



EFFECT OF WATER-SOLUBLE FERTILIZER ON YIELD AND NUTRIENT UPTAKE OF RAGI

N. Senthilkumar* and Gokul G.

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Faculty of Agriculture, Annamali University, Annamalai Nagar-608002 (Tamil Nadu), India.

Abstract

The field experiment was conducted during 2018 at Kamarajapuram village, Katpadi Taluk, Vellore district, Tamil Nadu state to find out the effect of water soluble fertilizer yield and nutrient uptake of ragi (*Elusine coracana* L. Gaertn). The experiment consist of ten treatments which was replicated thrice and in combined with soil and foliar feeding of nutrients along with the water soluble fertilizers and humic acid were taken into study viz. T₁- Absolute control, T₂- Soil application of 100% RDF, T₃- Soil application of 50% RDF, T₄-Foliar spray of 100% RDF through water soluble fertilizer (FS on 20 and 40 DAT), T₅- Soil application of 50% RDN + foliar spray of 50% RDN & 100% P&K through water soluble fertilizer (FS on 20 and 40 DAT), T₆- Foliar spray of humic acid 0.1% (FS on 20 and 40 DAT), T₇- T₂+foliar spray of humic acid 0.1% (FS on 20 and 40DAT), T₈- T₃+foliar spray of humic acid 0.1% (FS on 20 and 40 DAT), T₉- T₄+ foliar spray of humic acid 0.1% (FS on 20 and 40 DAT) and T₁₀- T₅+ foliar spray of humic acid 0.1% (FS on 20 and 40 DAT). The experiment was laid out in a randomized block design with ten treatments replicated thrice. The results revealed that soil application of 50% RDN + foliar spay of 50% RDN and 100% P and K through water-soluble fertilizer (on 20 and 40 DAT) + foliar application of humic acid 0.1% (on 20 and 40 DAT) (T₁₀) recorded significantly higher growth parameters viz., plant height (122.9 cm), number of tillers plant⁻¹ (9.4) and dry matter production (12806.5 kg ha⁻¹) at 90 DAT and yield attributes like number of ear plant⁻¹ (6.7), earhead length (10.74 cm), number of fingers earhead⁻¹ (8.7), thousand grain weight (3.0 gm), grain yield (3776.7 kg ha⁻¹) and straw yield (8206.8 kg ha⁻¹), recorded with significantly higher values. The nutrient uptake recorded significantly higher in (T₁₀) N, P, K and S are compare to other treatments.

Key words : Foliar spary, water soluble fertilizer, humic acid, yield and nutrient uptake.

Introduction

Ragi (*Elusine coracana*) (L.) Gaertn) is one of the important millet crops in India, next to sorghum and pearl millet. Millets can give significantly higher yields on marginal lands with low fertility and low input agricultural systems compared to many other crops. (Saurav Das *et al.*, 2019). It contains 9.2% protein, 1.29% fat, 76.32% carbohydrate, 2.24% minerals and 3.9% ash besides vitamin A and B. The grains are rich in phosphorus, potassium and amino acid. It is also rich source of calcium (410mg 100g⁻¹ grain), especially required for growing children and aged people (Tomar *et al.*, 2011). The cultivated area of ragi in India is 1.02 million ha and production is 1.38 million tonnes with an average

productivity of 1.4 t ha⁻¹. The major ragi growing states in India are Karnataka, Tamil Nadu, Andhra Pradesh, Orissa, Jharkhand, Maharashtra and Uttaranchal. In Tamil Nadu, ragi is the most important traditional millet crop grown in an area of 0.61 lakh ha with production of 0.11 million tonnes and the productivity of 1.9 t ha⁻¹ that provides food and nutritional security to the marginal farmers in the rain fed dry lands and hilly tribal areas (India Stat, 2017).

The availability of macro and micronutrients added to the soil are affected by soil environmental factors leading to various losses. When a similar amount is applied to the soil, only 10 percent of it is utilized. In the sandy loam, foliar applied fertilizers are up to 20 times more effective when compared to soil applied fertilizers. Foliar

*Author for correspondence : E-mail: senthilkumar.n.au @gmail.com

feeding is the fertilizer method in which you directly apply a diluted liquid fertilizer to the leaves of the plant. The plant absorbs the nutrients in the fertilizer spray directly through the leaves. Foliar application is a particular technique to supply macro and micro-nutrients which avoids wastage or loss of nutrients which enhances nutrient use efficiency and reduces the cost of cultivation. Arif *et al.*, (2006) found that based on soil properties, foliar spraying could be effective 6 to 20 times as compared to soil application. Foliar application could be an advantage for crop growth (Seifi Nadergholi *et al.*, 2011). However, acute nutrient deficiencies can often be identified and corrected more rapidly via foliar fertilization than slower-uptake soil amendments (Erica Strauss, 2014).

