



EFFECT OF PLANT GROWTH REGULATORS ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF OKRA (*ABELMOSCHUS ESCULENTUS* L.)

S.B.Gadade, V.S.Shinde^{1*}, D. B. Deosarkar and S.S. Shinde

Department of Agricultural Botany, V.N.M.K.V., Parbhani - 431 401 (Maharashtra), India.

¹Department of Plant Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, I.G.K.V., Raipur - 492 012 (C.G.), India.

Abstract

The field experiment was conducted on field of Department of Argil. Botany, College of Agriculture, Parbhani (M.S.), India; during *kharif* season of 2015-2016 to study of plant growth regulators on growth and yield of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.). The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with nine treatments with three replications. The treatments consisted of two growth regulators *viz.*, gibberellic acid (50, 100, 150 and 200 ppm) and naphthalene acetic acid (50, 100, 150 and 200 ppm). Results revealed that the application of plant growth regulators significantly increased morpho-physiological traits *viz.* plant height, number of branches per plant, yield per plant and plot as compared to control.

Key words : Okra, plant growth regulator, GA₃, NAA.

Introduction

Okra is a tall growing, annual, semi woody and warm season crop. It is self-pollinated crop, but occasionally up to 20% cross pollination occurs by insects. The okra flowers blossoms only one day. Okra pods are harvested when they reach the maximum size but still tender (may be 60-180 days from sowing) around 5-10 days after opening of flower depending on the cultivar grown (Adetuyil *et al.*, 2008).

Okra pods are considered nutritious, providing some human supplementary, vitamins such as vitamin C, A, B-complex, calcium, potassium, iron and other minerals (Lee *et al.*, 1990; Adebooye and Opunta, 1996). Okra pod contains many nutritional contents which important for human health. One hundred gram of fresh pod has around; moisture (89.6 percent), K (103 mg), Ca (90 mg), Mg (43 mg), P (56 mg), vitamin C (18 mg) and some important metals such as iron and aluminum (Markose and Peter, 1990). The application of plant growth regulators is known as one of the most effective treatments used now a days in agriculture, productivity of horticulture crop productions were increased by application of different growth regulators (Jafarullah *et al.*, 2007). Regulators mainly regulate the plant physiological and biochemical processes. They play a major role in dormancy, organ size, crop

improvement, flowering and fruit set, regulation of chemical composition of plants and control of mineral uptake from the soil (Nickell *et al.*, 1978). Some of them are naturally occurring, organic substances that affect the plant growth when used at low concentrations and sometimes they act as inhibitors at high concentrations. There are some reports, which indicate that application of growth regulators improved the growth and yield of vegetables (Mukhtar, 2008; Hernandez, 1997).

Plant growth regulators could manage vegetative and reproductive growth balance. PGRs are known as chemical messengers because they are produced in one part of plant and affect on another part. Exogenous application of plant growth regulators improved the yield production and fruit quality of horticulture crops. At the cell level, hormones join to a protein receptor that sends a signal down a transduction pathway to switch on exacting genes. Throughout transcription and translation this guides to production of an enzyme protein, which actually reasons the change in plant growth (Arteca, 1996; Wolfe, 1993). The phytohormones auxina affects approximately all developmental processes in plants including fruit improvement. However, auxin is produced in meristems and young leaves and moves to other parts of the plant in a polar fashion. In addition, auxin is synthesized in mature leaves but very little amount. Auxins play an important

