>	$30.84^{a}$	32.23 <sup>a</sup>	76.32 <sup>b</sup>	76.81°	81.12 <sup>b</sup>	19.41 <sup>b</sup>	19.94°	21.8 <sup>b</sup>
$NO_{3}^{-}(mg/l)$	2.68 <sup>a</sup>	$2.77^{\mathrm{a}}$	$2.80^{a}$	$3.98^{a}$	$3.86^{a}$	$4.08^{a}$	1.53 <sup>b</sup>	1.55 <sup>b</sup>	$1.69^{b}$	$1.98^{b}$	$1.16^{b}$	2.44 <sup>b</sup>
$PO_4^{3-}(mg/l)$	0.12 <sup>a</sup>	$0.07^{a}$	$0.06^{a}$	$1.69^{a}$	1.63 <sup>a</sup>	$1.76^{a}$	$0.04^{b}$	$0.05^{a}$	$0.05^{a}$	$0.65^{b}$	0.69 <sup>b</sup>	$0.75^{\mathrm{b}}$
BOD (mg/l)	86.32ª	73.28ª	78.92ª	102.38ª	$102.46^{a}$	136.22 <sup>a</sup>	62.91 <sup>b</sup>	64.48 <sup>b</sup>	73.52ª	86.38 <sup>b</sup>	92.94ª	96.48°

Table 1: Characteristics of leachate from two landfill sites at Varanasi. Values are in mgL<sup>-1</sup> except temperature (°C), pH and conductivity (iS). Between-site values not following

Methane emission fluxes were relatively lower in winter months and increased sharply in summer months. Similar trends were reported from landfill sites of Chennai (Jha et al., 2007), Pune (Ankokar et al., 2008) and Delhi (Chakraborty et al., 2011). Although no direct correlation between CH<sub>4</sub> emission flux and moisture content could be found, yet the impact of moisture content was evident during winter month. Trankler et al. (2005) showed that methane oxidation in tropical landfills is enhanced with a rise in the moisture content. In the present study, moisture content of both the landfills were 10-20% higher in winter months relative to summer. However, despite the influence of moisture content, the CH<sub>4</sub> emission flux was higher in summer months indicating the predominant influence of temperature and other factors. The CO<sub>2</sub> emission is relatively rapid for easily biodegradable materials where rate of decomposition is faster especially at high temperature and moisture content. Accordingly, a major fraction of carbon is emitted as CO<sub>2</sub> rather than CH<sub>4</sub> (Jha et al., 2007).

In addition to the between-site differences, our insitu measurement data showed intra-site variations as reflected by high value of coefficient of variation (cv). Intra-site variations could be attributed to the age and composition of waste as well as the percentage of degradable organic carbon (Chakraborty et al., 2011). Although not well understood, the landfill management practices such as the type of covering materials, degree of compaction etc., could also influence within-site methane and carbon dioxide emission fluxes. In addition to other factors, wide variations in CH4 and CO2 emission fluxes reported from different landfills could be linked to management practices and incomparable frequencies. For instance, landfills covered with excavated soil or construction and demolition wastes generate relatively smaller quantity of methane due probably to the soil being a strong sink of atmospheric methane. Under such condition the process of oxidation transfers methane to carbon dioxide (Houghton et al., 1992 and Chakraborty et al., 2011). This has scientific relevance and could be suggested as a management practice to be initiated for mitigating methane emission unless otherwise it is translated in a usable energy source. Although more exhaustive data sets are needed, the present study that forms the first report from Varanasi landfills, will help reducing uncertainties in  $CH_4$  and  $CO_2$  emission scenario.

