

GROWTH AND PHYSIOLOGICAL RESPONSES TO SHADE AND NITROGEN FERTILIZER LEVELS ON GYNURA PROCUMBENS

Omar Ali Ahmed^{1,2}, Martini Mohammad Yusoff^{1*}, Azizah Misran¹, and Puteri Edaroyati Megat Wahab¹

^{1*}Department of Crop Science, Faculty of Agriculture, University Putra Malaysia, 43400 Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia.

²Department of Crop Science, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Diyala, Diyala, Iraq.

Abstract

Gynura procumbens, is one of the most common medicinal plants belonging to the family of Asteraceae. Its non-toxic leaves have been documented as having phytochemicals with high potentials to be used in phytomedicine. It could be improved through varying agronomic practices such as light intensity and nitrogen fertilization that have been documented to be the main limiting factors in the production of primary and secondary metabolites. The present study evaluated 4 shade levels (0, 30, 50 and 70%) and 4 nitrogen fertilizer rates (0, 100, 200 and 300 kg N ha⁻¹) on growth and physiological responses of Gynura procumbens. Results showed that significant interaction between shade and nitrogen fertilizer were recorded on plants grown under 30% shade with 300 kg N ha⁻¹ fertilizer rate resulting in high total leaf fresh weight (TLFW) (213.64 g), total fresh weight (TFW) (323.98 g), total leaf dry weight (TLDW) (21.26 g) and total dry weight (TDW) (43.13 g), together with increased number of branches, higher crop growth rate and relative growth rate. While, the control treatment of full sunlight (0% of shade) and no nitrogen application (0 kg N ha⁻¹) revealed the lowest fresh and dry biomass yield of TLFW (29.37 g), TFW (44.63 g), TLDW (2.70 g) and TDW (4.83 g) due to low net photosynthesis rate, total chlorophyll content, leaf area and number of branches under same treatment. The study concluded that for high biomass production, Gynura procumbens is to be grown under 30% shade level with 300 kg ha⁻¹ nitrogen fertilizer.

Key words: Gynura procumbens, shade, nitrogen, growth, yield, biomass.

Introduction

Gynura procumbens is evergreen medicinal shrub belonging to Asteraceae family. It is widely distributed in Africa (Rahman and Al-Asad, 2013; Sukadeetad et al., 2018) and tropical regions of South-east Asia and China (Li et al., 2016; Mou and Dash, 2016; Nasiri, 2016). In Peninsular Malaysia it is limited in its distribution to the western part (Kenget et al., 2009).

Fresh leaves of G. procumbens are usually consumed as vegetables and green salad (Hew and Gam, 2011) without any toxic effect reported (Rohin et al., 2018). It has been traditionally used in the treatment of various ailments and health issues (Jiratchariyakul et al., 2000; Perry and Metzger, 1980) in countries such as Malaysia, Indonesia and Vietnam. The medicinal benefits of G. procumbensare said to be related to its bioactive compounds such as saponins, flavonoids and terpenoids

*Author for correspondence : E-mail: martinimy@upm.edu.my

(Mou and Dash, 2016; Tan et al., 2016).

The quality of medicinal plants is known by their superior genetic traits and high biomass production of consistently high secondary metabolite content (Kozai et al., 2005). Concentrations of secondary metabolites of plants grown in the field are often influenced by environmental conditions such as temperature and light intensity (Mosaleevanon et al., 2005). Light intensity strongly affects rate of photosynthesis as it enhances photosynthetic capacity, hence increased biomass production (Abrams and Mostoller, 1995; Nakano, Makino and Mae, 1997).

In addition, plant productivity is directly dependent on the photosynthetic capacity of the leaves which are the dominant photosynthetic organs in plants. Gregoriou, Pontikis and Vemmos, (2007) claimed that increase in shade levels reduces leaf thickness, leaf mass per area, stomatal conductance and net photosynthetic rate. In

contrast, shoot length, inter-nodal length, chlorophyll content and leaf area were recorded to increase under the same shade levels. Consequently, the determination of optimum light intensity for chemical accumulation as well as plant growth and development is imperative in order to obtain a higher concentration of phytochemicals (Odabas *et al.*, 2009).

Besides sunlight, nitrogen is one of the main nutrients required for plant growth in substantial amounts to ensure high yield. It strongly influences optimum use of environmental resources (water, light) because its metabolites (amino acids) and their derivatives (enzymes and co-factors) are of vital importance for plant growth and plant physiology (Ibrahim et al., 2011). Khaliq et al., (2008) and Khaliq et al., (2009) demonstrated that in sunflower, higher rates of nitrogen application led to rapid leaf area development, prolongedlife of foliage, increased leaf area duration and enhanced whole crop assimilation contributing to increases in yield. The properties of G. procumbens extracts have extensively investigated but studies covering responseson growth, physiology and yield as affected by shade and nitrogen fertilizer are still scarce. Therefore, the present study was conducted to investigate the influence of different shade levels and nitrogen fertilizer rate on growth and yield of G. procumbens.

Materials and Methods

Plant propagation

A total of 300 cuttings of *G. procumbens* were propagated in Field 2, Faculty of Agriculture, Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM) to produce twice the number of cuttings required for the experiment. The cuttings were placed for three weeks in small paper cups and later transferred to poly bags filled with thoroughly sieved mixture of top soil, sand and peat moss in 3:1:2 (v/v) ratio.

Experimental design and treatments

The experiment was arranged in Nested design with four replicates. The treatments were consisted of four shade levels (0, 30, 50 and 70%) with four nitrogen fertilizer rates (0, 100, 200 and 300 kg N ha⁻¹). Treatments were distributed randomly by Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD). Each treatment consisted of 15 plants, giving a total number of 960 plants. Plants were harvested at 12 weeks after transplanting.

Study Parameters

The study parameters measured included physiological parameters:net photosynthesis rate (PN), stomatal conductance (gs), transpiration rate (E), water use efficiency, photosynthetic pigments and plant growth were measured to determine the effects of shade levels

and nitrogen fertilizer rates. Leaf chlorophyl 1 content was also measured using a modified method of Lichtentaler and Wellburn, (1983). Leaf weighing 0.2 g were collected from plant samples and stored in small plastic vials containing 20 mL of 80% (v/v) acetone. The vials were covered with aluminium foil and kept in the dark for 3-7 days until all chlorophyl 1 in the leaves was removed. The extracted chlorophyl1 was measured against a blank 80% acetone. The maximum absorbance of chlorophyl1-*a* was recorded at 662 nm, while absorbance in chlorophyll-*b* was recorded at 645 nm wavelength. Absorbance was measured using a Scanning Spectrophotometer Model UV 3101 PC and the total chlorophyll-*b* using the following formulae:

Chlorophyll a = 11.75 (Absorbance 662) - 2.350 (Absorbance 645)

Chlorophyll b = 18.61 (Absorbance 645) - 3.960 (Absorbance 662)

A portable photosynthesis system (LICOR-64001 LI-COR Inc., USA), was used to measure the plant physiological parameters: net photosynthesis rate, stomata conductance, transpiration rate and water use efficiency measured between 0900 to 1100 hr.