*Author for correspondence : E-mail : vishwajeetofficial@gmail.com

role in cell elongation, encourage cell division while Cytokinins induce differentiation of xylem and phloem in vascular tissues (Jacobs, 1952), flower initiation, sex determination, fruit development, parthenocarpic fruits and promote cell wall loosening at very low concentrations. (Vanderhoff and Dute, 1981). Plant growth regulators have many beneficial effects on plant growth. One of them is increased the activity of antioxidant enzymes such as ascorbate peroxidase, glutathione reductase, catalase and peroxidase, which defends plant from chilling (Dwyer *et al.*, 1995), high temperature, photo inhibition (Fletcher *et al.*, 2000), SO₂ salinity (Sadhu and Gupta, 1997), ozone injury (Fletcher *et al.*, 2000). Moreover, PGRs induce changes in the contents of cytokinins, ethylene and polyamines (Fletcher *et al.*, 2000). The second group of plant growth regulators is gibberellins, which is natural plant hormone. Gibberellins were named after a genus of fungi that cause “foolish seedling” disease (Yabuta, 1935). There are more than 100 distinct gibberellins produced primarily in roots & young leaves but GA₃ or gibberellic acid is the most popular available form. GA₃ has many effects on plant growth such as enhance stem and internodes elongation, produce seed germination, enzyme production during germination and fruit setting and growth (Davies *et al.*, 1995; Karssen *et al.*, 1989).

Materials and Methods

The experiment entitled “To study the effect of plant growth regulators on growth and yield of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench) were conducted at Department of Agriculture Botany, Vasantarao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Prabhani (M.S.), India during *kharif* season of the year 2015-2016. The details of materials used and methods adopted during the course of present investigation are given in this chapter and summarized as below. Okra variety ‘Parbhani ok’ was sown at 45cm × 30cm spacing during *Kharif* season with

a net plot size of 2.6m². The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with three replications and eight treatments including plant growth regulators as GA₃ (50, 100, 150, 200 ppm), NAA (50, 100, 150, 200 ppm) and one control (foliar spray).

Results and Discussion

The data revealed that the plant height increased at all stages except 30 days after sowing (table 1). At 45 days plant height was highest in treatment T₄ (GA 200 ppm) followed by treatment T₇ (NAA 150 ppm) and significantly superior over T₉ (control) followed by statistically at par with treatment T₂ (GA 100 ppm), T₃ (GA 150 ppm) and T₆ (NAA 100) and T₈ (NAA 200 ppm), respectively.

At 60 days plant height was highest in treatment T₂ (GA 100 ppm) and treatment T₇ (NAA 150 ppm) and statistically the treatment of GA and NAA were found to be significantly superior over T₉ (control) and statistically at par with treatment T₄ (GA 200 ppm), T₃ (GA 150 ppm) and T₄ (GA 50 ppm) and treatment T₅ (NAA 50 ppm) and treatment T₈ (NAA 200 ppm), respectively. At 75 days treatment T₂ (GA 100 ppm) and treatment (T₇) NAA 150 ppm were found to be significantly superior over T₉ (control) and statistically at par with treatment T₃ (GA 150 ppm), T₁ (GA 50 ppm) and followed by treatment T₆ (NAA 100 ppm) respectively. At 90 days treatment T₂ (GA 100 ppm) and treatment T₇ (NAA 150 ppm) were found to be significantly superior over treatment T₉ (control) and statistically at par with treatment T_x (GA 50 ppm).

The data on mean number of branches per plant as influenced by gibberellic acid and naphthalene acetic acid were presented in (table 2). The data revealed that the number of branches per plant increased at all stages except 30 days after sowing. At 45, 60, 75 days number of branches per plant was highest in treatment T₂ (GA

Table 1 : Mean height of plant (cm).

