



# EFFECT OF FOLIAR APPLICATION WITH YEAST EXTRACT AND METHANOL ON MORPHOLOGICAL AND YIELD CHARACTERISTICS OF FABA BEAN (*VICIA FABA* L.)

Maqsuda Qader Muhammad<sup>1</sup>, Mohammed Qader Khursheed<sup>1</sup> and Nihayat Hamadamin Hasan<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biology, College of Education, Salahaddin University -Erbil, Iraq.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Chemistry, College of Education, Salahaddin University -Erbil, Iraq.

## Abstract

Pot experiment was carried out to determine the effect of separate foliar application of bread yeast (1, 2, 3 and 4%) and methanol (10, 15, 20 and 25%) on vegetative growth, leaves chlorophyll content, flower numbers, nutrient elements and crude protein content in seeds and yield components of broad bean (*Vicia faba* L.) cv. Super Aquadulse plants. The experiment included nine foliar spray treatments with 3 replicates in a complete randomized design. Yeast or methanol spraying caused significant increases in leaf area, shoot fresh and dry weight, photosynthetic pigments in leaves, flower numbers, seed N, P, K and crude protein, pod length and seed yields. The enhancement effects of yeast extracts were more pronounced than methanol treatments in improving growth and yield quality. In addition, the yeast treatments at 2 and 3% as well as 10 and 15 % of methanol treatment had the superiority effects in improving plant parameters.

**Key words:** Methanol, yeast, broad bean, foliar application

## Introduction

Faba bean, is one of the oldest domesticated plants, is used for human and animal nutrition because of their seeds with high protein (about 25%) content (El-Moghazy *et al.*, 2011). The increasing of crop production is one of the most important targets of agricultural policy in several countries (Mona *et al.*, 2013). In recent years, the world focused his attention to minimize the environmental pollution by reducing the use of synthetic fertilizers and chemicals in crops production. Therefore, several researchers tend to use environmentally safe organic substances and costless to encourage the yield and quality of plant. The yeast is natural source of cytokinins and has stimulatory effects on bean plants, it was suggested to participate in a beneficial role during vegetative and reproductive growths through improving flower formation and their set in some plants due to its high auxin and cytokinins content and enhancement carbohydrates accumulation (Barnett *et al.*, 1990 and Amer, 2004). Improving growth, flowering and fruit set and yield of some plants by using foliar application with yeast extract was reported by Wanas (2006), Khalil and Ismael (2010), Sarhan *et al.*, (2011) and Abou El-Yazied and Mady

(2012) and Abaas (2013).

Exogenous application of methanol enhanced plant biomass and yield of different C<sub>3</sub> crop species. Several studies have been shown that foliar application of methanol increased plant biomass and yield (Mona *et al.*, 2013). Methanol spray is introduced as a suitable method which can increase CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation (Hossinzadeh *et al.*, 2012). Foliar applications of methanol in various plants have been reported to improve yield and reduce stress (Ramirez *et al.*, 2006; Mirakhori *et al.*, 2010). It has been stated that foliar utilization of methanol, as a source of carbon, increases the growth and yield of different plant species (Bagheri *et al.*, 2014).

Therefore, the present investigation was designed to disclose the influence of different levels from yeast extract at 1, 2, 3, 4% and methanol at 10, 15, 20, 25 % on vegetative growth, leaves content of chlorophyll and yield attributes of faba bean plant cultivar.

## Materials and Methods

This investigation was conducted during (2018-2019) growing season at the green house of Biology Department, College of Education, Salahaddin University-Erbil to determine the effect of separate foliar application