Water soluble fertilizer of N:P:K 19:19:19 which is totally water soluble in crystalline powder form. It contains high quality macro and essential nutrients and chloride free ingredients. This can be applied to the crop by fertigation or through foliar spraying. Instant uptake of nutrients by the plants resulting in significant and quick improvement in crop will be seen (SPIC Triumph, 2019). Humic acid when applied to field converted into readily available humic substances which directly or indirectly effect the plant growth (Buyukkeskin and Akinci, 2011).

Addition of humic acid reduces the requirement of primary macronutrients (NPK) at optimal growth (Daur and Bakhashwain, 2013). Application of humic acid along with inorganic fertilizer increases the efficiency of inorganic fertilizer which in turn aids to reduce its rate without disturbing the yield crop (Han, 2011). Foliar application of nutrients along with soil application of nutrients increase the yield and to improve the quality of cereal crops (Zafar Jamal *et al.*, 2007). Keeping the aforesaid facts in consideration, the present investigation was carried out to study effect of water soluble fertilizer yield and nutrient uptake of ragi with following objectives.

Materials and Methods

The present investigation was carried out during January – May 2018, in the farmers field, kamarajapuram village, Katpadi Taluk, Vellore district, Tamil Nadu, India. The experiments were laid out in RBD (Randomized Block Design), Ragi (*Elusine coracana* L. Gaertn), CO-14 variety were taken into study. The experimental soil was sandy loam with a pH of 7.83, EC of 0.26 dSm⁻¹ and CEC of 12.50 cmol (p⁺) kg⁻¹. The available nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and sulphur contents were 270.60, 12.57, 256.20 kg ha⁻¹ and 13.12 mg kg⁻¹ respectively. The exchangeable calcium, magnesium, sodium and potassium contents were 6.20, 3.50, 2.90 and 5.20 cmol (p⁺) kg⁻¹,

respectively. The experiment consist of ten treatments which was replicated thrice and in combined with soil and foliar feeding of nutrients along with the water soluble fertilizers and humic acid were taken into study *viz.*, T₁ - Absolute control, T₂ - Soil application of 100% RDF, T₃ - Soil application of 50% RDF, T₄ -Foliar spray of 100% RDF through water soluble fertilizer (FS on 20 and 40 DAT), T₅ - Soil application of 50% RDN + foliar spray of 50% RDN & 100% P&K through water soluble fertilizer (FS on 20 and 40 DAT), T₆ - Foliar spray of humic acid 0.1% (FS on 20 and 40 DAT), T₇ - T₂ +foliar spray of humic acid 0.1% (FS on 20 and 40DAT), T₈ - T₃ +foliar spray of humic acid 0.1% (FS on 20 and 40 DAT), T₉ - T₄ + foliar spray of humic acid 0.1% (FS on 20 and 40 DAT) and T₁₀ - T₅ + foliar spray of humic acid 0.1% (FS on 20 and 40 DAT). Primary tillage was done by disc plough followed by passing cultivator twice, harrowed and levelled to get required seed bed. After the seed bed was prepared, the plots were laid out according to the plan of layout manually.

The entire dose of N, P₂O₅ and K₂O were applied as basal. Foliar application of water soluble fertilizer and humic acid 0.1 per cent on 20 and 40 DAT was applied as per the treatment. The grain and straw samples were collected at harvest stage analysed for the content of N, P and K using the standard procedure as outlined by Jackson (1973) and uptake were calculated. At harvest grain and straw yield were recorded.