| S. no. | Treatments | 30 DAS | 45 DAS | 60 DAS | 75 DAS | 90 DAS |
|----------------|-------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| T ₁ | GA 50 ppm | 19.27 | 33.33 | 63.10 | 76.20 | 78.47 |
| T ₂ | GA 100 ppm | 20.53 | 39.07 | 72.83 | 81.00 | 82.93 |
| T ₃ | GA 150 ppm | 17.07 | 38.47 | 63.73 | 76.40 | 76.43 |
| T ₄ | GA 200 ppm | 19.60 | 41.63 | 68.27 | 74.67 | 76.00 |
| T ₅ | NAA 50 ppm | 17.73 | 29.20 | 63.93 | 71.10 | 70.77 |
| T ₆ | NAA 100 ppm | 18.93 | 33.80 | 62.40 | 73.67 | 70.40 |
| T ₇ | NAA 150 ppm | 22.00 | 39.20 | 73.33 | 80.13 | 84.30 |
| T ₈ | NAA 200 ppm | 20.07 | 35.63 | 68.97 | 70.70 | 73.73 |
| T ₉ | Control | 17.67 | 21.87 | 44.39 | 50.83 | 62.00 |
| | S.E.± | 1.52 | 2.61 | 3.37 | 1.76 | 1.91 |
| | C.D.at 5% | N.S. | 7.82 | 10.11 | 5.27 | 5.73 |

Table 2 : Mean number of branches per plant.

| S. no. | Treatments | 30 DAS | 45 DAS | 60 DAS | 75 DAS | 90 DAS |
|----------------|-------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| T ₁ | GA 50 ppm | 1.40 | 1.80 | 2.40 | 2.53 | 2.66 |
| T ₂ | GA 100 ppm | 1.50 | 2.70 | 3.16 | 3.13 | 3.16 |
| T ₃ | GA 150 ppm | 1.27 | 1.50 | 2.33 | 2.56 | 2.53 |
| T ₄ | GA 200 ppm | 1.20 | 1.66 | 2.23 | 2.46 | 2.60 |
| T ₅ | NAA 50 ppm | 1.20 | 1.63 | 2.36 | 2.66 | 2.70 |
| T ₆ | NAA 100 ppm | 1.20 | 1.53 | 2.33 | 2.63 | 2.63 |
| T ₇ | NAA 150 ppm | 1.27 | 2.90 | 3.06 | 3.23 | 3.40 |
| T ₈ | NAA 200 ppm | 1.20 | 1.76 | 2.40 | 2.40 | 2.13 |
| T ₉ | Control | 1.25 | 1.13 | 1.80 | 1.90 | 1.60 |
| | S.E.± | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.16 | 0.12 | 0.12 |
| | GD.at 5% | N.S | 0.56 | 0.49 | 0.37 | 0.38 |

Table 3 : Mean pod yield per plant and mean pod yield per plot.

| S. no. | Treatments | Pod yield/plant (gm) | Pod yield/plot (Kg) |
|----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| T ₁ | GA 50 ppm | 221.57 | 8.39 |
| T ₂ | GA 100 ppm | 236.70 | 8.85 |
| T ₃ | GA 150 ppm | 199.17 | 7.43 |
| T ₄ | GA 200 ppm | 197.98 | 7.90 |
| T ₅ | NAA 50 ppm | 216.62 | 8.36 |
| T ₆ | NAA 100 ppm | 207.01 | 8.41 |
| T ₇ | NAA 150 ppm | 226.60 | 9.57 |
| T ₈ | NAA 200 ppm | 197.53 | 7.62 |
| T ₉ | Control | 177.90 | 7.16 |
| | S.E. ± | 1.71 | 0.01 |
| | C.D.at 5% | 5.14 | 0.04 |

100 ppm) and treatment T₇ (NAA 150 ppm) and were found to be significantly superior over treatment T₉ (Control).

At 90 day number of branches per plant was highest in treatment T₂ (GA 100 ppm) and treatment T₇ (NAA 150 ppm) were found to be significantly superior over treatment T₉ (control) and followed by treatment T₁ (GA 50).