\*Author for correspondence : E-mail : maasuda.muhammad@su.edu.krd

of bread yeast (1, 2, 3 and 4%) and methanol (10, 15, 20 and 25%) on some vegetative growth parameters, leaves chlorophyll content, nutrient (N, P, K) content, crude protein content in seeds, yield and yield components of broad bean (*Vicia faba* L.) cv. Super Aquadulse plants. The experiment included nine foliar spray treatments with 3 replicates in a complete randomized design, i.e. the control (sprayed with distilled water), yeast extract at 1, 2, 3 and 4% (w/v), Methanol at 10, 15, 20 and 25% volumetric percentage (v/v). Methanol and bread yeast extract were applied twice as foliar spray during vegetative growth at 45 and 60 DAS using hand operated compressed air sprayer, Spray application was continued until solution drops flow from plant surface. Yeast extract prepared from commercial baking yeast dissolved in water followed by adding sugar at ratio 1:1 and kept overnight for activation and reproduction of yeast. Plant samples were taken at 2 weeks after the second spraying treatment and at beginning of flowering stage to determine some growth parameters. A random sample of 6 plants for each tested treatment (2 plants from each replicate) was assigned for investigation. Vegetative characters were recorded two weeks after the second application yeast and methanol treatments included plant height (cm), number of secondary branches plant<sup>-1</sup>, number of leaves plant<sup>-1</sup>, total leaf area cm<sup>2</sup> plant<sup>-1</sup>, fresh and dry weight of shoot g plant<sup>-1</sup>, Leaf area was measured by a simple and quick leaf area estimation model for faba bean (Erdoan, 2012):

$$LA = -1,6923 + (L \times 0,0161) + (W \times 0,0929) + (0,0062 \times L \times W)$$

Where LA is leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>), L is leaflet length (cm), W is the leaflet width (cm).

Chlorophyll *a* and *b* were estimated by the spectrophotometric method in fresh leaves of plants as mgg<sup>-1</sup> fresh weight according to the method of Arnon (1949):

$$Chl\ a = [12.7 (OD\ 663) - 2.69 (OD\ 645)] \times V/1000 \times W$$

$$Chl\ b = [22.9 (OD\ 645) - 4.68 (OD\ 663)] \times V/1000 \times W$$

V = volume of the extract (mL), W = weight of the fresh leaf tissue (g). No. of opened flowers plant<sup>-1</sup> were counted after 10 weeks from sowing. A random sample of 6 plants for each tested treatment (2 plants from each replicate) was taken at harvest time, 12 weeks from sowing date, to investigate the characters which included pod

length(cm), No. of pods plant<sup>-1</sup>, No. of seeds pod<sup>-1</sup>, No of seeds plant<sup>-1</sup>, 100 seeds weight (g) and seeds yield (g) plant<sup>-1</sup>. Percentages of N, P, K and crude protein were determined in seeds, at harvest time; samples resembling various treatments beside the seeds of control plants were finely ground. Total nitrogen was determined using the modified micro- Kjeldahl method, potassium by flame emission photometer technique and phosphorus was determined using spectrophotometer method (AOAC, 1995). Nitrogen content of seeds was multiplied by 6.25 to calculate the crude protein (Ryan and Rashid, 2001). Least significant difference (L.S.D.) at 0.05 probability levels were used for comparison means treatments (Snedecor and Cochran, 1980).

## Results and discussion

### Effect of yeast and methanol extract on vegetative growth parameters

Table 1 showed that, yeast at concentrations of 1, 2 and 3% were significantly ( $p > 0.05$ ) increased total leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>) plant<sup>-1</sup>, as well as fresh and dry weight (g) of shoot system as compared with the water spraying control. The highest values of the mentioned parameters were 47.53(cm<sup>2</sup>) plant<sup>-1</sup>, 21.67g and 11.93g respectively, recorded at 3% yeast extract. These results partially agreed with those obtained by Wanas (2006) and El-Tohamy *et al.*, (2007), that could be due to yeast contents of macro and micro nutrients, growth regulators, sugars, vitamins (especially vitamin B) and a relatively large proportion of free amino acids and short peptides of two or three amino acids as well as long chain protein hydrolysates, it is also a natural source of cytokinins that stimulates cell proliferation and differentiation, controlling shoot and root morphogenesis and chloroplast maturation (Abou El-Yazied and Mady, 2012 and Marzauk *et al.*, 2014). Wanas and El- Sherbeny *et al.*, (2007) mentioned

