



EFFECTS OF GASEOUS OZONE EXPOSURE TIME ON BACTERIAL COUNTS IN RED MEAT

Mohamed Adnan Abed Allah and Dahfer Abed Ali Al-obaidi

Department of Public Health, College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq.

Abstract

This study was carried out at the college of veterinary medicine, University of Baghdad, For three months, The aim of the present study was to investigate effect of Exposure time of ozone (10, 15 and 20) minutes on the beef meat and sheep meat to reduced limited of bacteria on meat, the data obtained revealed the following results: were significant differences ($P < 0.05$) between the means of total bacterial count before exposure of ozone were limited of ranges (5.30 - 5.51) log cfu/g meat and total coliform were ranges (2.2 - 3.4) log cfu/g meat and *psychrophilic* bacterial counts were ranged from 3.1 cfu/g meat to 3.3 log cfu/g meat and were significant differences ($P < 0.05$) of exposure of ozone were significant difference ($p < 0.05$) between the data count, with ozone exposure 10 minutes were reduced total of bacterial count (2- 4.8)cfu/g. Total coliform 1.2 to 1.9 log cfu/g meat and 1.8 to 1.9 log cfu/g to *psychrophilic* bacterial counts and with ozone exposure 15 minutes were decreased the average of total of bacterial count for above 1.2 log, coliform and *psychrophilic* bacterial to zero log cfu/g. and with ozone exposure 20 minutes were decrease the average of total of bacterial count coliform and *psychrophilic* bacterial to zero log cfu/g.

Key words: Ozone, Red meat, Beef meat, Sheep meat, Total bacterial count, Total coliform, Psychrophilic.

Introduction

The red meat and meat products are highly perishable foods and subjected to spoilage speedily, therefore must be stored in the cooling at 4°C inside the refrigerator to increase their keeping quality and protect it from any spoilage (Kondrartowicz *et al.*, 2006). Standard limited of bacteria count 510/g, Coli form 50×10^2 cfu/g, psychrophilic count 10^3 cfu/g (ICOSQC, 1992; USDA, 2003; CFIS, 2004). There were many methods for reduced microorganisms freezing and thawing methods (Ismail *et al.*, 2016), thawing freezing cycle (Al-obaidi, 2016) radiation and ozonation (Mahapatra *et al.*, 2005).

Ozone has a longer half-life in the gaseous state than in aqueous solution therefore used remove residual pesticides and microorganisms such as total bacterial counts total coliform from food products (Chin and Berube, 2005; Midgley and Small, 2006).

Ozone directly in food products involving fish, red meat and chicken meat and its usage in the food industry (Mielcke and Ried, 2004) experimentally extending the shelf life of perishable foods by reducing microbial activity (Rice *et al.*, 2001; Strasser *et al.*, 2002). Manousaridis *et al.*, (2005). Aerobic plate count (APC) (0.7-2.1 log cycle reduction), *Pseudomonas* spp. (0.5-1.1 log cycle

reduction) and producing bacteria (1.1-2.5 log cycle reduction). The application of gaseous ozone minimized or prevented growth of microorganisms on the meat surface treated with gaseous ozone for 10 min at 22-25°C and 15 psi decreased bacteria population by more than 5 log units (Mitsuda *et al.*, 1990; Ölmez and Akbas, 2009; Perry *et al.*, 2011; Twegh *et al.*, 2020).

Different concentrations of ozone at 1.2 and 3 mg for 30s. After ozone treatment as 1.2 mg of breast with skins on and skinless breast, while after ozone treatment as 3 mg for 30s a complete kill (1.2 and 2.5 Log₁₀) was seen for reductions for all other organisms, including 4.3 Log₁₀ reduction in the total viable count (99.995% reduction) Ozone is also a very rapid sterilizing agent: it has been found to work hundreds to thousands of times faster than chlorine in a disinfectant role (Guzel-Seydim *et al.*, 2004; Potts *et al.*, 2011). The effects of gaseous ozone treatment on microbial counts and shelf life of chilled boneless chicken breasts as well as the reduced the levels of aerobic plate count, coliforms reached 7.8×10^5 , 5.5×10^3 (EL dahshan *et al.*, 2013; Muhlisin *et al.*, 2015). Kanaan, (2017) showed that the bacterial counts after carcass treatments for 60 minutes were lower than the bacterial counts after carcass treatments for 30 minutes were