Results and Discussion

Growth parameters

The data on growth parameters like plant height, number of tillers and total dry matter production as influenced by soil and foliar application of water soluble fertilizer and humic acid are presented in Table 1. The results indicated that significantly higher plant height (122.9 cm), number of tillers (9.4) and dry matter production (12806.5 kg ha⁻¹) at harvest stage were recorded with soil application of 50% RDN + foliar spray of 50% RDN and P & K through water soluble fertilizer (on 20 and 40 DAT) + foliar spray of humic acid 0.1% (on 20 and 40 DAT) (T₁₀) over all other treatments. This was followed by soil application of 50% RDN + foliar spray of 50% RDN and 100% P & K through water soluble fertilizer (on 20 and 40 DAT) (T₅). The treatments next in order were T₂, T₉, T₄, T₇, T₈, T₆ and T₃ respectively. Whereas, the absolute control (T₁) had the least effect in all other treatments.

This cumulative and conjective application of soil and foliar feeding of balanced nutrients to the crop might have enjoyed with sufficient nutrient condition for a longer

period of time and the nutrients uptake thereby allowing the plant to perpetuate with plant height. Findings of this investigation are in close conformity with those of Reddy *et al.*, (2018), reported that in finger millet recorded significantly the highest plant height was recorded by treatment receiving 2 % urea spray which was at par with 2 % 19:19:19 spray. Similar findings were also observed by Rajesh (2011) and Mukund *et al.*, (2014) in pigeon pea.

Foliar application of humic acid treatment recorded the highest plant height was obtained from the treatment of leafs (100%) gave a significantly higher plant height than the control and other treatments in common millet (Veysel *et al.*, 2011). The findings are in conformity with the work of Define *et al.*, (2005) in black gram, Patil *et al.*, (2008) in green gram. Han (2011) reported that application of humic acid along with inorganic fertilizer increases the efficiency of inorganic fertilizer which improves the plant growth parameters of crop.

The branches arise from basal nodes of the stem or crown in millets are called as tillers. The rate of production and number of tillers in finger millet are dependent upon nutrient supply. Tillering in millets has considerable capacity to increase the number of tillers per plant under adequate fertilization. The increased tiller production with increased fertilizer may be related to the extra nutrients provided by water soluble fertilizers along with humic acid for the growth of tiller primordial. Higher uptake and recovery of nutrients from the applied nutrients resulted in higher tillering ability of the crop plants (Ragavendra Goud, 2012). Reddy *et al.*, (2018) reported that the highest number of tillers was recorded by the treatment General Recommended Dose of Fertilizer which could be due to better nutrition of crop. The treatment, foliar spray of 2 % urea recorded the highest number of followed by 2 % spray of 19:19:19 which was on par with each other and significantly superior over all other foliar spray treatments and absolute control. Similar results were observed by Rahman *et al.*, (2014) for wheat where maximum number of tillers was recorded with 2 % urea spray. The highest result was obtained when humic acid was applied @ 3 lit ha⁻¹ and the lowest was produced at control (Paksoy *et al.*, 2010).

Yield components

The data on yield parameters like number of fingers, earhead length, number of fingers ear head⁻¹ and thousand grain weight, straw yield and grain yield as significantly influenced by soil and foliar application of water soluble fertilizer and humic acid are presented in table 2. The results indicated that significantly increased the number of ear plant⁻¹(6.7), earhead length (10.74 cm), number of

Table 1: Effect of foliar feeding technique on plant height, number of tillers plant⁻¹ and dry matter production of ragi.

Treatments	Plant height (cm)	Number of tillers plant ⁻¹	Dry matter production
T ₁	58.2	4.1	6424.1
T ₂	109.3	8.1	11342.2
T ₃	65.5	4.7	7088.6
T ₄	94.5	6.9	9887.5
T ₅	116.5	8.7	11918.6
T ₆	72.6	5.2	7753.9
T ₇	87.1	6.3	9212.3
T ₈	79.9	5.8	8515.3
T ₉	101.7	7.5	10561.7
T ₁₀	122.93	9.4	12806.5
S.Ed	3.01	0.25	315.57
CD(P=0.05)	6.32	0.52	663.00
CV	4.05	4.56	4.05

fingers ear head⁻¹ (8.7) thousand grain weight (3.0 gm), straw yield (8206.8 kg ha⁻¹) and grain yield (3376.7 kg ha⁻¹), recorded significantly higher values with soil application of 50% RDN + foliar spray of 50% RDN and P & K through water soluble fertilizer (foliar spray 20 and 40 DAT) + foliar spray of humic acid 0.1% (on 20 and 40 DAT) (T₁₀). Whereas, absolute control (T₁) had the least effect in all the parameters.