Plant height was measured from top of growing medium in each poly bag to terminal bud of each plant using a measuring tape. The number of branches was determined by counting the number of primary and secondary branches per plant. Total leaf area was measured using a leaf area meter (LI-3100 Area meter, USA). Specific leaf area (SLA, cm^2/g) was computed after measuring the total leaf area and dry weight of leaves using the formula: SLA = Total leaf area (cm^2) / Leaf dry weight (g) at 90 days after transplanting.

For measurement of growth rates, three plants per treatment were harvested. Fresh leaf, stem and root samples were weighed and subsequently dried in an oven at 45°C to reduce moisture content to a constant weight of dried samples. Both, the fresh and dry yield were determined using an electronic balance (BP 2100, Sartorius, Germany). The weights taken included total leaf fresh weight (TLFW), total fresh weight (TFW), total leaf dry weight (TLDW) and total dry weight (TDW).

Root-shoot ratio (RSR) was calculated after completing all harvest measurements using the formula RSR = TRDW / TShDW (TRDW= Total root dry weight; TShDW = Total shoot dry weight). Crop growth rate (CGR) was measured using the formula, CGR = (W2-W1) / (t2-t1). Relative growth rate (RGR) was calculated

Shale Network Standard Weterward									
Shade	Net photosyn-	Stomata	Transpiration	Water use					
level	thesis rate	conductance	rate	efficiency					
(%)	(µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	(mol.m ⁻² .s ⁻¹)	(mmol.m ⁻² .s ⁻¹)	(µmol mmol ⁻¹)					
0	7.15 ± 0.41^{b}	0.034 ± 0.0009^{a}	1.92 ± 0.04^{a}	3.79 ± 0.27^{b}					
30	10.50 ± 0.47^{a}	0.031 ± 0.0009^{b}	1.71±0.02 ^b	6.18 ± 0.32^{a}					
50	9.11 ± 0.43^{a}	$0.028 \pm 0.0008^{\circ}$	1.56±0.03°	5.94 ± 0.35^{a}					
70	9.11 ± 0.46^a	$0.029 \pm 0.0005^{\circ}$	$1.55 \pm 0.03^{\circ}$	$5.91\pm0.37^{\rm a}$					
Nitrogen									
(N)									
(kg N ha ⁻¹)									
0	6.91 ±0.42°	0.029 ± 0.0007^{a}	$1.87\pm0.04^{\rm a}$	$3.76 \pm 0.26^{\circ}$					
100	8.86 ± 0.38^{b}	0.030 ± 0.001^{a}	1.67±0.05 ^b	5.42 ± 0.31^{b}					
200	9.85 ± 0.42^{a}	0.031 ± 0.001^{a}	1.62 ± 0.03^{bc}	6.17 ± 0.32^{a}					
300	10.24 ± 0.48^{a}	0.031 ± 0.001^{a}	$1.61 \pm 0.04^{\circ}$	6.48 ± 0.36^{a}					
S×N	ns	ns	ns	ns					
Means with the same letters in columns are not significantly									
different at p≤0.05 (LSD)									

Table 1: Effects of shade levels and nitrogen fertilizer rates on netphotosynthesis components of *Gynura procumbens*.

as RGR = $(\ln W2 - \ln W1) / (t2 - t1)$, where (ln) natural logarithm, W1= total dry weight of plant at time 1 (t1) and W2 = total dry weight of plant at time 2 (t2).

Data were analysed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the means were separated using Least Significant Difference (LSD) at significant level of 0.05 using SAS 9.4 software. Pears on correlation analysis between parameters were calculated.

Results

There was no significant interaction between shade levels and nitrogen fertilizer rates in net photosynthesis rate (*PN*), stomatal conductance (gs), transpiration rate (*E*) and water use efficiency (WUE) (p>0.05) (Table 1).

 Table 2: Effects of shade levels and nitrogen fertilizer rates on chlorophyll-a and chlorophyll-b.

Shade	Chlorophyll	Chlorophyll	Chlorophyll	Chlorophyll				
level (%)	<i>a</i> (mgg ⁻¹)	<i>b</i> (mgg ⁻¹)	$a+b (mgg^{-1})$	<i>a/b</i> (mgg ⁻¹)				
0	7.81±0.43°	5.02±0.28 ^b	12.84±0.71°	1.56±0.03 ^b				
30	10.35 ± 0.70^{b}	6.04 ± 0.29^{a}	16.39±0.96 ^b	1.69 ± 0.07^{a}				
50	10.92 ± 0.59^{ab}	6.39±0.25ª	17.32 ± 0.84^{ab}	1.69±0.03ª				
70	11.98±0.66ª	6.80 ± 0.27^{a}	18.78±0.91ª	1.75 ± 0.04^{a}				
Nitrogen (N)								
(kg N ha ⁻¹)								
0	7.86±0.43°	4.99±0.25 ^d	12.86±0.67 ^d	1.57 ± 0.04^{b}				
100	9.69±0.57 ^b	5.69±0.23°	15.38±0.79°	1.69±0.05 ^{ab}				
200	10.93 ±0.58 ^b	6.42 ± 0.22^{b}	17.36±0.79b	1.68 ± 0.05^{ab}				
300	12.59±0.66 ^a	7.15±0.27 ^a	19.74±0.92ª	1.75±0.05ª				
S×N	ns	ns	ns	ns				
Means with the same letters in same columns are not significantly								
different at p<0.05 (LSD)								

Net photosynthesis (PN) of Gynura procumbens increased by 46.85% with increasing shade level from full sunlight (0% shade) to 30% shade but no significant difference was observed with 50 and 70% of shade. Similarly, nitrogen fertilizer rate at 300 and 200 kg N ha⁻¹ produced significantly higher PN $(10.24 \text{ and } 9.85 \mu \text{mol } \text{m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1})$ than (6.91 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹) at 0 kg N ha⁻¹. Stomata conductance significantly affected by shade level and recorded the highest value of 0.034 molm⁻²s⁻¹ at 0% shade than the lowest of 0.028 and 0.029 molm-²s⁻¹ at 50 and 70% shade, respectively. Meanwhile application of nitrogen fertilizer did not effect on gs of G. procumbens. In addition, the highest value of E of G. procumbens was 1.92 mmol m⁻²s⁻¹ at 0% shade and the lowest

values were (1.56 and 1.55 mmol m^2s^{-1}) at 50 and 70% shade levels, respectively. Transpiration rates declined by 16.14% with application of nitrogen fertilizer rates at 300 kg N ha⁻¹ before application of nitrogen fertilizer (0 kg N ha⁻¹).