## Conclusion

The landfills are becoming an increasing cause of concern pertaining to green house gas emission and release of concentrated leachate. In the present study, the BOD<sub>s</sub>: N: P ratios of landfill leachate indicated that the aerobic biological degradation may operate successfully without nutrient supplement for leachate treatment. From human perspectives, it is arguably the Ganga River that is of greatest concern as the river receives leachate draining from the landfills. Under the prevailing state of climatic drivers, the emission of carbon dioxide from both the landfills was over five times higher than that of the methane emission. The rates were higher at young landfill site. The methane emission flux observed in the present study was lower than those reported from other landfills in India. Emission of both the green house gases ( $CH_{4}$  and  $CO_{2}$ ) appeared for more sensitive to temperature than to moisture content. Although more exhaustive data sets are needed, the present study that forms the first report from Varanasi landfills, will help reducing uncertainties in CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> emission scenario.

# Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Coordinator, Centre of Advanced Study in Botany, Banaras Hindu University and Dr S. K. Dubey for facilities. One of the authors (PK) is thankful to University Grants Commission, New Delhi for funding support in the form of Junior Research Fellowship.

#### References

- APHA, American Public Health Association (1998). Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, Washington DC.
- Ankolkar, A. B., M. K. Choudhury and P. K. Selvi (2008). Assessment of methane emission from municipal solid waste disposal sites. *Curr. Sci.*, **12(4)** : 49-55.
- Bala, G. (2013). Digesting 400 ppm for global mean CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. *Curr. Sci.*, **104** : 1471-1472.
- Ballantyne, A. P., C. B. Alden, J. B. Miller, P. P. Tens and J. W. C. White (2012). Increased in observed carbon dioxide uptake by land and oceans during the past 50 years. *Nature*, **488** :70-72.
- Börjesson, G. and B. H. Svensson (1997). Seasonal and diurnal methane emission from a landfill and their regulation by methane oxidation. *Wast. Manage. Res.*, **15** : 33-54.
- Chakraborty, M., C. Sharma, J. Pandey, N. Singh and P. K. Gupta (2011). Methane emission estimation from landfills in Delhi: A comparative assessment of different methodologies. *Atmos. Environ.*, 45: 7135-7142.
- Chang, C. H., I. C. Chen and S. S. Yang (2009). Methane and carbon dioxide emissions from different composting periods. *Terr. Atmos. Ocean. Sci.*, **20** : 511-520.
- Chen, I. C., U. Hegde, C. H. Chang and S. S. Yang (2008). Methane and carbondioxide emissions from closed landfill in Taiwan. *Chemosphere*, **70** : 1484-1491.

- Cicerone, R. J. and R. S. Oremland (1988). Biogeochemical aspects of atmospheric methane. *Global Biogeochem*. *Cyc.*, **2**:299-327.
- Cortez, S., P. Teixeira, R. Oliveira and M. Mota (2011). Mature landfill leachate treatment by denitrification and ozonation. *Process Biochem.*, 46: 148-153.
- Farquhar, G. J (1989). Leachate production and characterization. *Can. J. Civ. Eng.*, **16** : 317-325.
- Flury, S., F. M. Daniel and M. O. Gessner (2010). Methane emission from a freshwater marsh in response to experimentally simulated global warming and nitrogen enrichment. J. Geophys. Res., 115:1-9.
- Forgie, D. J. L (1988). Selection of the most appropriate leachate treatment methods part 1: a review of potential leachate treatment methods. *Wat. Pollut. Res. J.*, 23: 308-328.
- Forster, P., V. Ramaswamy, P. Artaxo, T. Betts, D. W. Fahey, J. Haywood, J. Lean, D. C. Lowe, G. Myhre, J. Naganga, R. Prinn, G. Raga, M. Schulz and R. VanDornald (2007). Change in atmospheric constituents and in radiative forcing. Cambridge University Press.
- Houghton, J. T., B. A. Callander and S. K. Varney (1992). Climate change: The supplementary report to the IPCC scientific assessment. University Press, Cambridge.
- IPCC (2007). The physical science basis. In: Contribution of working group I to the fourth assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Ithnin, A., M. N. Awang, M. Fidaie, A. Halim and A. M. Ridzuam (2012). Study on the effect of leachates from old dumping site on water quality of Sungai Batu in Taman Wahya II, Selayang, Selangon. *Global J. Environ. Res.*, 6 : 22-29.
- Jha, A. K., C. Sharma, N. Singh, R. Ramesh, R. Purveja and P. K. Gupta (2007). Greenhouse Gas emission from municipal solid waste management in Indian mega-cities: A case study of Chennai landfill sites. *Chemosphere*, **71** : 750-758.
- Kumar, S., A. N. Mandal, S. A. Gaikwad, S. Devotta and R. N. Singh (2004). Qualitative assessment of methane emission inventory from municipal solid waste disposal sites: A case study. *Atmos. Environ.*, **38** : 4921-4929.
- Lee, J. Y., J. Y. Cheon, H. P. Kwon, H. S. Yoon, S. S. Lee, J. H. Kim, J. K. Park and C. G. Kim (2006). Attenuation of landfill leachate at two uncontrolled landfills. *Environ. Geol.*, 51 :581-593.
- Mackereth, F. J. H. (1963). Some methods of water analysis for limnologists. Scientific publication no. 21. Freshwater Biological Association, Ambleside.
- Majumdar, D., S. Kumar, H. Pathak, M. C. Jain and U. Kumar (2000). Reducing nitrous oxide emission from an irrigated