Reddy *et al.*, (2018) reported that in finger millet the different foliar sprays, 2 % urea spray has recorded significantly the highest number of fingers finger length and 1000 grain weight however which were at par with 2 % 19:19:19 spray. The grain yield was increased by 31.49 % due to the application of urea spray followed by 19:19:19 spray which has recorded 30.37 % increase in yields. Rahman *et al.*, (2014) has also reported similar results for wheat with 2 % urea spray. The highest and lowest harvest index percent were recorded by treatment absolute control and General Recommended Dose of Fertilizer, respectively. Similar effect of foliar feeding techniques of water soluble fertilizers of plant nutrients on crop production was earlier reported by Srinivasa and Sundari (2004) in black gram, Mallesha *et al.*, (2014) in red gram and Das and Jana (2015) in green gram.

In 2005 and 2006, when the average for over two years was calculated the treatment of humic acid on leafs (100%) gave a significantly higher number of fingers, earhead length, number of fingers ear head⁻¹ and thousand grain weight, straw yield and grain yield than the control in common millet (Veysel *et al.*, 2011). This results was in close proximity with the work of Ertan (2007) in black gram and Patil *et al.*, (2008) in green gram. Suriyalakshmi

Table 2: Effect of foliar feeding technique on number of ears plant⁻¹, earhead length, number of fingers ear head⁻¹, thousand grain weight, grain weight, straw yield of ragi.

Treatments	No. of ears plant ⁻¹	Earhead length (cm)	No. of fingers ear head ⁻¹	1000 grain weight (gm)	Grain yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Straw yield (kg ha ⁻¹)
T ₁	2.7	5.26	3.6	2.8	1093.7	3614.9
T ₂	5.8	9.46	8.1	2.9	3354.0	7293.3
T ₃	3.2	5.85	4.3	2.8	2011.1	4567.2
T ₄	4.9	8.22	6.9	2.9	2933.8	6381.2
T ₅	6.2	10.09	9.4	3.0	3565.3	7749.8
T ₆	3.6	6.43	5.0	2.8	2243.9	5018.4
T ₇	4.5	7.62	6.3	2.9	2706.9	5973.9
T ₈	4.1	7.02	5.6	2.8	2503.5	5473.9
T ₉	5.3	8.83	7.5	2.9	3138.7	6835.4
T ₁₀	6.7	10.74	8.7	3.0	3776.7	8206.8
S.Ed	0.17	0.27	0.27	NS	96.16	201.39
CD (P=0.05)	0.36	0.56	0.57	NS	202.02	423.12
CV	4.48	4.12	5.04	-	4.20	4.01

Table 3: Effect of water soluble fertilizer on nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and sulphur nutrient uptake on ragi.

Treatment details	Nitrogen uptake(kg ha ⁻¹)		Phosphorus uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)		Potassium uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)		Sulphur uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)	
	Straw	Grain	Straw	Grain	Straw	Grain	Straw	Grain
T ₁	10.12	11.16	1.98	3.94	16.27	4.27	2.17	0.87
T ₂	81.68	51.32	7.59	25.16	70.02	30.25	29.57	14.76
T ₃	20.55	21.92	2.79	8.25	24.24	9.25	5.02	2.61
T ₄	57.43	40.49	5.68	18.78	52.96	22.59	19.78	9.68
T ₅	95.32	57.04	8.60	28.88	81.37	34.94	36.42	17.47
T ₆	28.1	26.03	3.41	10.55	30.14	12.12	7.53	3.81
T ₇	46.24	35.46	4.92	15.43	44.46	18.68	14.82	7.31
T ₈	36.68	31.04	4.16	13.02	37.22	15.27	12.99	5.51
T ₉	69.04	45.83	6.56	21.97	61.52	26.37	24.61	11.93
T ₁₀	109.97	63.45	9.68	32.10	93.56	39.66	42.68	20.39
S.Ed	2.17	1.60	0.23	0.91	2.00	0.90	0.81	0.41
CD (p=0.05)	4.56	3.24	0.48	1.92	4.21	1.90	1.71	0.87
CV(%)	4.77	3.35	5.10	6.28	4.79	5.17	5.10	5.36

(2013) reported that increased dose of RDF combined with foliar application of humic acid at 25 and 45 DAS excelled all other treatment combinations by recorded higher grain yield and stover yield in blackgram.