Accordingly shade levels and nitrogen fertilizer rates had significant effects ($p \le 0.05$) on WUE of *G. procumbens* (Table 1). Water use efficiency was observed to increase by 63.06% with increases in shade levels from 0 to 30%. While, there were no significant differences among 30, 50 and 70% of shade level in WUE. The percentage increases were 64.09 and 72.34% at 200 and 300 kg N ha⁻¹ compared with no nitrogen application, respectively.

No significant interaction (p>0.05) was observed between shade levels and nitrogen fertilizer rates in chlorophyll (a, b, a+b and a/b) (Table 2). Based on table 2, chlorophyll-a, chlorophyll-b, total chlorophyll (a+b) and chlorophyll ratio (a/b) content in the leaf of *G*. *procumbens*were significantly affected by shade and nitrogen fertilizer (p<0.05). The content of chlorophylls increased with shade level from 0 to 70%. Comparable situation of increase occurred with increasing nitrogen fertilizer from 0 to 100, 200 and 300 kg N ha⁻¹.

However, the results showed significantly higher concentration of



Fig. 1: Effects of shade levels and nitrogen rates on plant height of *Gynura* procumbens.



Fig. 2: Effects of shade levels and nitrogen rates on number of branches of *Gynura* procumbens.



Fig. 3: Effects of shade levels and nitrogen rates on total leaf areaof *Gynura* procumbens.



Fig. 4: Effects of shade levels (A) and nitrogen rates on specific leaf area (SLA) of *Gynura procumbens*.

chlorophyll-a (11.98 and 10.92mgg⁻¹ fresh weight) at 70 and 50% shade levels as compared with 0% shade (7.81 mgg⁻ ¹ fresh weight). While for chlorophyllb, no significant difference among three shade levels of 30, 50 and 70% was observed with 6.04, 6.39 and 6.04 mgg⁻¹ fresh weight, respectively compared $(5.02 \text{ mgg}^{-1} \text{ fresh weight with } 0\%$ shade). On the other hand, total chlorophyll (a+b) increased by 34.89 and 46.26% at 70 and 50% of shade levels respectively, as compared to 0% shade. Chlorophyll-a:b showed similar pattern with chlorophyll-b with 1.69 mgg⁻¹ fresh weight under 30 and 50% shade levels and 1.75 under 70% shade level

There were significant effects of nitrogen fertilizer rates on chlorophylla. Chlorophyll-a increased 23.28, 39.1 and 60% with increasing nitrogen rates from 0 to 100, 200 and 300 kg ha⁻¹ N) in chlorophyll-b (7.15mgg⁻¹ fresh weight) at 300 kgha⁻¹ Nas compared to 0 kgha⁻¹ N (4.99mgg⁻¹ fresh weight); in chlorophyll a+b (19.74mgg⁻¹ fresh weight); in chlorophyll a+b (19.74mgg⁻¹ fresh weight) at 300 kg ha⁻¹ N as compared to 0 kg ha⁻¹ N (12.86mgg⁻¹ fresh weight). Furthermore, chlorophyll-a:b (1.75, 1.68 and 1.69 mgg⁻¹ fresh weight) at 300, 200 and 100 kg ha⁻¹ N respectively as compared to 0 kg ha⁻¹ N (1.57mgg⁻¹ fresh weight).

There were significant interactions between shade levels and nitrogen fertilizer rates on plant height (fig. 1). Maximum plant height (49.38 cm) was recorded at 70% shade level and 300 kg N ha⁻¹ of fertilizer application. The minimum plant height was recorded at 12.88 c mat 0% shade level and no application of N fertilizer however, no significant difference was observed with the plants applied with 100 and 200 kg N ha⁻¹.

Fig. 2, revealed that there was significant interaction between shade levels and nitrogen rates on number of branches. The maximum number of branches were 8.38 and 8.50 per plant at 30% shade under 200 and 300 kg N

ha⁻¹ fertilizer. While, the minimum number of branches was 3.50 at 0% shade and no nitrogen application (0 N kg ha⁻¹). However, application at 100 kg N ha⁻¹, did not show any significant effect with no application of nitrogen fertilizer.

Similarly, interaction between shade level and nitrogen application was observed on total leaf area (Fig. 3). The maximum leaf area was 3792.2, 3985.1 and 4045.28 cm² at 30, 50 and 70% shade under 300 kg ha⁻¹











Fig. 7: Effects of shade levels and nitrogen rates on crop growth rate (CGR) of *Gynura procumbens*.

of nitrogen rate. On the other hand, the lowest value of leaf area was 425.66, 561.5, 714.2 and 771.94 cm² at 0% shade under 0, 100, 200 and 300 kg ha⁻¹ of N, respectively.

No interaction effect occurred on maximum specific leaf area. The maximum specific leaf area was 260.05 and 233.46 cm²g⁻¹ observed under 70 and 50% shade. While, the lowest value was 164.43 and 163.56 cm²g⁻¹ under 30 and 0% shade (Fig. 4A.). The highest specific

leaf area under nitrogen fertiliser was 231.33 cm²g⁻¹ observed under 300 kg N ha⁻¹ and the lowest were 196.81 and 201.93 cm²g⁻¹ under 100 and 200 kg N ha⁻¹, respectively and 190.41 cm²g⁻¹ with no application of nitrogen fertilizer (Fig. 4B).

The results (Fig. 5A) showed that there were significant interaction effects of shade levels and nitrogen fertilizer rate ($p \le 0.05$) on total leaf fresh weight per plant. The highest leaf fresh weight was 213.64 g at highest nitrogen rate (300 kg ha⁻¹) under 30% shade. The lowest total leaf fresh weight per plant was 29.37g at low nitrogen rate (0 kg ha⁻¹) under 0% shade however, no significant difference with application at 100 kg N ha⁻¹.

There was a significant interaction (p<0.05) recorded between shade and nitrogen in total fresh weight (TFW) of *G. procumbens* (Fig. 5B). The highest TFW was 323.98 g at 30% shade with 300 kg ha⁻¹ of nitrogen rates. The minimum value of TFW indicated the similar pattern with TLFW.