**Table1:** Effect of yeast and methanol on some vegetative growth parameters of faba bean.

Treatment		Total leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> plant <sup>-1</sup> )	Plant length (cm)	Leaf number	Branch number	Shoot fresh weight (g)	Shoot dry weight (g)
Control		34.12	66.33	10.67	1.83	15.33	9.90
Yeast	1%	43.99	68.89	10.94	1.89	19.67	10.83
	2%	39.44	70.00	10.11	1.67	21.00	11.70
	3%	47.53	70.78	11.89	1.22	21.67	11.93
	4%	38.40	69.11	11.89	1.67	16.67	10.90
Methanol	10%	37.83	65.22	9.55	1.44	17.67	10.03
	15%	49.28	71.39	12.44	1.44	20.67	10.97
	20%	49.43	68.33	10.11	1.89	22.67	11.33
	25%	40.73	67.00	10.44	1.45	15.83	9.87
L.S.D <sub>p</sub> < 0.05		4.91	n.s	n.s	n.s	2.39	1.04

that enhancement effect of yeast extract might be attributed to its stimulating effect on photosynthetic pigments and improvement of photosynthesis process and enzyme activity which in turn encourage vegetative growth of broad bean. From the same table, it was found that methanol treatments at 15 and 20% were significantly increased total leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>) plant<sup>-1</sup>, fresh and dry weight (g) of shoot system as compared to control and there were a significant difference between treatments. The obtained results are in agreement with those made by (Li *et al.*, 1995) on soybean. The improvement of faba bean plant growth in response to the exogenous application of methanol extract may be attributed to reduced photorespiration and thereby promoting net photosynthesis (Zebic *et al.*, 1997). Therefore, methanol spray increases crop CO<sub>2</sub> fixation in unit area. Today, in order to achieve this goal with C<sub>3</sub> plants, compounds such as methanol, ethanol, propanol, butanol and amino acids like glycine, glutamate and aspartate are used (Hossinzadeh *et al.*, 2012).

### Effect of yeast and methanol on the leaves

**Table 2:** Effect of yeast and methanol foliar application on the leaves chlorophyll content (mg/g).

Treatment		Chlorophyll a (mg.g <sup>-1</sup> )	Chlorophyll b (mg.g <sup>-1</sup> )	Total chlorophyll (mg.g <sup>-1</sup> )
Control	0%	0.94	0.75	1.69
Yeast	1%	1.61	1.11	2.72
	2%	1.57	1.13	2.70
	3%	1.61	1.17	2.80
	4%	1.23	1.14	2.37
Methanol	10%	1.11	1.16	2.27
	15%	1.42	0.96	2.38
	20%	1.65	1.22	2.87
	25%	1.16	1.17	2.33
L.S.D. <sub>p</sub> <0.05		0.18	0.28	0.36

**Table 3:** Effect of yeast and methanol on some yield components of faba bean plant.

Treatment		Flower number plant <sup>-1</sup>	length of pod (cm)	No. of pods plant <sup>-1</sup>	No. of seed pod	No. of seed plant <sup>-1</sup>	Weight of 100 seed (g)	Seed yield (g)plant <sup>-1</sup>
Control		20.38	8.01	9.46	3.45	32.63	87.44	28.53
Yeast	1%	22.13	8.41	10.03	3.18	31.89	92.11	29.37
	2%	26.80	8.79	9.74	3.73	36.33	102.56	37.26
	3%	28.66	9.93	10.08	3.80	36.33	108.40	39.38
	4%	19.78	9.13	8.93	3.81	38.30	99.65	38.18
Methanol	10%	22.55	9.64	8.89	3.74	34.35	96.20	33.04
	15%	20.07	8.64	8.99	3.57	33.72	98.87	33.33
	20%	21.11	8.33	9.03	3.19	30.80	96.01	29.57
	25%	23.34	8.12	9.22	3.11	31.67	94.64	29.97
L.S.D. <sub>p</sub> <0.05		6.23	0.54	n.s	n.s	n.s	8.71	4.62