rice field of North India with nitrification inhibitors. *Agri. Ecol. Environ.*, **81** : 163-169.

- Matthews, E. and I. Fung (1987). Methane emission from natural wetlands: global distribution, area and environment characteristics of sources. *Global Biogeochem. Cyc.*, **1** : 61-86.
- Mor, S. R, Khaiwal, R. Visscher, A. D. R. P. Dahiya and A. Chandra (2006). Municipal solid waste characterization and its assessment for potential methane generation: a case study. *Sci. Total. Environ.*, **371** : 1-10.
- Monastersky, R (2013). Global carbon dioxide levels near worrisome milestone. *Nature*, **497**: 13-14.
- Munafo, M., G. Cecchi, F. Baiocco and L. Mancini (2005). River pollution from non-point sources: A new simplified method of assessment. J. Environ. Manage., 77: 93-98.
- Rawat, M., U. K. Singh, A. K. Mishra and V. Subramanian (2008). Methane emission from landfill areas of India. *Environ. Monit. Asses.*, **137**: 67-74.
- Sharholy, M., K. Ahmad, G. Mahmood and R. C. Trivedi (2005). Analysis of municipal solid waste management systems in Delhi- a review. *In:* Proceedings of the Second International Congress of Chemistry and Environment, Indore, India. 773-777.
- Smith, L. K, W. M. Lewis, J. P. Chanton, G. Cronin and S. K. Hamilton (2000). Methane emission from the Orinoco river floodplain, Venezuela. *Biogeochemistry*, **51** : 113-140.
- Tao, W., K. J. Hall, A. Mashough, K. Frankowski and S. J. B. Duff(2005). Characterization of leachate from a woodwaste pile. *Wat. Qual. Res. J. Can.*, 40 : 476-483.
- Taylor, B. R. and N. B. Carmichael (2003). Toxicity and chemistry of aspen wood leachate to aquatic life : field study. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.*, **22** : 2048-2056.
- Trankler, J., C. Visvanathan, P. Kurupan and Tubitimthai (2005). Influence of tropical seasonal variations on landfill leachate characteristic- result from lysimeten studied. *Wast. Manage.*, 25 : 1013-1020.
- Vargas, R., E. A. Yepez, J. L. Andrade, G. Angeles, T. Arredondo, A. E. Castellanos, J. Delgado-Balbuena, J. Garatuza-Payan, E. Gonzalez Del Castillo, W. Oechel, J. C. Rodriguez, A. Sanchez-Azofeifa, E. Velasco, E. R. Vivoni and C. Watts (2013). Progress and opportunities for monitoring greenhouse gases fluxes in Mexican ecosystems: the Mexflux network. *Atmosfera*, 26: 325-336.
- Voghe, A. I. (1971). A textbook of quantitative inorganic analysis, 4<sup>th</sup> edition. The English language book society, longman, Essex.