Similar results were revealed in the total leaf dry weight (TLDW) and total dry weight (TDW) of *G procumbens* under different shade levels and nitrogen rates (Fig. 6A). The maximum TLDW was 21.26 g at 30% shade with 300 kg N ha⁻¹. On the other hand, the lowest value of TDW was 2.70 g at 0% shade and no nitrogen application treatment (0 kg N ha⁻¹) but no significant difference if the plants was fertilized at 100 and 200 kg N ha⁻¹.

Fig. 6B, shows the TDW of *Gynura procumbens* grown under



Fig. 8: Effects of (A) shade levels and (B) nitrogen fertilizerrates on relative growth rate of *Gynura procumbens*.

different shade levels and nitrogen fertilizer rates. The highest TDW of *Gynura procumbens* was 43.13 g at 30% shade with 300 kg N ha⁻¹. While, the minimum value of TDW was 4.83 g at 0% shade and no nitrogen application (0 kg N ha⁻¹).

There were an interaction effects between shade levels and nitrogen rates on crop growth rate (CGR) which produced with an average total of 0.48g day⁻¹ under 30% shade and 300 kg N ha⁻¹, whereas the lowest value of 0.05 g day⁻¹ produced at no nitrogen application (0 kg N ha⁻¹) under 0% shade (Fig. 7).

The relative growth rate (RGR) of *Gynura* procumbens in fig. 8A, was higher at 0.056 g g⁻¹d under 30% shade compared to 0.038 g g⁻¹d grown without shade (0% shade). While, the higher RGR under nitrogen fertiliser were 0.052 and 0.051 g g⁻¹d observed at 300 and 200 kg N ha⁻¹ rates respectively, compared with the lowest RGR of 0.045 g g⁻¹d under 0 kg N ha⁻¹ (Fig. 8B).



The root- shoot ratio (RSR) of *Gprocumbens* plants

Fig. 9: Effects of (A) shade levels and (B) nitrogen rates on root-shoot ratio (RSR) of *Gynura procumbens*.

were not significantly different among all evaluated shade levels (Fig. 9A). However, the effect of nitrogen fertilizer rates on RSR was significant (Fig. 9B). The results revealed that RSR of *G.procumbens* decreased as nitrogen fertilizer rate applied either at 100, 200 or 300 kg N ha⁻¹. The corresponding percentages of decreased were 22.7, 30.8 and 28.6% for nitrogen rate of 100, 200 and 300 kg N ha⁻¹ respectively, compared with no nitrogen application.

The correlation coefficient (r) between net photosynthesis rate (PN), total chlorophyll content a+b (*Chl*), plant

height (PH), number of branches (Nb), leaf area (LA), specific leaf area (SLA), total leaf fresh weight (TLFW), total leaf dry weight (TLDW), total fresh weight (TFW) and total dry weight (TDW) of *G procumbens* under different shade levels and nitrogen fertilizer rates are shown in table 3.

Discussion

The results showed that there was no interaction between light intensity levels and nitrogen fertilizer rates as observed in net photosynthesis rate, stomatal conductance, transpiration rate and water use efficiency. Mean while, net photosynthesis rate and water use efficiency was observed to be fluctuating under shade level and their peak point was at 30% shade level. While, the highest value of net photosynthesis rate and water use efficiency was at 200 and 300 kg N ha⁻¹. However, stomata conductance and transpiration rates were minimum under high shade level. Similar observations have been reported by a number of researchers which

> according to them, stomatal size decreases in response to low light intensity (Weidong, 2002; Wei *et al.*, 2005; Dai *et al.*, 2009). An increase in transpiration rates caused by high light intensity led to decrease photosynthesis in leaves (Albayrak and Camas, 2007). The results were in agreement with (Ghasemzadeh *et al.*, 2010; Gregoriou *et al.*, 2007; Ibrahim and Jaafar, 2012; Labrooy *et al.*, 2016; Zaliyatun Akhma *et al.*, 2014).

> In the present study, results showed significant (P<0.05) decreases in chlorophyll contents under open field

Table 3: Correlation coefficient (r) between net photosynthesis rate (PN), chlorophyll content a+b (*Chl*), plant height (PH), number of branches (Nb), leaf area (LA), specific leaf area (SLA), total leaf fresh weight (TLFW), total leaf dry weight (TLDW), total fresh weight (TFW) and total dry weight (TDW) of *G. procumbens* under different shade levels and nitrogen fertilizer rates.

	PN	Chl	PH	Nb	LA	SLA	TLFW	TLDW	TFW	TDW	CGR	RGR	RSR
PN	-	0.57	0.59	0.78	0.72	0.26	0.76	0.74	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.70	-0.39
		***	***	***	***	*	***	***	***	***	***	***	**
Chl		-	0.72	0.58	0.79	0.49	0.71	0.64	0.70	0.64	0.64	0.65	-0.50
			***	***	***	***	***	***	* * *	* * *	***	***	* * *
PH			-	0.57	0.89	0.64	0.80	0.71	0.83	0.75	0.75	0.80	-0.30
				***	***	***	***	***	* * *	* * *	***	***	*
Nb				-	0.75	0.23ns	0.82	0.80	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.72	-0.46
					***	ns	***	***	* * *	* * *	***	***	* * *
					-	0.62	0.93	0.86	0.93	0.88	0.88	0.88	-0.42
LA						***	***	***	* * *	* * *	***	***	* * *
SLA						-	0.36**	0.15ns	0.39**	0.24ns	0.24ns	0.33**	0.02ns
1.5117							-	0.95	0.99	0.97	0.97	0.94	-0.38
LFW								***	* * *	* * *	***	***	**
LDW								-	0.94	0.98	0.98	0.93	-0.47
LDW									***	***	***	***	***
TFW									-	0.97	0.97	0.95	-0.36
										* * *	***	***	**
TDW										-	0.99	0.96	-0.42
											***	***	***
CGR											-	0.96	-0.42
												***	***
RGR												-	-0.37**
RSR													-
ns = not significant; *= significant at $p \le 0.05$; **=significant at $p \le 0.01$; ***= significant at $p \le 0.001$													

(0% shade) and no nitrogen application. Under full sunlight, it was found that lowest chlorophyll (a, b, a+band a/b) were 7.81,5.02, 12.84 and 1.56 respectively. Yellowing leaves of G. procumbens under 0% shade (full sunlight) suggesting that light intensity greater than 70% of sunlight (30% of shade) seriously impaired or inactivated the photosynthetic system. Decreases in Chlorophyll-b content have been suggested to be an indication of chlorophyll destruction by excess irradiance (Griffin et al., 2004). Excess light can be harmful in open environments where plant metabolism is impaired by environmental stresses. Bertamini et al., (2006) documented that in high light intensity, photosynthetic apparatus absorbs excessive light energy, resulting in the inactivation or impairment of the chlorophyll-containing reaction centres of the chloroplasts. As a consequence, photosynthetic activity is depressed by photo-inhibition (Robinson and Osmond, 1994).

