



# EFFECT OF GRADED LEVELS OF NITROGEN AND PHOSPHORUS ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF GLADIOLUS (*GLADIOLUS GRANDIFLORUS*L.) CV. WHITE PROSPERITY IN COASTAL A.P., INDIA

K. Chandana and A. V. D. Dorajeerao

Department of Floriculture & Landscaping, Horticultural College and Research Institute, Venkataramannagudem (via Tadepalligudem) – 534 101, West Godavari Dt. (Andhra Pradesh), India.

## Abstract

A study was conducted to evaluate the effect of graded doses of nitrogen and phosphorus on growth and yield of gladiolus at Horticultural College and Research Institute, Venkataramannagudem in 2012-13. The spike yield was maximum with nitrogen dose of 300 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, phosphorus dose of 200 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> both individually and in combination. The total weight of corm per plant was maximum with the treatment combination of nitrogen at 400 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> plus phosphorus at 200 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The vegetative parameters like plant height, number of leaves, leaf area, dry weight of leaves, dry weight of flower, growth parameters like leaf area index (LAI), crop growth rate (CGR), net assimilation rate (NAR) and the uptake of nitrogen and phosphorus was recorded highest with a dose of nitrogen at 300 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> plus phosphorus at 200 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Even though, the fertilizer dose of nitrogen at 400 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> plus phosphorus at 200 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was good at initial crop growth stages, the same was not continued in the later stages with respect to the growth parameters.

**Key words :** Gladiolus, nitrogen, phosphorus, spike and corm.

## Introduction

In the growing towns and cities of coastal Andhra Pradesh, utility of cut flowers and search for easily available modern cut flowers is at increasing trend. Any attempt to encourage cut flower production in the region not only helps the florists and consumers to get fresh and quality cut flowers regularly, but also helps the small and marginal farmers in the region to improve their economic condition. Agro techniques of these flower crops have to be standardized with respect to coastal Andhra Pradesh conditions in general and Venkataramannagudem in particular.

Gladiolus belongs to the family Iridaceae. The crop is a native to South Africa and was introduced into India during early part of 19<sup>th</sup> century (Apte, 1959). Different agro-techniques play an important role in the growth and development of gladiolus crop and among them nutrition is one of the utmost important aspects, which directly influences spike yield and quality. Gladiolus being highly responsive crop to nutrition, requires large doses of macro nutrients *viz.*, nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium (Shankar and Dubey, 2005).

## Materials and Methods

The soils of Venkataramannagudem area are red loams, which are graded as high in nitrogen (186 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), high in phosphorus (32.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and medium to high in potassium (215 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The area receives an average of 900 mm rain fall, a major proportion of which is received during June to October *i.e.* by S-W monsoon. The experiment on the effect of graded levels of nitrogen and phosphorus on growth, yield and quality of gladiolus was conducted at Horticultural College and Research Institute, Venkataramannagudem (A.P.), India with an objective of finding out the best combination of nitrogen and phosphorus dose that would result in superior performance in respect of growth, yield and quality of gladiolus flowers. The experiment was conducted with four levels of nitrogen (100, 200, 300 and 400 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and four levels of phosphorus in terms of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (100, 150, 200 and 250 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) making sixteen treatment combinations in Factorial Randomised Block Design with three replications. The net plot size was 2.7 m × 1.8 m with a spacing of 30 cm × 20 cm.

**Table 1:** Plant height (cm) as influenced by nitrogen, phosphorus and their interactions in gladiolus cv. White prosperity

Treatment	30 DAP				60 DAP				90DAP						
	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>4</sub>	Mean	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>4</sub>	Mean	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>4</sub>	Mean
N <sub>1</sub>	24.00	24.23	25.42	25.43	24.77	45.50	50.86	51.82	53.63	50.45	70.25	72.12	73.25	74.32	72.48
N <sub>2</sub>	26.32	27.50	28.00	28.46	27.57	56.42	60.00	61.00	61.33	59.68	74.96	77.38	83.83	85.34	80.37
N <sub>3</sub>	26.42	28.50	30.00	33.92	29.71	56.96	62.56	74.32	64.89	64.68	75.00	88.12	106.6	93.25	90.74
N <sub>4</sub>	27.82	27.25	31.32	29.23	28.90	60.21	59.26	67.08	64.08	62.15	81.00	76.96	97.01	91.65	86.65
Mean	26.14	26.87	28.68	29.26	27.73	54.77	58.17	63.55	60.98	59.24	75.30	78.64	90.17	86.14	82.56
	SEm±				CD at 5%	SEm±				CD at 5%	SEm±				CD at 5%
N	0.33				0.96	0.60				1.74	0.54				1.58
P	0.33				0.96	0.60				1.74	0.54				1.58
N × P	0.66				1.92	1.20				3.48	1.08				3.16