### chlorophyll content

Table 2 reveals that all yeast treatments were significantly increased ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) leaves content of chlorophyll *a* (chl.*a*), chlorophyll *b* (chl.*b*) and total chlorophyll and the highest level were recorded when faba bean plants sprayed by (3%) yeast extract. These findings are in agreement with the results of El-Tohamy and El-Greadly (2007), Abou EL-Yazied and Mady (2012) and Kamal and Ghanem (2012) on bean plants and Mahmoud, *et al.*, (2013) on pea plants. Shalaby and El-Nady (2008) reported that the increase in photosynthetic pigments could be attributed to the role of yeast cytokinins in delaying the aging of leaves by reducing the degradation of chlorophyll and enhancing the protein and RNA synthesis. Yeast increased the release of carbon dioxide through fermentation process that effectively activates the photosynthesis and accelerates the biosynthesis of carbohydrates (Mady, 2009). The improvement of photosynthetic pigments in response to the foliar application of yeast may be attributed to bioregulators which affect the balance between photosynthesis and photorespiration in plants (Abou El-Yazied and Mady, 2012). The increase in chlorophyll contents by yeast treatment was reported by (Wanas, 2002 and Mady, 2009) and this could be due to activation of chlorophyll biosynthesis (Al. El-Shafey *et al.*, 2016). As indicated in table 2, the different levels of methanol treatments were significantly increased chlorophyll *a* (chl.*a*), chlorophyll *b* (chl.*b*) and total chlorophyll. The highest value of chlorophyll *a* (1.65), chlorophyll *b* (1.22) and total chlorophyll (2.87 mg g<sup>-1</sup> Fw.) were obtained by foliar applying of 15% methanol. This results partially agreed with those obtained by Hossinzadeh *et al.*, (2012) and Mona *et al.*, (2013). Ramirez *et al.*, (2006) explained that the methanol application reduced photorespiration, increased photosynthesis pigments and activity. In study

reported on cotton, spraying methanol, leads to the stimulation of growth by increasing cytokinins (Hossinzadeh *et al.*, 2012). In addition, foliar application of methanol delayed senescence of leaves through its effect on ethylene production, increases photosynthetic active period and leaf area via regulation of pectin methyl esterase gene (Bagheri, 2014).

### Effect of yeast and methanol on flower numbers and yield components

According to the results in table 3, it was observed that foliar application of yeast extract at concentration of 2 and 3% led to significant increases in flower number plant<sup>-1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>. Yeast extract treatments were played beneficial role during reproductive growths through improving flower formation and their set in some plants due to its high auxins and cytokinins contents and its enhancement effect on carbohydrate accumulation (Mady, 2009). Foliar application of yeast at 2, 3, 4% and methanol at 10 and 15% resulted in the significant increases in yield and its components (*i.e.*, pod length, 100-seeds weight and seed yield/plant<sup>1</sup>) compared to control plants. The maximum values of yield and its components were obtained as a result of foliar spray with yeast extract at 3%. The present results are in accordance with those reported by (Wanas, 2006; Abou El-Yazied and Mady, 2012; Hossinzadeh *et al.*, 2012; Mahmoud *et al.*, 2013; El-Ramady, 2014; Al-El-Shafey *et al.*, 2016).

Improvement seed yield of broad bean plants by yeast extract treatments due to increasing flower formation, pod length as well as increasing their ability to accumulate more bio constituents in seeds. Hayat (2007) indicated that the positive effect of yeast treatment may be due to that yeast provided plants with essential nutrients elements required for protein formation. El-Tohamy and El-Greadly (2007) revealed that yeast treatments improved pods quality of snap beans plants (*Phaseolus vulgaris*). Mona *et al.*, (2013) mentioned that foliar application of methanol increased soybean growth and yield by enhancing photosynthetic capacity and internal CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations.

Methanol at concentrations of 20-30% caused significant yield increases of soybeans and small bean (Zbiec and Karczmarczyk, 1997).

#### Effect of yeast and methanol extract on seed N, P, K and protein content

As shown in table 4, foliar application of dry yeast at concentration of 2 and 3% resulted in significant increases in N, P and protein content of seeds. The highest values of mentioned nutrients were recorded by using dry yeast

**Table 4:** Effect of yeast and methanol on some nutrient content of faba bean seeds.

Treatment		N (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )	Protein (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )	P (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )	K (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )
Control		4.01	25.07	3.59	9.82
Yeast	1%	4.18	26.18	3.68	10.03
	2%	4.35	27.22	4.79	10.17
	3%	4.53	28.35	5.65	9.59
	4%	4.02	25.11	4.30	10.85
Methanol	10%	4.10	25.67	3.51	10.43
	15%	4.39	27.47	3.64	9.56
	20%	4.34	27.14	4.02	10.26
	25%	4.03	25.23	3.97	9.78
L.S.D <sub>p</sub> < 0.05		0.32	2.01	1.14	n.s.