In contrast, under 70% of shade level, chlorophyll contents (a, b, a+b and a/b) recorded 11.98, 6.80, 18.79 and 1.75, respectively. Under high shade level (low light intensity) the *G. procumbens* leaf chlorophyll pigments increased as physiological response in order to absorbs

high amount of light. The results were similar with other findings which reported increased chlorophyll contents due to plants grown under shade (Santo and Alfani, 1980). The increase in chlorophyll content of shade-grown plants is said to be due to more resources are channelled into chlorophyll synthesis in low light environment to maximise available light utilisation. Plants grown under shaded conditions are known to optimise their effectiveness of light absorption by increasing pigment density per unit leaf area (Wittmann *et al.*, 2001). Bailey *et al.*, (2001) reported that plants balance light absorption for photosynthesis by regulating chlorophyll synthesis and in many plants, changes in light intensity elicit physiological responses at the level of leaf and chloroplast.

Studies on nitrogen supply in relation to chlorophyll content showed that an increase in chlorophyll content was associated to nitrogen supply where the highest chlorophyll content was achieved (Chlorophyll-a=12.59, Chlolophyll-b=7.15, Chlorophyll a+b=19.74 and Chlorophyll-a/b=1.75) at the highest nitrogen rate (300 kg Nha⁻¹). Tissue nitrogen contents increased with increasing nitrogen fertilisation and due to the fundamental role of nitrogen nutrition in the chlorophyll complex

(Barker, 1979). Pompelli *et al.*, (2010) showed that decreased light captured via strong reductions in the concentrations of both Chlorophyll-*a* and Chlorophyll-*b* was evident in response to both high light and nitrogen deficiency. The results were in agreement with (Mao *et al.*, 2007; Ghosh *et al.*, 2004).

In the present study, the high value of plant height, total leaf area and specific leaf area were observed at high shade level (lower light intensities) and high nitrogen fertiliser supplementation. However, the lower value of plant height, total leaf area, specific leaf area were observed to be stunted at 0% of shade (higher light intensity) and at lower rate of nitrogen fertiliser. It is clear that high shade level stimulated stem elongation. Stem elongation is typically considered as part of 'shade avoidance syndrome'. The differences observed in plant height as the effect of shade was stronger at higher N supplementation than at lower N. This is due to limitation of the resources at low nitrogen supply. The results are in agreement with the results reported in previous studies by (Morais et al., 2006; Bote and Vos, 2017) who reported greater stem height of trees grown under shade compared to trees exposed to full sun. It increased number of branches as plants' response to increasing light absorbance under a 30 % light condition with high nitrogen supply. Higher nitrogen supplementation stimulated plant height, number of branches, leaf area and leaf dry weight (Bote and Vos, 2017) but disagreed with Campanha et al., (2004).

It was also noted that increasing shade levels and nitrogen supply generally resulted in an increase of leaf area and specific leaf area. The lower values of leaf area, plant height and specific leaf area in higher light intensity counteracted better performance observed. Branch length and leaf dry weight showed most positive plasticity in response to both radiation and N supply (Bote and Vos, 2017). The increase in specific leaf area under low light intensity which is accompanied by increase in the leaf area served to maximise the leaf surface area available for interception of the limited light incident. Partitioning of biomass is altered to provide maximum possible surface area for photosynthesis (Loach, 1970; Pons, 1977). Hughes et al., (1965) reported that increase in specific leaf area was attributed to greater expansion of existing cells rather than increased cell division. Typically leaves of plant grown under shade have fewer layers of palisade parenchyma cells than leaves plant grown under full sun (Pons, 1977). In the study conducted by Casey et al., (2002), 40% shade elicited a response of similar magnitude as that for 60% shade in terms of dry matter content, which manifested itself in greater

leaf area expansion. Therefore, 40% of shade conferred double benefit of receiving enough light for greater biomass accumulation than 60 or 80% shade coupled with relatively large morphological response to shade. Urbas and Zobel, (2000) indicated that a decrease in leaves thickness and increase in leaf area under low light intensity led to reduce plant biomass due to decrease of some leaves per plant.

Results in the current study showed that nitrogen levels had significant effect on plant height and leaf area. Plants grow well if nutrient uptake in the shoot were high, but in contrasts, nitrogen deficiency could induce stunted cell division and consequently inhibited plant growth. (Khaliq *et al.*, 2008 and 2009) demonstrated that high rate of nitrogen application leads to more rapid leaf area development, prolongs life of foliage, increases leaf area duration and enhances whole crop assimilation, accordingly contributing to increases in sunflower yield. While Bote and Vos, (2017) reported that higher nitrogen supplies stimulated plant height, number of branches, leaf area and leaf dry weight.

The smaller and thicker leaves (low specific leaf area) at high light intensity would help to maximise water-use efficiency (Parkhurst and Loucks, 1972) and also allow more light to pass to lower canopy layers, which is beneficial in high light intensity, where top leaves receive light in excess, saturating leaf photosynthesis (Bote and Vos, 2017). Moreover, under no nitrogen application (0 kg N ha⁻¹) plants adapt their leaf size to maintain high nitrogen content per unit leaf area, photosynthetic capacity and radiation use efficiency to the detriment of light interception per plant (Anten *et al.*, 1995; Vos and Van der Putten, 1998). In order to survive under stress conditions (high light intensity and nitrogen deficiency) *G procumbens* plant minimized its leaf size.

Moreover, shade treatments can reduce canopy temperature about 2-4°C as compared to exposure to full sunlight which reduces evapotranspiration (*DaMatta et al.*, 2007; *Geromel et al.*, 2008; Guyot *et al.*, 1996; Muschler, 2001; Vaast *et al.*, 2006). Increased root hydraulic conductivity and decreased evapotranspiration under nitrogen supply improve regulation ability of water balance in coffee plant trees (Yang *et al.*, 2011) and contribute to enhance yield.