**Table 2:** Number of leaves as influenced by nitrogen, phosphorus and their interactions in gladiolus cv. White prosperity

Treatment	30 DAP				60 DAP				90DAP						
	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>4</sub>	Mean	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>4</sub>	Mean	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>4</sub>	Mean
N <sub>1</sub>	3.40	3.47	3.55	3.60	3.50	5.26	5.33	5.46	5.56	5.40	7.75	8.36	8.68	8.96	8.43
N <sub>2</sub>	3.60	4.12	4.34	4.26	4.08	5.98	6.50	7.52	7.20	6.80	9.12	9.26	12.56	10.68	10.40
N <sub>3</sub>	3.87	4.26	4.42	4.40	4.23	6.24	7.40	8.33	7.92	7.47	9.20	12.25	13.45	12.80	11.92
N <sub>4</sub>	4.12	3.76	4.65	4.24	4.19	6.96	5.80	7.60	6.98	6.83	10.21	9.16	12.62	11.00	10.74
Mean	3.74	3.90	4.24	4.12	4.00	6.11	6.25	7.22	6.91	6.62	9.07	9.75	11.82	10.86	10.37
	SEm±				CD at 5%	SEm±				CD at 5%	SEm±				CD at 5%
N	0.10				0.31	0.14				0.41	0.19				0.56
P	0.10				0.31	0.14				0.41	0.19				0.56
N × P	0.20				N.S.	0.28				0.82	0.38				1.12

**Table 3 :** Leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>) as influenced by nitrogen, phosphorus and their interactions in gladiolus cv. White prosperity.

Treatment	30 DAP				60 DAP				90DAP						
	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>4</sub>	Mean	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>4</sub>	Mean	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>4</sub>	Mean
N <sub>1</sub>	161.20	172.90	177.80	179.00	172.70	540.10	590.00	619.20	635.20	596.10	780.60	796.70	806.34	835.60	804.83
N <sub>2</sub>	179.80	185.00	194.90	196.10	188.90	678.40	698.80	780.10	745.60	725.70	915.30	932.60	956.40	930.00	933.61
N <sub>3</sub>	180.90	193.10	201.200	197.20	193.10	695.30	775.60	894.50	814.30	794.90	914.10	946.20	1120.90	993.20	993.66
N <sub>4</sub>	183.80	180.80	194.90	184.20	185.90	712.90	643.10	781.10	736.10	718.30	926.20	898.90	959.90	928.70	928.49
Mean	176.40	182.90	192.20	189.10	185.15	656.70	676.90	768.70	732.80	708.70	884.10	893.60	960.90	921.90	915.14
	SEm±				CD at 5%	SEm±				CD at 5%	SEm±				CD at 5%
N	1.19				3.44	2.01				5.82	5.94				17.18
P	1.19				3.44	2.01				5.82	5.94				17.18
N × P	2.38				6.88	4.03				11.65	11.88				34.37

**Table 4:** Dry weight (g) of leaves as influenced by nitrogen, phosphorus and their interactions in gladiolus cv. White prosperity

Treatment	30 DAP					60 DAP					90DAP				
	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>4</sub>	Mean	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>4</sub>	Mean	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>4</sub>	Mean
N <sub>1</sub>	2.62	2.86	3.05	3.28	2.95	6.92	7.43	8.93	10.07	8.338	8.00	8.520	9.97	11.12	9.40
N <sub>2</sub>	3.52	4.50	5.08	7.60	5.17	10.76	11.37	13.47	16.08	12.92	12.03	13.08	17.06	18.52	15.17
N <sub>3</sub>	5.10	5.62	9.30	8.54	7.14	11.71	12.63	19.70	19.31	15.83	13.92	15.50	22.43	21.19	18.26
N <sub>4</sub>	6.40	6.82	9.03	8.02	7.56	14.62	15.13	18.46	17.92	16.53	17.97	18.20	22.08	20.15	19.60
Mean	4.41	4.95	6.61	6.86	5.70	11.00	11.64	15.14	15.84	13.40	12.98	13.82	17.88	17.74	15.60
	SEm±					SEm±					SEm±				
N	0.14					0.28					0.22				
P	0.14					0.28					0.22				
N × P	0.29					0.56					0.44				
	CD at 5%					CD at 5%					CD at 5%				
	0.42					0.81					0.64				
	0.42					0.81					0.64				
	0.84					1.62					1.29				