Nutrient uptake

Among the different treatments tried, Soil application of 50% RDN + foliar spray of 50% RDN and 100% P&K through water soluble fertilizer (on 20 and 40 DAT) + foliar spray of humic acid 0.1% (on 20 and 40 DAT) (T₁₀) registered the maximum nitrogen uptake of 109.97 kg ha⁻¹ in straw and 63.45 kg ha⁻¹ in grain at harvest, followed by T₅, T₂, T₉, T₄, T₇, T₈, T₆ and T₃ registered

the nitrogen uptake of straw 95.32, 81.68, 69.04, 57.43, 46.24, 36.68, 28.10 and 20.55 kg ha⁻¹ and nitrogen uptake of grain 57.04, 51.32, 45.83, 40.49, 35.46, 31.04, 26.03 and 21.92 kg ha⁻¹, respectively. The least straw and grain nitrogen uptake was observed in absolute control T₁ (10.12 and 11.16 kg ha⁻¹, respectively).

Among the various treatments combinations, Soil application of 50% RDN + foliar spray of 50% RDN and 100% P&K through water soluble fertilizer (on 20 and 40 DAT) + foliar spray of humic acid 0.1% (on 20 and 40 DAT) (T₁₀) recorded the highest phosphorus uptake by straw and grain of ragi (9.68 and 32.10 kg ha⁻¹

¹, respectively). The treatments next in order were T₅, T₂, T₉, T₄, T₇, T₈, T₆ and T₃ recorded the phosphorus uptake of straw 8.60, 7.59, 6.56, 5.68, 4.92, 4.16, 3.41 and 2.79 kg ha⁻¹ and grain 28.88, 25.16, 21.97, 18.78, 15.43, 13.02, 10.55 and 8.25 kg ha⁻¹, respectively. The lowest straw and grain uptake of phosphorus was observed in control T₁ (1.98 and 3.94 kg ha⁻¹, respectively).

Among the different treatments tried, the soil application of 50% RDN + foliar spray of 50% RDN and 100% P&K through water soluble fertilizer (on 20 and 40 DAT) + foliar spray of humic acid 0.1% (on 20 and 40 DAT) (T₁₀) recorded the highest potassium uptake of 93.56 kg ha⁻¹ in straw and 39.66 kg ha⁻¹ in grain. This treatment was followed by other treatments viz., T₅, T₂, T₉, T₄, T₇, T₈, T₆ and T₃ recorded the potassium uptake of straw 81.37, 70.02, 61.52, 52.96, 44.46, 37.22, 30.14 and 24.24 kg ha⁻¹ and 34.94, 30.52, 26.37, 22.59, 18.68, 15.27, 12.12 and 9.25 kg ha⁻¹ in grain, respectively and in T₁ (absolute control) straw and grain (16.27 and 4.27 kg ha⁻¹), respectively. The sulphur uptake by the ragi at harvest was significantly influenced by the application of NPK, foliar feeding of water soluble fertilizer and humic acid at different levels. The maximum sulphur uptake was recorded under T₁₀ (soil application of 50% RDN + foliar spray of 50% RDN and 100% P&K through water soluble fertilizer (on 20 and 40 DAT) + foliar spray of humic acid 0.1% (on 20 and 40 DAT)) is 42.68 kg ha⁻¹ in straw and 20.39 kg ha⁻¹ in grain. The treatments next order were T₅, T₂, T₉, T₄, T₇, T₈, T₆ and T₃ registered the sulphur uptake of straw in 36.42, 29.57, 24.61, 19.78, 14.82, 12.99, 7.53 and 5.02 and 17.47, 14.76, 11.93, 9.68, 7.31, 5.51, 3.81 and 2.61 kg ha⁻¹ in grain, respectively. The lowest sulphur uptake by straw and grain was recorded in control (2.17 kg ha⁻¹ and 0.87 kg ha⁻¹, respectively).

Improved yield and growth attributes might be interpreted as the manifestation of higher nutrients uptake by the plants. It is known fact that maize is one of the highly exhaustive field crops and N responsive, producing higher biomass per unit of external application. Nitrogen being a structural component of proteins involved in various biological functions. Whereas, phosphorous involved in better development of root systems and enhance the efficiency of nutrient and water uptake by roots. Potassium imparts resistance to major biotic and abiotic stress.