In the present study, plant height and leaf area showed positive plasticity in response to shade levels. Leaf area declined from 2955.8 to 618.3 cm² and plant height declined from 39.5 to 15.1 cm for a decrease in shade from 70 to 0% shade while it was noted a decrease in leaf area from 3148.63 to 1368.1 cm² and decrease in

plant height from 35.4 to 23.4 cm for a decrease in nitrogen supply from 300 to 0 kg ha⁻¹.

For root-shoot ratio (RSR), the result showed that only nitrogen fertilizer rates had significant effects. The highest value was under 0 kg N ha-1 than the lowest at 300 kg N ha⁻¹. The differences in biomass between aboveground parts and below ground parts is associated to nutrient limitation (Li et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2018). Bo et al., (2009) demonstrated that low nitrogen availability in the soil improved root biomass, whereas high levels of available nitrogen reduced root biomass. However, in nutrient-deficient conditions, growth was reduced in the above-ground parts of wheat plants than in the root system which indicated that stress had a relatively strong effect on growth of above-ground parts. The reduction in total root dry weight (data don't show) was lower than the reduction on total shoot dry weight when nitrogen fertilizer decreased from 300 to 0 kg N ha⁻¹. Accordingly, above ground part of G. procumbens is high sensitive to nitrogen deficiency than root. The results were in agreement with (Wang et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2018) but disagreed with (Bote and Vos, 2017).

Total fresh weight, total dry weight and crop growth rate were higher at 30% shade with 300 kg N ha⁻¹ where as the lowest biomass was observed under 0% shade (full sunlight) with no nitrogen application (0 N kgha⁻¹). This was due to the observed increase in number of branches, net photosynthesis rate and crop growth rate under 30% shade with higher nitrogen supply which increased total biomass yield. Results in table 3 showed that there were strong and positive correlations between PN, Chl and Nb with (TLFW, TLDW, TFW and TDW) (r=0.76, 0.74, 0.75 and 0.75) for PN, (r=0.71, 0.64, 0.70 and 0.64) for *Chl* and (r= 0.82, 0.80, 0.81 and 0.81) for Nb respectively, which positively reflected crop growth rate under 30% shade at high nitrogen supplementation. Contrary to the negative correlation between RSR and (TLFW, TLDW, TFW and TDW) which was (r = -0.38), -0.47, -0.36 and -0.42), there were strong and positive correlation between CGR and RGR with (TLFW, TLDW, TFW and TDW) the values of (r) were (r= 0.97, 0.98, 0.97 and 0.97) and (r= 0.94, 0.93, 0.95 and 0.96) respectively, which resulted in increased biomass yield of G. procumbens plant under 30% shade (70% of sunlight) at 300 kg N ha⁻¹. These observations confirmed the results reported by (Bote and Vos, 2017; Ibrahim et al., 2011) however, in contrast with (Santo and Alfani, 1980) who found that there were no difference between full sunlight and 66% shade on dry matter production of mint (Mentha piperita L.).

Conclusion

Light intensity levels and nitrogen fertiliser rates significantly affected growth of *G. procumbens*. Leaf area, number of branches, leaf chlorophyll content changed according to growth conditions as plants response to survive or maximise biomass yield. The lowest leaf area, chlorophyll content and net photosynthesis rate were under full sunlight with no application of nitrogen fertiliser which resulted in low biomass yield. In contrast, the 30% shade with 300 kg N ha⁻¹ was favourable for high biomass yield of *G. procumbens* due to high number of branches and net photosynthesis rate.

References

- Abrams, M.D. and S.A. Mostoller (1995). Gas exchange, leaf structure and nitrogen in contrasting successional tree species growing in open and understory sites during a drought. *Tree Physiol.*, **15(6)**: 361-370.
- Albayrak, S. and N. Camas (2007). Effects of temperature and light intensity on growth of fodder beet (*Beta vulgaris* L. var. crassa Mansf.). *Bangladesh J. Bot.*, **36(1):** 1-12.
- Anten, N.P.R., F. Schieving, E. Medina, M.J.A. Werger and P. Schuffelen (1995). Optimal leaf area indices in C3 and C4 mono and dicotyledonous species at low and high nitrogen availability. *Physiologia Plantarum.*, **95(4):** 541-550.
- Bailey, S., R.G. Walters, S. Jansson and P. Horton (2001). Acclimation of *Arabidopsis thaliana* to the light environment: the existence of separate low light and high light responses. *Planta*, 213(5): 794-801.
- Barker, A.V. (1979). Nutritional factors in photosynthesis of higher plants. J. Plant Nutr., 1(3): 309-342.
- Bertamini, M., K. Muthuchelian, M. Rubinigg, R. Zorer, R. Velasco and N. Nedunchezhian (2006). Low-night temperature increased the photoinhibition of photosynthesis in grapevine (*Vitisvinifera* L. cv. Riesling) leaves. *Environ. Exp. Bot.*, 57(1-2): 25-31.
- Bo, W. A.N.G., L.A.I. Tao, Q.W. Huang, Y.A.N.G. Xing-Ming and S.H.E.N. Qi-Rong (2009). Effect of N fertilizers on root growth and endogenous hormones in strawberry. *Pedosphere.*, 19(1): 86-95.
- Bote, A.D. and J. Vos (2017). Tree management and environmental conditions affect coffee (*Coffea arabica* L.) bean quality. *Njas-Wagen J. Life Sci.*, **83:** 39-46.
- Campanha, M.M., R.H.S. Santos, G.B. De Freitas, H.E.P. Martinez, S.L.R. Garcia and F.L. Finger (2004). Growth and yield of coffee plants in agroforestry and monoculture systems in Minas Gerais, Brazil. *Agroforestry systems.*, 63(1): 75-82.
- Casey, C.A., F.X. Mangan, S.J. Herbert, A.V. Barker and A.K. Carter (2002). The effect of light intensity and nitrogen fertilization on plant growth and leaf quality of ngo gai (*Eryngium foetidum* L.) in Massachusetts. In XXVI International Horticultural Congress: The Future for Medicinal and Aromatic Plants.,629: 215-229.
- Dai, Y., Z. Shen, Y. Liu, L. Wang, D. Hannaway and H. Lu (2009). Effects of shade treatments on the photosynthetic

capacity, chlorophyll fluorescence and chlorophyll content of *Tetrastigma hemsleyanum* Diels et Gilg. *Environ. Exp. bota.*, **65(2-3):** 177-182.