at a rate of 3%. However, the contents of K in dry seed tissues did not statistically different between treatments and control under both yeast and methanol treatments. The results agree with the findings of Abou EL-Yazied and Mady (2011 and 2012), Kamal and Ghanem (2012) and Mahmoud *et al.*, (2013). This could be explained on the basis that yeast treatment increased metabolic processes such as protein and carbohydrates synthesis parallel with the increased content of phytochromes. In this respect, El-Desouky *et al.*, (1998) and Wanas (2006) reported the stimulatory effects of yeast on protein synthesis. Marzauk *et al.*, (2014) also found that foliar application of yeast resulted in an increase in broad bean seeds content of nitrogen and protein percentage. As regarding to table 4 that foliar application of 15 and 20% methanol solution significantly increased the N and protein content compared to control plants, the highest values of nitrogen and protein contents were obtained from methanol solution at level of 15%. However, that may be due to the positive effect of methanol on root growth which consequently increased nitrate absorption.

### Conclusion

Foliar application of yeast and methanol improved vegetative growth, leaves chlorophylls, yield components and seed nutrients. Yeast extracts were more powerful than methanol.

### References

- Abbas, S.M. (2013). The influence of biostimulants on the growth and on the biochemical composition of *Vicia faba* cv. Giza 3 beans. *Romanian Biotechnological Letters*, **18(2)**: 8061-8068.
- Abou EL-Yazied, A. and M.A. Mady (2012). Effect of boron and yeast extract foliar application on growth, pod setting and both green pod and seed yield of broad bean (*Vicia faba* L.). *Journal of Applied Sciences Research*, **8(2)**: 1240-1251.
- Abou El-Yazied, A. and M.A. Mady (2011). Effect of naphthalene acetic acid and yeast extract application on growth and productivity of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) plants. *Research Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences*, **7(2)**: 271-281.
- Amer, S.S.A. (2004). Growth, green pods yield and seeds yield of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) their interaction. *Journal Agriculture Science Mansoura university*, **29(3)**: 1407-1422.
- AOAC (1995). Official Methods of Analysis 16th Ed. Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Washington DC, USA.
- Arnon, D.I. (1949). Copper enzymes in isolated chloroplasts, Polyphenoloxidase in *Beta vulgaris*, *Plant Physiol. J.*, **24(1)**: pp.1-5.