## Results and Discussion

### Plant height (cm)

Plant height showed significant differences among the different graded levels of nitrogen and phosphorus and their interactions at 30, 60 and 90 days after planting (table 1). The mean plant height increased from 27.73 cm at 30 DAP to 82.56 cm at 90 DAP. At 90 DAP, N<sub>3</sub> (90.74 cm) recorded maximum height followed by N<sub>4</sub> (86.65 cm) and among phosphorus levels, P<sub>3</sub> registered the highest (90.17 cm) followed by P<sub>4</sub> (86.14 cm). Among interaction effects, N<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub> recorded the highest plant height (106.6 cm) which was followed by N<sub>4</sub>P<sub>3</sub> (97.01 cm) and the minimum (72.48 cm) was found in N<sub>1</sub>, P<sub>1</sub> (75.30 cm) and N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub> (70.25 cm).

The increased plant height obtained at higher doses on different days after planting revealed that nitrogen had an encouraging effect on plant height as it forms an important constituent of chlorophyll, proteins and amino acids, which can be also confirmed by the data on nitrogen content. This might had resulted in better photosynthesis. Phosphorus stimulates generation of rootlets and nurture the roots. It is also an important constituent in energy rich compounds and thus an indispensable element in energy metabolism. This is involved in the synthesis of growth stimulating compounds, absorption of nutrients, cell division and cell growth which might result in vigorous growth. On the other hand, plants with low levels of nitrogen and phosphorus were under developed and shorter in stature. These results are in confirmation with the findings of Shaukat *et al.* (2012), Kumar and Misra (2011), Patel *et al.* (2010), Mahgoub *et al.* (2006) in gladiolus.

### Number of leaves

The data on number of leaves produced at different stages of crop growth as influenced by graded levels of nitrogen and phosphorus and their interactions are presented in table 2. The mean number of leaves increased from 4.0 at 30 DAP to 10.37 at 90 DAP. The differences among the values of number of leaves were found significant at all stages of crop growth except at 30 DAP.

At 90 DAP, N<sub>3</sub> registered maximum number of leaves per plant (11.92) which was on par with N<sub>4</sub> (10.74) followed by N<sub>2</sub> (10.40). P<sub>3</sub> recorded the highest number of leaves (11.82) per plant followed by P<sub>4</sub> (10.86) and the lowest (8.43) was recorded by N<sub>1</sub> and P<sub>1</sub> (9.07). Among the interactions, N<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub> recorded highest number of leaves (13.45) which was at par with N<sub>3</sub>P<sub>4</sub> (12.80), N<sub>4</sub>P<sub>3</sub> (12.62) and N<sub>2</sub>P<sub>3</sub> (12.56) followed by N<sub>3</sub>P<sub>2</sub> (12.25). Number of leaves per plant was recorded at minimum

**Table 5 :** Dry weight (g) of stem and flower at final harvest as influenced by nitrogen, phosphorus and their interactions in gladiolus cv. White prosperity.

Treatment	Dry weights of stem (g)					Dry weights of flower (g)				
	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>4</sub>	Mean	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>4</sub>	Mean
N <sub>1</sub>	2.33	2.45	2.72	2.98	2.62	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05
N <sub>2</sub>	3.26	3.86	4.42	4.78	4.08	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.05
N <sub>3</sub>	4.05	4.20	4.84	5.03	4.53	0.06	0.06	0.08	0.07	0.07
N <sub>4</sub>	4.53	4.67	5.46	5.24	4.97	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.06
Mean	3.54	3.79	4.36	4.50	4.05	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06
	SEm±			CD at 5%		SEm±			CD at 5%	
N	0.11			0.33		0.0004			0.001	
P	0.11			0.33		0.0004			0.001	
N × P	0.22			N.S.		0.0008			0.002	