Soil and foliar feeding of water soluble fertilizer and humic acid had significantly influence on uptake of major nutrients by the crop at harvest (Fig. 7 and 8). At harvest, the treatments receiving Soil application of 50% RDN +

foliar spray of 50% RDN and 100% P and K through water soluble fertilizer. (foliar spray 20 and 40 DAT) + foliar spray of humic acid 0.1% (foliar spray 20 and 40 DAT) (T₁₀) recorded significantly higher uptake of N, P and K as compared to other treatments. The treatments receiving soil application of 100 % RDF + foliar spray of WSF at two stages, recorded significantly higher uptake of N, P and K as compare to other treatments. Similar findings revealed Senthil and Kumaresan (2006).

Kalaimani, (2014) stated that RDF and foliar application of humic acid recorded higher NPK uptake in green gram. Babu (2017) reported that increased dose of RDF along with foliar application of humic acid gradually increased the NPK uptake of black gram. The stimulatory effects of humic substances have been directly correlated with the enhanced uptake of macro nutrients, such as nitrogen, phosphorus and sulphur and micro nutrients such as Fe, Zn, Cu and Mn. (Chen *et al.*, 1999). The findings are in conformity with the work of Ayman *et al.*, (2009) in bean, Khaled and Fawy (2011) in corn and Du *et al.*, (2013).

Du *et al.*, (2013) reported that foliar application of small amounts of HA (0.02 %) imparted a positive effect on secondary nutrient uptake by pearl millet.

Conclusion

Finally concluded that, the soil and foliar feeding of nutrients along with water soluble fertilizer and humic acid combined treatment T₁₀ (Soil application of 50% RDN + foliar spray of 50% RDN and 100% P and K through water soluble fertilizer [on 20 and 40 DAT] + foliar spray of humic acid 0.1% [on 20 and 40 DAT]) was significantly superior in performance with respect to growth, yield attributes, quality and nutrient uptake and found to be effective in nutrient uptake by ragi. It can be recommended to farmers to achieve more benefit out of giving ragi as a poor man crop.

References

- Arif, M., M.A. Chohan, S. Ali, R. Gul and S. Khan (2006). Response of wheat to foliar application of nutrients. *J. Agric. Biol. Sci.*, **1(4)**. Available online at: <http://www.arpnjournals.com>. 1106-36
- Ayman, M., EI-Ghamry, Kumar, Abd-EI-Hai and M. Khalid Ghoneem (2009). Amino and humic acid promote growth, yield and disease resistance of faba bean cultivated in clayey soil. *Aus. J. basic applied sci.*, **3(2)**: 731-739.
- Babu, S. (2017). Enhancement of black gram productivity through soil and foliar application of nutrients. Proceedings of 71st The IRES Inter. Conf., Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, 1st- 2nd June 2017.
- Buyukkeskin, T. and S. Akinci (2011). The effects of humic acid