- DaMatta, F.M., C.P. Ronchi, M. Maestri and R.S. Barros (2007). Ecophysiology of coffee growth and production. *Braz. J. Plant Physiol.*, **19(4)**: 485-510.
- Geromel, C., L.P. Ferreira, F. Davrieux, B. Guyot, F. Ribeyre, M.B. Dos Santos Scholz, L.F.P. Pereira, P. Vaast, D. Pot, T. Leroy and F.A. Androcioli (2008). Effects of shade on the development and sugar metabolism of coffee (*Coffea* arabica L.) fruits. *Plant Physiol and Biochem.*, 46(5-6): 569-579.
- Ghasemzadeh, A., H.Z.E. Jaafar, A. Rahmat, P.E.M. Wahab and M.R.A. Halim (2010). Effect of different light intensities on total phenolics and flavonoids synthesis and anti-oxidant activities in young ginger varieties (*Zingiberofficinale* Roscoe). *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, **11(10):** 3885-3897.
- Ghosh, P.K., K.K. Bandyopadhyay, M.C. Manna, K.G. Mandal, A.K. Misra and K.M. Hati (2004). Comparative effectiveness of cattle manure, poultry manure, phosphocompost and fertilizer-NPK on three cropping systems in vertisols of semi-arid tropics. II. Dry matte yield, nodulation, chlorophyll content and enzyme activity. *Bioresour*. *Technol.*, **95(1)**: 85-93.
- Gregoriou, K., K. Pontikis and S. Vemmos (2007). Effects of reduced irradiance on leaf morphology, photosynthetic capacity and fruit yield in olive (*Olea europaea* L.). *Photosynthetica.*, 45(2): 172-181.
- Griffin, J.J., T.G. Ranney and D.M. Pharr (2004). Photosynthesis, chlorophyll fluorescence and carbohydrate content of *Illicium taxa* grown under varied irradiance. *J. Am. Soc. Hortic. Sci.*, **129(1):** 46-53.
- Guyot, B., D. Gueule, J.C. Manez, J.J. Perriot, J. Giron and L. Villain (1996). Influence de l'altitude et de l'ombrage sur la qualité des cafés Arabica. *Plantations, Recherche, Développement.*, 3(4): 272-283.
- Hanudin, E., H. Wismarini, T. Hertiani and B. Hendro Sunarminto (2012). Effect of shading, nitrogen and magnesium fertilizer on phyllanthin and total flavonoid yield of *Phyllanthus niruri* in Indonesia soil. J. Med. Plant Res., 6(30): 4586-4592.
- Hew, C.S. and L.H. Gam (2011). Proteome analysis of abundant proteins extracted from the leaf of *Gynura procumbens* (Lour.) Merr. *Appl. Biochemis. Biotec.*, **165(7-8):** 1577-1586.
- Huang, W.D., L.K. Wu and J.C. Zhan (2004). Growth and photosynthesis adaptation of dwarf-type Chinese cherry (*Prunus pseudocerasus* L. cv. Laiyang) leaves to weak light stress. *Scientia Agricultura Sinica*, **37(12)**: 1981-1985.
- Hughes, A.P. (1965). Plant growth and the aerial environment: vii. The growth of impatiens parvieeora in very low light intensities. *New Phytologist.*, **64(1):** 55-64.
- Ibrahim, M.H. and H.Z.E. Jaafar (2012). Primary, secondary metabolites, H₂O₂, malondialdehyde and photosynthetic responses of *Orthosiphon stimaneus* Benth. to different

irradiance levels. Molecules., 17(2): 1159-1176.

- Ibrahim, M.H., H.Z.E. Jaafar, A. Rahmat and Z.A. Rahman (2011). The relationship between phenolics and flavonoids production with total non structural carbohydrate and photosynthetic rate in *Labisia pumila* Benth. under high CO₂ and nitrogen fertilization. *Molecules.*, **16(1):** 162-174.
- Jiratchariyakul, W., S. Jarikasem, S. Siritantikorn, A. Somanabandhu and A.W. Frahm (2000). Antiherpes simplex viral compounds from *Gynura procumbens* Merr. *Mahidol University Annual Research*. Salaya, Thailand.
- Keng, C.L., L.S. Yee and P.L. Pin (2009). Micropropagation of *Gynuraprocumbens* (Lour.) Merr. an important medicinal plant. J. Med. Plant Res., 3(3): 105-111.
- Khaliq, T., A. Ahmad, A. Hussain, A.M. Ranjha and M.A. Ali (2008). Impact of nitrogen rates on growth, yield and radiation use efficiency of maize under varying environments. *Pak. J. Agri. Sci.*, **45(3):** 1-7.
- Khaliq, T., A. Ahmad, A. Hussain and M.A. Ali (2009). Maize hybrids response to nitrogen rates at multiple locations in semiarid environment. *Pak. J. Bot.*, **41(1)**: 207-224.
- Kozai, T., Y. Xiao, Q.T. Nguyen, F. Afreen and S.M. Zobayed (2005). Photoautotrophic (sugar-free medium) micropropagation systems for large-scale commercialization. *Propagation of ornamental plants.*, 5(1): 23-34.
- Labrooy, C.D., T.L. Abdullah, N.A.P. Abdullah and J. Stanslas (2016). Optimum shade enhances growth and 5,7-Dimethoxyflavone accumulation in *Kaempferia parviflora* Wall. ex Baker cultivars. *Scientia Horticulturae.*, **213:** 346-353.
- Li, X., B. Schmid, F. Wang and C.E.T. Paine (2016). Net assimilation rate determines the growth rates of 14 species of subtropical forest trees. *PloS One.*, **11(3):** e0150644.
- Lichtenthaler, H.K. and A.R. Wellburn (1983). Determinations of total carotenoids and chlorophylls *a* and *b* of leaf extracts in different solvents. *Portland Press Limited. Biochemical Society Transactions*, **11(5):** 591-592.
- Loach, K. (1970). Shade tolerance in tree seedlings: II. Growth analysis of plants raised under artificial shade. *New Phytologist.*, **69(2):** 273-286.
- Mao, L.Z., H.F. Lu, Q. Wang and M.M. Cai (2007). Comparative photosynthesis characteristics of *Calycanthuschinensis* and *Chimonanthuspraecox*. *Photosynthetica*, **45(4)**: 601-605.
- Morais, H., P.H. Caramori, A.M. de A. Ribeiro, J.C. Gomes and M.S. Koguishi (2006). Microclimatic characterization and productivity of coffee plants grown under shade of pigeon pea in Southern Brazil. *Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira*, 41(5): 763-770.
- Mosaleeyanon, K., S.M.A. Zobayed, F. Afreen and T. Kozai (2005). Relationships between net photosynthetic rate and secondary metabolite contents in St. John's wort. *Plant Sci.*, **169(3):** 523-531.
- Mou, K.M. and P.R. Dash (2016). A Comprehensive Review on *Gynura Procumbens* Leaves. *Int. J. Pharmacogn.*, **3(4):**

167-174.