**Table 6 :** Total dry weight of plant and spike at harvest as influenced by nitrogen, phosphorus and their interactions in gladiolus cv. White prosperity

Treatment	Total dry weight of plant (g)					Dry weight of spike at final harvest (g)				
	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>4</sub>	Mean	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>4</sub>	Mean
N <sub>1</sub>	10.87	11.56	13.00	14.39	12.45	2.38	2.50	2.77	3.03	2.67
N <sub>2</sub>	14.90	18.22	22.60	25.24	20.24	3.31	3.91	4.48	4.83	4.13
N <sub>3</sub>	17.90	23.05	28.93	27.4	24.32	4.11	4.26	4.91	5.10	4.59
N <sub>4</sub>	22.76	20.80	27.89	26.48	24.48	4.59	4.74	5.52	5.30	5.04
Mean	16.60	18.40	23.10	23.38	20.37	3.60	3.85	4.42	4.57	4.10
	SEm±			CD at 5%		SEm±			CD at 5%	
N	0.16			0.46		0.11			0.33	
P	0.16			0.46		0.11			0.33	
N × P	0.32			0.92		0.22			N.S.	

(7.75) in N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub>, which was on par with N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>2</sub> (8.36) and N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>3</sub> (8.68). These findings are in accordance with Javid *et al.* (2005) in zinnia (*Zinnia elegans*) cv. Giant Dahlia Flowered Blue Point Series, Devi and Singh (2010) in tuberose, Kumar and Misra (2011) and Shaukat *et al.* (2012) in gladiolus.

#### Leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>)

There were significant differences in leaf area due to the graded levels of nitrogen and phosphorus and their interaction at different stages of crop growth (table 3). The mean leaf area increased from 185.85 cm<sup>2</sup> at 30 DAP to 915.14 cm<sup>2</sup> 90 DAP. At 90 DAP maximum leaf area was obtained by N<sub>3</sub> (993.66 cm<sup>2</sup>) followed by N<sub>2</sub> (933.61 cm<sup>2</sup>), P<sub>3</sub> (960.90 cm<sup>2</sup>) followed by P<sub>4</sub> (921.90 cm<sup>2</sup>) and N<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub> (1120.90 cm<sup>2</sup>) followed by N<sub>3</sub>P<sub>4</sub> (993.20 cm<sup>2</sup>). The corresponding minimum values were recorded by N<sub>1</sub> (804.83 cm<sup>2</sup>), P<sub>1</sub> (884.10 cm<sup>2</sup>) and N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub> (780.6 cm<sup>2</sup>) followed by N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>2</sub> (796.70 cm<sup>2</sup>).

A comparative examination of leaf area and number of leaves per plant indicated that more the number of leaves per plant more was the leaf area both being lesser at lower nutrient doses as compared to higher nutrient doses. The number of leaves per plant and leaf area was

constantly increasing in the plants receiving N<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub>. As compared to higher levels of nitrogen and phosphorus significant increase in both these parameters was found to record only up to this level clearly establishing the optimum degree of these levels under local agro-climatic conditions. Similar findings were reported by Shaukat *et al.* (2012), Kumar and Misra (2011), Patel *et al.* (2010), Mahgoub *et al.* (2006), Haitbura and Misra (1999), Parthiban and Khadar (1991) in gladiolus.

#### Dry weight of leaves (g) at 30, 60 and 90 DAP

Dry weight of leaf differed significantly due to nitrogen, phosphorus as well as their interaction at all growth stages except at 30 DAP (table 4). The mean dry weight of leaves increased from 5.70 g at 30 DAP to 15.60 g at 90 DAP. At 60 DAP the highest dry weight of leaves was obtained with N<sub>4</sub> (16.53 g), which was on par with N<sub>3</sub> (15.83 g), but significantly different from N<sub>2</sub> (12.92 g). The dry weights of leaves at 90 DAP was maximum in N<sub>4</sub> (19.60 g), which was on par with N<sub>3</sub> (18.26 g) and among phosphorus levels highest dry weight of leaves was obtained in P<sub>3</sub> (17.88 g), which was on par with P<sub>4</sub> (17.74 g). The combination of N<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub> registered the highest leaf dry weight (22.43 g), which was on par

Table 7: Leaf area index as influenced by nitrogen, phosphorus and their interactions in gladiolus cv. White prosperity