- Bagheri, H.R., M.A.R. Ladan and H. Afshari (2014). The effects of foliar application of methanol on growth and secondary metabolites in lavender. *Intl. Res. J. Appl. Basic Sci.*, **8(2)**: 150-152.
- Barnett, J.A., R.W. Payne and D. Yarrow (1990). *Yeasts: Characterization and Identification*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. S. El-Desouky, A. Wans and Z. Khedr 1998. Utilization of some natural plant extracts (garlic and yeast) as seed soaked materials to squash (*Cucurbita pepo* L.). 1- Effect on growth, sex expression and fruit yield and quality. *Journal of Agricultural Science Moshtohor Zagazig University*, **35(2)**: 839-854.
- El-Moghazy, G.M., D.M. Sakr and N.M. Abd El Ghafar (2011). Effect of fermentation of faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) on its nutritive and sensory properties. *J. Food and Dairy Sci.*, Mansoura Univ, **2(4)**: 237-250.
- El-Shafey, A.I., S.S. El-Feky and S.A. Abo-Hamad (2016). Effect of sowing time and foliar application of yeast extract on growth and productivity of different cultivars of faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.). *Egypt J. Bo.*, **56**: 35-48.
- El-Tohamy, W.A. and N.H.M. El-Greadly (2007). Physiological responses, growth, yield and quality of snap beans in response to foliar application of yeast, vitamin E and zinc under sandy conditions. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Science*, **1(3)**: 294-299.
- Erdoan, N.C. (2012). A leaf area estimation for faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) grown in the Mediterranean type of climate. *Süleyman Demirel Üniversitesi Ziraat Fakültesi Dergisi*, **7(1)**: 58-63.
- Hayat, A.E.H. (2007). Physiological studies on *Hibiscus sabdariffa* L. production in new reclaimed soils. M.Sc. Thesis, Faculty of Agriculture, Zagazig University, Egypt.
- Hossinzadeh, S.R., A. Ganjeali, A. Salami and R. Ahmadpour (2012). Effects of foliar application of methanol on growth and root characteristics of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under drought stress. *Euro. J. Exp. Biol.*, **2(5)**: 1697-1702.
- Kamal, A.M. and K.M. Ghanem (2012). Impact of some biostimulants on organically cultivated snap bean plants. *Egy. J. of Appl. Sci.*, **27(2)**: 89-104.
- Khalil, S.E. and E.G. Ismael (2010). Growth, yield and seed quality of *Lupinus termis* as affected by different soil moisture levels and different ways of yeast application. *Journal of American Science*, **6(8)**: 141-153.
- Li, Y., J. Gupta and A.K. Siyumbano (1995). Effect of methanol on soybean photosynthesis and chlorophyll. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, **18**: 1875-1880.
- Mady, M.A. (2009). Effect of foliar application with yeast extract and zinc on fruit setting and yield of faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.). *J. Biol. Chem. Environ. Sci.*, **4(2)**: 109-127.
- Mahmoud, R., S. El-Desuki, M. Abdel-Mouty and A. Ali (2013). Effect of compost levels and yeast extract application on the pea plant growth, pod yield and quality. *Journal of Applied Sciences Research*, **9(1)**: 149-155.
- Marzauk, N., M. Safeek, Y. Helmy, A. Ahmed and M. Shalaby (2014). Effect of vitamin E and yeast extract foliar application on growth, pod yield and both green pod and seed yield of broad bean (*Vicia faba* L.). *Middle East Journal of Applied Sciences*, **4(1)**: 61-67.
- McGiffen, E.M. and J.A. Manthey (1996). The role of methanol in promoting plant growth: A current evaluation. *Hort. Science*, **31**: 1092-1096.
- Mirakhori, M., F. Paknejad, M.R. Ardakani, F. Moradi, P. Nazeri and M. Nasri (2010). Effect of methanol spraying on yield and yield components of soybean (*Glycine max* L.). *J. Agroecol.*, **2(2)**: 236-244.
- Mona, D.G., S.R. El-Lethy and Sh. Mervat (2013). Role of methanol and yeast in improving growth, yield, nutritive value and antioxidants of Soybean. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, **26(1)**: 06-14, 2013.
- Nassar, R.M.A., Y.M. Ahmed and D.M.A. Nassar (2011). Effect of foliar spray with active yeast extract on morphological, anatomical and yield characteristics of kidney bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, **5(5)**: 1071-1079.
- Ramadan, T. and Y.A. Omran (2005). The effect of foliar application of methanol on productivity and fruit quality of grapevine cv. *Flame Seedless*. *Vitis*, **44(1)**: 11-16.
- Ramirez, I., F. Dorta, V. Espinoza, E. Jimenez, A. Mercado and H. Pen (2006). Effects of foliar and root applications of methanol on the growth of Arabidopsis, tobacco and tomato plants. *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation*, **25**: 30-44.
- Ryan, J., G. Estefon and A. Rashid (2001). *Soil and Plant Analysis Laboratory Manual*, 2nd edn. National Agriculture Research Center (NARC) Islamabad, Pakistan. *Bull Fac Sci.*, **31**: 395-303.
- Sarhan, T.Z., T.A. Smira and S.M.S. Rasheed (2011). Effect of bread yeast application and seaweed extract on cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) plant growth, yield and fruit quality. *Mesopotamia J. of Agric.*, **39(2)**: 26-32.
- Snedecor, G.W. and W.G. Cochran (1980). *Statistical Methods*. 7 Ed. Iowa State University, Press, Ames., Iowa, USA.
- Wanas, A. (2006). Trails for improving growth and productivity of tomato plants grown in winter. *Annals of Agricultural Science Moshtohor*, **44(3)**: 466-471.
- Wana, A.L. (2002). Resonance of faba bean plants to seed soaking application with natural yeast and carrot extracts. *Annals Agriculture Science Moshtohor*, **40(1)**: 259-278.
- Zbiec, I. and S. Karczmarczyk (1997). Effect of methanol on some plants. *Romanian Agriculture Research*, **7**: 45-49.
- Zbiec, I., S. Karczmarczyk and C. Podsiadlo (2003). Response of some cultivated plants to methanol as compared to supplemental irrigation. *Electronic Journal of Polish Agriculture University, Agronomy*, **6(1)**: 1-7.