- Muschler, R.G. (2001). Shade improves coffee quality in a suboptimal coffee-zone of Costa Rica. *Agroforestry Systems.*, **51(2):** 131-139.
- Nakano, H., A. Makino and T. Mae (1997). The effect of elevated partial pressures of CO₂ on the relationship between photosynthetic capacity and N content in rice leaves. *Plant Physiol.*, **115(1):** 191-198.
- Nasiri, A. (2016). Effect of light intensity and agronomical practices on growth, yield and quality of sabah snake grass (*Clinacanthus nutans (Burm.f.) Lindau*). Universiti Putra Malaysia, Serdang, Malaysia.
- Odabas, M.S., J. Radugieneuml, N. Camas, V. Janulis and L. Ivanauskas (2009). The quantitative effects of temperature and light intensity on hyperforin and hypericins accumulation in *Hypericum perforatum* L. J. Med. Plant Res., **3(7):** 519-525.
- Parkhurst, D.F. and O.L. Loucks (1972). Optimal leaf size in relation to environment. *The Journal of Ecology.*, (1): 505-537.
- Perry, L.M. and J. Metzger (1980). Medicinal Plant of East and South East Asia. *The MIT Press, London,* **44(92):** 133.
- Pompelli, M.F., S.C.V. Martins, W.C. Antunes, A.R.M. Chaves and F.M. DaMatta (2010). Photosynthesis and photoprotection in coffee leaves is affected by nitrogen and light availabilities in winter conditions. J. Plant Physiol., 167(13): 1052-1060.
- Pons, T.L. (1977). An ecophysiological study in the field layer of ash coppice. II. Experiments with *Geum urbanum* and *Cirsium palustre* in different light intensities. *Acta Botanica Neerlandica.*, 26(1): 29-42.
- Pospisilova, J., J. Catsky and Z. Sestak (1996). Photosynthesis and water relations during transplantation to *ex vitro* conditions. *Inst. Biol. Chem., Academia Sinica and Tfri, Taipei (Taiwan, R. O. C.).*, 133-137.
- Rahman, A. and M.S. Al-Asad (2013). Chemical and biological investigations of the leaves of Gynura procumbens. *Int. J. Biosci.*, **3(4)**: 36-43.
- Robinson, S.A. and C.B. Osmond (1994). Internal gradients of chlorophyll and carotenoid pigments in relation to photoprotection in thick leaves of plants with crassulacean acid metabolism. *Funct. Plant. Biol.*, **21(4):** 497-506.
- Rohin, M.A.K., M.N. Jumli, N. Ridzwan, A.A. Baig, A.Z.A. Latif and N.A. Hadi (2018). Effect of *Gynura procumbens* extracts on anti-proliferative activity and its associated morphological changes of human *Glioblastoma multiforme* cell line (U-87). *Pharmacogn. J.*, **10(3)**: 492-496.
- Santo, A.V. and A. Alfani (1980). Adaptability of *Mentha piperita* 1. to irradiance. Growth, specific leaf area and levels of chlorophyll, protein and mineral nutrients as affected by shading. *Biologia Plantarum.*, 22(2): 117-123.

Sukadeetad, K., W. Nakbanpote, M. Heinrich and N.

Nuengchamnong (2018). Effect of drying methods and solvent extraction on the phenolic compounds of *Gynura pseudochina* (L.) DC. leaf extracts and their anti-psoriatic property. *Industrial Crops and Products.*, **120:** 34-46.

- Tan, H.L., K.G. Chan, P. Pusparajah, L.H. Lee and B.H. Goh (2016). *Gynuraprocumbens*: an overview of the biological activities. *Frontiers in Pharmacology*, 7: 52.
- Urbas, P. and K. Zobel (2000). Adaptive and inevitable morphological plasticity of three herbaceous species in a multi-species community: field experiment with manipulated nutrients and light. *Acta Oecologica.*, **21(2):** 139-147.
- Vaast, P., B. Bertrand, J. Perriot, B. Guyot and M. Genard (2006). Fruit thinning and shade improve bean characteristics and beverage quality of coffee (*Coffeaarabica* L.) under optimal conditions. J. Sci. Food. Agr., 86(2): 197-204.
- Vos, J. and P.E.L. Van der Putten (1998). Effect of nitrogen supply on leaf growth, leaf nitrogen economy and photosynthetic capacity in potato. *Field Crops Research*, **59(1)**: 63-72.
- Wang, C., W. Liu, Q. Li, D. Ma, H. Lu, W. Feng, Y. Xie, Y. Zhu and T. Guo (2014). Effects of different irrigation and nitrogen regimes on root growth and its correlation with above-ground plant parts in high-yielding wheat under field conditions. *Field Crops Research.*, **165**: 138-149.
- Wei, S.L., W.Q. Wang, X.H. Chen, S.Y. Qin and X.T. Chen (2005). Studies on the shade-endurance capacity of *Glycyrrhiza* uralensis. China Journal of Chinese Materia Medica., 30(2): 100-104.
- Weidong, Z.J.W.L.H. (2002). Effects of low light environment on the growth and photosynthetic characteristics of grape leaves. *Journal of China Agricultural University.*, 7: 75-78.
- Wittmann, C., G. Aschan and H. Pfanz (2001). Leaf and twig photosynthesis of young beech (*Fagus sylvatica*) and aspen (*Populus tremula*) trees grown under different light regime. *Basic Appl. Ecol.*, 2(2): 145-154.
- Yang, Q., F. Zhang and F. Li (2011). Effect of different drip irrigation methods and fertilization on growth, physiology and water use of young apple tree. *Scientia Horticulturae.*, **129(1):** 119-126.
- Yang, Y., Y. Dou, S. An and Z. Zhu (2018). Abiotic and biotic factors modulate plant biomass and root/shoot (R/S) ratios in grassland on the Loess Plateau, China. *Sci. Total Environ.*, 636: 621-631.
- Zaliyatun Akhma, M.Y., M. Maziah and S. Noor Azmi. The Effects of Polyamines on Growth and Biochemical Changes in Protocorm Like Bodies (PLBs) of Spathoglottisplicata. *Int. J. Plant. Prod. Qualit.*, (22): 254-256.
- Zhang, Q., D. Tang, M. Liu and J. Ruan (2018). Integrated analyses of the transcriptome and metabolome of the leaves of albino tea cultivars reveal coordinated regulation of the carbon and nitrogen metabolism. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 231: 272-281.