Treatment	30 DAP					60 DAP					90 DAP				
	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>4</sub>	Mean	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>4</sub>	Mean	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>4</sub>	Mean
N <sub>1</sub>	0.26	0.28	0.29	0.29	0.28	0.90	0.98	1.03	1.05	0.99	1.30	1.32	1.34	1.39	1.33
N <sub>2</sub>	0.29	0.30	0.32	0.32	0.30	1.13	1.16	1.30	1.24	1.20	1.52	1.55	1.59	1.55	1.55
N <sub>3</sub>	0.32	0.30	0.32	0.32	0.31	1.15	1.29	1.49	1.29	1.30	1.52	1.57	1.80	1.60	1.62
N <sub>4</sub>	0.30	0.30	0.32	0.30	0.30	1.18	1.07	1.30	1.22	1.19	1.50	1.40	1.59	1.54	1.50
Mean	0.28	0.30	0.31	0.30	0.30	1.09	1.12	1.28	1.20	1.17	1.46	1.46	1.58	1.52	1.50
	SEM±					SEM±					SEM±				
N	0.01					0.01					0.01				
P	0.01					0.01					0.01				
N × P	0.02					0.02					0.02				
	CD at 5%					CD at 5%					CD at 5%				
	0.02					0.04					0.03				
	N.S.					0.04					0.03				
	N.S.					0.08					0.06				

with N<sub>4</sub>P<sub>3</sub> (22.08 g) and N<sub>3</sub>P<sub>4</sub> (21.19 g). The lowest dry weight of leaves was recorded by N<sub>1</sub> (9.40 g), P<sub>1</sub> (12.98 g) and N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub> (8.0 g).

#### Dry weight of stem at 90 DAP

The data on dry weight of stem at 90 DAP as influenced by various levels of nitrogen and phosphorus are presented in table 5. The highest dry weight of stem was registered in N<sub>4</sub> (4.97 g), P<sub>4</sub> (4.50 g) and N<sub>4</sub>P<sub>3</sub> (5.46 g) whereas, the lowest dry weight was recorded by N<sub>1</sub> (2.62 g), P<sub>1</sub> (3.54 g) and N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub> (2.33 g).

#### Dry weight of florets at 90 DAP

The dry weights of flower significantly differed due to various levels of nitrogen and phosphorus and their interaction (table 5). The maximum dry weight of the flower (0.07 g) was recorded in N<sub>3</sub> among nitrogen levels which was on par with N<sub>4</sub> (0.06 g) and P<sub>3</sub> (0.065 g) among phosphorus levels. With respect to interactions, N<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub> recorded the highest dry weight of the flower (0.078g).

#### Dry weight of whole plant and spike at final harvest

The data on total dry weight of whole plant as influenced by various levels of nitrogen and phosphorus are presented in table 6. The highest dry weight of whole plant was registered in N<sub>4</sub> (24.48 g), P<sub>4</sub> (23.38 g) and N<sub>4</sub>P<sub>3</sub> (27.89 g) whereas, the lowest dry weight was recorded by N<sub>1</sub> (12.45 g), P<sub>1</sub> (16.60 g) and N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub> (10.87 g). N<sub>4</sub> was on par with N<sub>3</sub> (24.32 g) and P<sub>4</sub> was on par with P<sub>3</sub> (23.10 g).

The highest dry weight of spike was registered in N<sub>4</sub> (5.04 g), P<sub>4</sub> (4.57 g) and N<sub>4</sub>P<sub>3</sub> (5.52 g) whereas, the lowest dry weight was recorded by N<sub>1</sub> (2.67 g), P<sub>1</sub> (3.60 g) and N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub> (2.38 g). N<sub>4</sub> was on par with N<sub>3</sub> (4.59 g) and P<sub>4</sub> was on par with P<sub>3</sub> (4.42 g).

#### Leaf area index

The mean values of leaf area index showed an increase from 0.30 at 30 DAP to 1.50 at 90 DAP (table 7). Leaf area index was maximum in N<sub>3</sub> (0.31) followed by N<sub>2</sub> (0.30) and minimum in N<sub>1</sub> (0.28). The P and interactions of nitrogen and phosphorus did not show significant influence on the leaf area index at 30 DAP.