- on above ground parts of broad bean (*Vicia faba* L.) Seedlings under Al³⁺ Toxicity. *Fresenius Environ. Bull.*, **20(3)**: 539-548.
- Chen, Y., C.E. Clapp, H. Magen and V.W. Cline (1999). Stimulation of plant growth by humic substances: Effect on iron availability. In: Ghabbour EA, Davies G (eds.). understanding humic substances: Advanced methods, properties and applications. *R. Soc. Chem. Cambridge, UK*, 255-263.
- Das, S.K. and K. Jana (2015). Effect of foliar spray of water soluble fertilizer at pre flowering stage on yield of pulses. *Agric. Sci. Dig.*, **35(4)**: 275-279.
- Daur, I. and A.A. Bakhshwain (2013). Effect of humic acid on growth and quality of maize fodder production. *Pak. J. botany.*, **45**: 21-25.
- Define, S., R. Tognetti, E. Desiderio and A. Alvino (2005). Effect of foliar application of N and humic acids on growth and yield of durum wheat. *Agron. Sustainable Develop.*, **25**: 183-191.
- Du, Z.Y., Q.H. Wang, F.C. Liu, H.L. Ma, B.Y. Ma and S.S. Malhi (2013). Movement of phosphorus in a calcareous soil as affected by humic acid. *Pedosphere*, **23**: 229-235.
- Erica Strauss (2014). Foliar feed vs Soil Amendment- which fertilizer is better? <https://www.hobbyfarms.com>.
- Ertan Yildirim (2007). Effect of plant growth regulators on growth and yield of blackgram (*Vigna mungo*) at varying levels of phosphorus. *Crop Res.*, **18(1)**: 163-165.
- Han, Z.H. (2011). Apple Cultivation with Dwarf Rootstock and High Density- theory and Practice. Science Press, Beijing. <http://dx.doi.org>.
- Indian Stat. (2017). Statistical year book India. www.mospi.gov.in
- Kalaimani, P. (2014). Productivity enhancement of greengram through soil and foliar application of nutrients. *M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis*, Annamalai Univ., Annamalai Nagar, Tamil Nadu.
- Khaled, H. and H.A. Fawy (2011). Effect of different levels of humic acids on the nutrient content, plant growth and soil properties under conditions of salinity. *Soil & Water Res.*, **6(1)**: 21-29.
- Mallesha, K., Murali and H.R. Sanju (2014). Effect of foliar application of water soluble fertilizer on yield, nutrient uptake and economics of pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* (L.) M.). *Ecol. Environ. conse.*, **20(2)**: 761-764.
- Mukund Gowda, K., A.S. Halepyati, B.G. Koppalkar and S. Rao (2014). Response of pigeon pea (*Cajanas cajan* L. Millsp.) to application of micronutrients through soil and foliar spray of macronutrients on yield, economics and protein content. *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.*, **27(4)**: 460-463.
- Paksoy, M., O. Turkmen and A. Dursun (2010). Effects of potassium and humic acid on emergence, growth and nutrient contents of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.). *Adan Menderes University J. Agric. Faculty.*, **3(2)**: 77-83.
- Patil, S.M., A.V. Kaimar, H.M. Patil and C.B. Gaolwad (2008). Response of potash and foliar spray of cow urine on growth and yield of summer greengram (*Vigna radiata* L.). *Int. J. Agric. Sci.*, **4(2)**: 446-449.
- Raghavendra Goud, B. (2012). Effect of customized fertilizers on the productivity of finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* (L) G.) *M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis*, Univ. Agril. Sci., Bengaluru.
- Rahman, M.Z., M.R. Islam, M.A. Karim and M.T. Islam (2014). Response of wheat to foliar application of urea fertilizer. *J. Sylhet Agril. Univ.*, **1(1)**: 39-43.
- Rajesh, N (2011). Studies on the performance of transplanting and foliar nutrition in red gram. *M. Sc. (Ag.) Thesis*, Tamil Nadu Agric. Univ., Coimbatore.
- Reddy, B.H., A.V. Bulbule, P.N. Gajbhiye and D.S. Patil (2018). Effect of Foliar Application of Plant Nutrients on Growth and Yield of Finger Millet. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.*, **7(3)**: 2203-2209.
- Das, S., R. Khound, M. Santra and D.K. Santra (2019). Beyond Bird Feed: Proso Millet for Human Health and Environment. *Agriculture*, **9**: 64.
- Seifi Nadergholi, M., M. Yarnia and F. Rahimzade Khoei (2011). Effect of zinc and manganese and their application method on yield and yield components of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L. CV. Khomein). *Middle-East J. Sci. Res.*, **8(5)**: 859-865.
- Senthil, V.P. and K.R. Kumaresan (2006). Relative efficiency of controlled release and water soluble fertilizers on the yield and quality of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.). *J. Agron.*, **5**: 519-522.
- Sirinivasa perumal, A.P. and A. Sundari (2004). Response of rice fallow blackgram Cv. ADT 5 to the application of DAP and Phosphobacteria. *Legume Res.*, **27(1)**: 73 – 74.
- SPIC. (2019). <http://spic.in>.
- Suriyalakshmi, G.B. (2013). Enhancement of black gram productivity through humic acid and micronutrient. *M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis*, Annamalai Univ., Annamalai Nagar, Tamil Nadu.
- Tomar, G.S., S.K. Taunk and J.L. Choudhary (2011). Science of crop production part-1 kharif crop. Kushal publication, Varanasi, India, 182–183.
- Saruhan, V., A. Kurvuran and Sevgibabat (2011). The effect of different humic acid fertilization on yield and yield components performances of common millet (*Panicum milliaceum* L.) *Scientific. Res. Essays*, **6(3)**: 663-669.
- Jamal, Z., M. Hamayun, N. Ahmad and F. Chaudhary (2006). Effects of soil and Foliar application of different concentration of NPK and foliar application of (NH₄)₂ SO₄ on different yield parameters in wheat. *J. Agron.*, **5**: 251-256.