The data on mean pod yield per plant as influenced by gibberellic acid and naphthalene acetic acid were presented in (table 3). The data revealed that the pod yield per plant increased by all the treatment as compare to T₉ (control). With incremental application of gibberellic acid and naphthalene acetic acid, numbers of pod were found increased. The highest pod yield per plant was found in treatment T₂ (GA 100 ppm) and T₇ (NAA 150 ppm) significantly superior over treatment T₉ (Control) and followed by treatment T₁ (GA 50 ppm). The data on mean pod yield per plot as influenced by gibberellic acid

and naphthalene acetic acid were presented in table 3. The data revealed that the pod yield per plot increased by all the treatment as compare to control. With incremental application of gibberellic acid and naphthalene acetic acid, numbers of pod per plot were found increased. The highest pod yield per plot was found in treatment T₂ (GA 100 ppm) and T₇ (NAA 150 ppm) significantly superior over treatment T₉ (Control) and followed by treatment T₁ (GA 50 ppm) and treatment T₆ (NAA 100 ppm), respectively.

References

- Adebooye, C. O. and C. O. Opunta (1996). Effect of galex on growth and fruit nutrient composition of Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L). *Life J of Agri. Nigeria*, **18**(90) : 160-162.
- Adetuyil, F. O., A. U. Osagie and A. T. Adekunle (2008). Effect of Postharvest storage techniques on the Nutritional properties of Benin Indigenous Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L) Moench). *Pak. J. of Nutri.*, **7**(5) : 652-657.
- Davies, P. J. (1995). The plant hormones their nature occurrence and function. *Physiology, Biochem. and Molecular biology*, Kluwet. Dordrecht, Netherland : 1-12.
- Dwyer, J. P., P. Bannister and P. E. Jameson (1995). Effects of three plant growth regulators on growth morphology, water relations and frost resistance in lemon wood (*Pittosporum eugenioides* A. Cunn). *New Zealand J. of Bot.*, **33** : 415-424.
- Fletcher, A. A., Gilley, N. Sankhla and T. Davies (2000). Triazoles as plant growth regulators and stress protectants. *Hort. Review*, **24** : 55-138.
- Jacobs, W. P. (1952). The role of auxin indifferention of xylum around a wound. *American J. of Bot.*, **39** : 301-309.
- Jafarullahet, M. D., Q. Abdul Fattah and H. Feroza (2007). Response of growth, yield attributes and yield to the application of KNP and NAA in Cowpea (*Vigna aunguiculata* L. WALP). *Bangladesh J. of Bot.*, **36**(2) : 127-132.

- Hernandez, P. (1997). Morphogenesis in sunflower as affected by exogenous application of plant growth regulators. *Agri. Scientia.*, **13** : 3-11.
- Karssen, C. M., S. Zagorski and S. P. C. Groot (1989). Key role of endogenous gibberellins in the control of seed germination. *Ann. of Bot.*, **63** : 71-80.
- Lee, K. H., C. Y. Cho, S. T. Yoon and S. K. Park (1990). The effect of nitrogen fertilizer plant density and sowing date on the yield of okra. *Korean J. of Crop Sci.*, **35(8)** : 179-183.
- Markose, B. L. and K. V. Peter (1990). Review on research of vegetables and tuber crops okra. Kerala Agric. Univ. Manmuthy India. *Technical Bulletin*, **16** : 109-111.
- Mukhtar, F. B. (2008). Effect of some plant growth regulators on the growth and nutritional value of (*Hibiscus sabdariffa* L.C Red Sorrel). *Int. J. of Pure and Appl. Sci.*, **2(3)** : 70-75.
- Nickell, L. G. (1978). Plant growth regulators. *Chemical Engineering*, Nes **56** : 18-34.
- Sandhu, B. P. and K. Gupta (1997). Triazole ; a plant growth regulator and a fungicide. *Geobios J. of Environ. and Biodiversity*, **24** : 181-192.
- Vanderhoff, L. N. and Dute (1981). Auxin-regulated wall loosening and sustain in elongation. *Plant Physiology*, **67** : 146-149.
- Wolfe, S. L. (1993). *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, Balmont, A: Wordsworth : 702-70
- Yabuta, T. (1935). Biochemistry of the 'bakanae' fungus of rice. *Agriculture and Horticulture* (Tokyo), **10** : 17-22.