At 90 DAP, the maximum leaf area index was observed in N<sub>3</sub> (1.62) followed by N<sub>2</sub> (1.55). The effect of phosphorus was found to be highest at P<sub>3</sub> level (1.58) followed by P<sub>4</sub> (1.52) and among the interactions combination of N<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub> recorded the highest leaf area index (1.80) followed by N<sub>3</sub>P<sub>4</sub> (1.60). The lowest values were recorded by N<sub>1</sub> (1.33), P<sub>1</sub> (1.46) and N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub> (1.30).

**Table 8:** Crop growth rate (CGR) as influenced by nitrogen, phosphorus and their interactions in gladiolus cv. White prosperity

Treatment	30 - 60 DAP					60 - 90 DAP				
	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>4</sub>	mean	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>4</sub>	mean
N <sub>1</sub>	2.66	2.81	3.54	4.05	3.26	2.19	2.29	2.43	2.57	2.37
N <sub>2</sub>	4.30	4.09	4.93	4.98	4.57	2.85	3.44	4.87	4.53	3.92
N <sub>3</sub>	4.18	4.47	6.05	5.77	5.11	3.85	4.29	5.19	4.47	4.45
N <sub>4</sub>	4.84	4.89	5.98	5.78	5.37	4.79	4.80	4.86	5.05	4.87
mean	3.99	4.06	5.12	5.14	4.58	3.42	3.70	4.33	4.15	3.90
	SEm±			CD at 5%		SEm±			CD at 5%	
N	0.02			0.07		0.05			0.14	
P	0.02			0.07		0.05			0.14	
N × P	0.05			0.14		0.10			0.28	

### Crop growth rate (CGR) (g m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>)

There were significant differences in crop growth rate due to the graded levels of nitrogen and phosphorus and their interaction at different stages of crop growth (table 8). The mean crop growth rate was found to be more (4.58 g m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) between 30 and 60 DAP and less (3.90 g m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) between 60 and 90 DAP. During the first phase (30-60 DAP), maximum crop growth rate was recorded by N<sub>4</sub> (5.37 g m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) followed by N<sub>3</sub> (5.11 g m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>). Among phosphorus levels, P<sub>4</sub> recorded the highest CGR (5.14 g m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>), which was on par with P<sub>3</sub> (5.12 g m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>). With respect to interactions, N<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub> had the highest CGR (6.05 g m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) on par with N<sub>4</sub>P<sub>3</sub> (5.98 g m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) and significantly superior to N<sub>4</sub>P<sub>4</sub> (5.78 g m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>). The lowest crop growth rate values were recorded by N<sub>1</sub> (3.26 g m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>), P<sub>1</sub> (3.99 g m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) and the combination of N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub> (2.66 g m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>).

During the second phase (60-90 DAP), maximum crop growth rate was registered in N<sub>4</sub> (4.87 g m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>), P<sub>3</sub> (4.33 g m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) and N<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub> (5.19 g m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) followed by N<sub>4</sub>P<sub>4</sub> (5.05 g m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>).

### Net assimilation rate (NAR) (g m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>)

The net assimilation rate (NAR) was found to be more (6.50 g m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) between 30 and 60 DAP and less (2.86 g m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) between 60 and 90 DAP (table 9). During the first phase (30-60 DAP), maximum NAR was recorded by N<sub>4</sub> (7.47 g m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) followed by N<sub>3</sub> (6.88 g m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>). Among phosphorus levels, P<sub>3</sub> recorded the highest NAR (7.23 g m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) which was on par with P<sub>4</sub> (6.96 g m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>). With respect to interactions, N<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub> had the highest NAR (8.60 g m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>). The lowest NAR values were recorded by N<sub>1</sub> (5.21 g m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>), P<sub>1</sub> (5.94 g m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) and the combination of N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub> (4.48 g m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>). These treatments continued to record greater values of net assimilation rate during the second phase (30-60 DAP) also.

The results obtained on total dry weight and individual

part wise dry weights recorded at periodic intervals as well as growth indices like LAI, CGR and NAR made it clear that the plants receiving higher levels of nutrients could produce more dry weights as compared to those supplied with lower doses. In most of the parameters, the highest values were recorded by N<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub> levels as compared to other lower and higher levels. While the lower levels were failing to fulfil the plants requirements, higher levels could have led to non-synchronous growth functions and ultimately reducing the systems efficiency beyond N<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub>. This nutrient combination sustained a higher leaf area index until the final stage of the crop indicating that the photosynthetic surface of the plant was maintained higher even after the plant had entered reproductive phase. Similarly crop growth rate and net assimilation rates were found to decline at second phase of crop's life cycle, but the treatment of N<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub> was found to record comparatively a better growth rate and assimilation rate, which might form a sufficiently stronger foundation to generate more reproductive structures of better quality. These findings were in confirmation with the results of Patel *et al.* (2010), Mahgoub *et al.* (2006), Yousuf *et al.* (2006), Sharma *et al.* (2003), Waly *et al.* (2001), Vijayakumar *et al.* (1988) in gladiolus and Dorajee Rao (2010) in chrysanthemum.

### Spike yield per plot

The highest spike yield per plot (56.34) was recorded by N<sub>4</sub>, which was on par with N<sub>3</sub> (54.27) (table 10). Among the phosphorus levels P<sub>3</sub> recorded the highest spike yield (52.92) followed by P<sub>4</sub> (48.78). Among the interactions N<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub> registered the highest spike yield per plot (66.60), which was on par with N<sub>4</sub>P<sub>3</sub> (62.64) followed by N<sub>4</sub>P<sub>4</sub> (57.60). The least spike yield per plot was recorded in N<sub>1</sub> (38.52), P<sub>1</sub> (44.46) and among interactions N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub> registered the least spike yield per plot (36.72).

The spike yield per plot was found to increase with every increase in the nitrogen level up to N<sub>4</sub> level. Supply

of phosphorus could bring about an improvement in these parameters up to  $P_3$  level only. Interaction effect was found to be highest at the combination of  $N_3$  and  $P_3$ . It can also be pointed out here that the increase in these parameters after  $N_3$  level was not statistically significant. Therefore, it can be summarized that better spike yield per plant and per plot was recorded by the treatments  $N_3$  and  $P_3$  individually and in combination. Insignificant increase at higher doses of nitrogen and decrease at higher doses of phosphorus is indicative of negative interaction among themselves and with other nutrients, which might have led to overall inefficiency of the plant-soil-environment system beyond the combination of  $N_3P_3$  under the local conditions of Venkataramannagudem. This combination could have encouraged the plant to put up more dry matter by increased photosynthetic surface or leaf area leading to better outturn of photosynthates, which might have stimulated more floral buds and leading to a better number of spikes per plant and per plot. Not only more number of floral buds were stimulated but also the expansion of spikes and their axes was found to be more at the said combination of nitrogen and phosphorus, as conformed by the results on spike length and rachis length. Similar results were reported by Khan *et al.* (2012), Shaukat *et al.* (2012) and Dalvi *et al.* (2008) in gladiolus.

#### Corm yield per plot

The data pertaining to the number of corms produced per plot was significantly influenced by different levels of nitrogen, phosphorus and their interactions (table 10). The maximum number of corms per plot (45.27) among nitrogen doses was registered in  $N_3$ , which was on par with  $N_4$  (42.84) and among phosphorus levels highest number of corms per plot (44.73) was recorded in  $P_3$ , which was on par with  $P_4$  (41.40). With respect to interactions, the treatment combination of  $N_3P_3$  recorded the highest number of corms per plot (55.08), which was on par with  $N_4P_3$  (48.24) and  $N_3P_4$  (46.8). The least number of corms per plot (36.90) was recorded in  $N_1P_1$  (37.80) and  $N_1P_1$  (36.00).

The number of corms produced per plot increased as the dose of nitrogen and phosphorus increased up to  $300 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  ( $N_3$ ) and  $200 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  ( $P_3$ ), respectively. After this level there was still some marginal increment in the corm yield, but was not significant. This might be due to the fact that there was increase in the supply of photosynthates into corms as the external supply of nutrients was increased up to a threshold level  $N_3P_3$ . An increase beyond  $N_3$  and  $P_3$  could not produce significant improvement, which might be due to their toxic effect at

higher dosages or negative interactions with other nutrients suppressing their availability and consequently reducing the partitioning of assimilates into the corms and cormels. These results are in conformity with the findings of Khan *et al.* (2012), Shaukat *et al.* (2012), Patel *et al.* (2010), Zubair *et al.* (2006) and Ramesh and Raman (2006) in gladiolus.

#### References

- Apte, S. S. (1959). Kieming van gladiolekran I (Sprouting of gladiolus corms I). *Meded Dir. Tuimb*, **21** : 749-753.
- Dalvi, N. V., A. D. Rangwala and G. D. Joshi (2008). Effect of spacing and graded levels of fertilizers on yield attributes of gladiolus. *Journal of Maharashtra Agricultural University*, **33(2)** : 167-170.
- Devi, K. L. and U. C. Singh (2010). Effect of nitrogen on growth, flowering and yield of tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.) cv. Single. *Journal of Ornamental Horticulture*, **13(3)** : 228-232.
- Dorajee Rao, A. V. D. (2010). Standardisation of production technology in garland chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum coronarium* L.). *M.Sc. (Hort.) Thesis*, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad.
- Haitbura, P. and R. L. Misra (1999). Effect of nitrogen sources on vegetative and floral characters of gladiolus cv. Dhanvantari. *Journal of Ornamental Horticulture*, New series, **2** : 111-4.
- Javid, Q. A., N. A. Abbasi, I. A. Hafiz and A. L. Mughal (2005). Performance of zinnia (*Zinnia elegans*) "Dahlia Flowered" Crimson Shade by Application of NPK fertilizer. *International Journal of Agricultural Biology*, **7(3)** : 474-476.
- Khan, F. N., M. M. Rahman, A. J. M. S. Karim and K. M. Hossain (2012). Effects of nitrogen and potassium on growth and yield of gladiolus corms. *Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Research*, **37(4)** : 607-616.
- Kumar, R. and R. L. Misra (2011). Studies on nitrogen application in combination with phosphorus or potassium on gladiolus cv. Jester Gold. *Journal of Ornamental Horticulture*, **68(4)** : 535-539.
- Mahgoub, H. M., A. E. Rawia and Bedour H. Abou Leila (2006). Response of iris bulbs grown in sandy soil to nitrogen and potassium fertilization. *Journal of Applied Sciences Research*, **2(11)** : 899-903.
- Parthiban, S. and M. A. Khader (1991). Effect of N, P and K on yield components and yield in tuberose. *South Indian Horticulture*, **39(6)** : 363-367.
- Patel, N. M., J. R. Desai, S. N. Saravaiya, N. B. Patel, K. A. Patel and R. B. Patel (2010). Influence of chemical fertilizer on growth, quality, corm and cormel production of gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus* L.) cv. Sancerree under South Gujarat conditions. *The Asian Journal of Horticulture*, **5(1)** : 123-126.

- Ramesh, B. and Raman Deep Singh (2006). Response of nitrogen, Phosphorus and corm size on flowering and corm production in gladiolus. *Journal of Ornamental Horticulture*, **9(1)** : 66-68.
- Shankar, D. and P. Dubey (2005). Effect of NPK, FYM and NPK + FYM on growth and flowering and corm yield of gladiolus when propagated through cormels. *Journal of Soils and Crops*, **15(1)** : 34-38.
- Sharma, J. R., R. B. Gupta, R. D. Panwar and R. A. Kaushik (2003). Growth and flowering of gladiolus as affected by N and P levels. *Journal of Ornamental Horticulture*, **6(1)** : 76-77.
- Shaukat, S. A., S. Z. A. Shah, Y. Ishaq, M. Ahmed, S. K. Shaukat and S. W. Shoukat (2012). Influence of phosphorus fertilization on gladiolus corm and flower production. *Scientific Journal of Agricultural*, **1(5)** : 105-111.
- Vijayakumar, K. T., A. A. Patil and N. C. Hulmani (1988). Effect of plant density of nitrogen on growth characters and flower yield of china aster (*Callistephus chinensis* Nees.) cv. Ostrich Plume Mixed. *South Indian Horticulture*, **36(6)** : 318-320.
- Yousif, S. and Mahmoud S Al-Safar (2006). Effect of GA<sub>3</sub> treatment and nitrogen on growth and development of gladiolus corms. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences*, **9(13)** : 2516-2519.
- Zubair, M. and F. K. Gohar Ayub Wazir (2007). Effect of phosphorus levels on yield and quality of corms and cormels of gladiolus cultivars. *Sarhad Journal of Agriculture*, **22(4)** : 623-632.