recorded in Baghdad (4, 4 and 3 Log₁₀ /ml). Jegadeeshwar *et al.*, (2017) reported the ability of ozone to inactivate contaminant micro flora on food is variable; in some instances, however, ozone decreased food micro flora more than 5 log units. Karamah *et al.*, (2019) they evaluated parameters were *Escherichia coli*, total aerobic of ozone exposure time (40, 80 and 120 minutes) and the replacement of ozonated water (twice, thrice and no replacement), it was able to disinfect *Escherichia coli* and TBC as much as 1.700 and 9.4×10^8 cfu/g, while on the replacement water every 40 minutes 1,700 and 1.1×10^9 cfu/g. Ayranci *et al.*, (2020), reported Ozone was effective in inactivating microorganisms. Approximately 2.9, 2.3 log reductions were achieved in the counts of total aerobic bacteria. (María *et al.*, 2016; Suryaningsih *et al.*, 2020) used ozone immersion time (control: 5, 10, 15, 20 minutes) reduce bacterial counts total microbial decreasing in 3 log cycles, from 1.7×10^5 cfu / gram to 9.0×10^2 cfu / gram.

This study aims to limit the effective exposure time of ozone for reduction of the microbial load content of red meat.

Material and Methods

Ozone Generation

Ozone is produced from pure oxygen using an ozone generator by Kriss-Tow Multifunction Ozonizer (model GES802). This tool measures 280×205×70mm with a weight of 0.66 kg. The outlet section, is connected by a porous hose and stone made of ore sand with ozone output constructions: 500mgr /hour.

Collection of meat samples

The sample of meat was collected from different regions of Baghdad province (Al-Kargkh/ region) the beef and sheep samples of the study collect from five different regions (Abu Ghraib, Al-Mansour, Al-Khadmyaa, Allawi al Hila and Al-Durra). Number of samples 100 sample (was means 25×4). Storage the samples in the laboratory, in the freezer (-18)°C, before beginning the bacteriological test.

Ozonation of Meat

The process of disinfecting meat with ozone is a simple process. To begin, all you need is your Aqua-6 generator, the meat you are disinfecting, water and a gallon tub.

1. Plug in your Aqua-6
2. Fill tub up with water
3. Place tube and diffuser stone into filling tub
4. Put Aqua 6 on setting 15 and let run for 30 minutes

5. Place meat/poultry into the tube after Aqua 6 finishes run time

6. Let meat/poultry soak for 30 minutes

7. Take meat/poultry out for use.

• Dilution of samples for bacteriological test:

Made the serial dilutions firstly, from an initial 10-1 to 10-6, the bottle dilutions. Content peptone water (1%) 90ml and other bottles content peptone water 9ml this bottles dilution sterilization in autoclave 121°C for 15 minutes, add 10 grams from meat samples to the first bottle dilute content 90ml peptone water to make 101 then tacked 1ml to second bottle to made 102 and then made other dilutions to 10-6.

• Bacteriological tests:

Total bacterial count or total plate count (T.P.C.) described from (A.O.A.C., 1995), after made the dilutions add 1ml from bottle dilution 101 to multiple Petri dish content media culture (nutrient agar) and 1ml from other dilutions to multiple petri dish, then incubate at 37°C for overnight (24 Hour), then count the colony of culture of bacteria ranges (30-300):-

Count of bacteria (CFU)/ gr meat = mean colony culture × dilute factor⁻¹

Total coliform count: described from (A.O.A.C., 1995), Petri dish content, media culture (VRBA) violet red bile agar and 1ml from other dilutions to the multiple petri dish, then incubate at 37°C for overnight (24 Hour), then count the colony of culture of bacteria ranges (15-150):-

Total coli form count (CFU)/ gr meat= mean colony culture × dilute factor⁻¹

Psychrophilic bacterial count (psy.c.) described from (A.O.A.C., 1995), after made the dilutions add 1ml from bottle dilution 101 to multiple Petri dish content media culture (nutrient agar) and 1ml from other dilutions to multiple petri dish, then incubate at 4°C in refrigerator for (3 day), then count the colony of culture of bacteria ranges (30-300):-

Count of bacteria (CFU)/gr meat= mean colony culture × dilute factor⁻¹

Statistically of analysis

The results were analyzed statistically, determining using completely randomized design (CRD). The significance of differences between groups was verified by the Duncan multiple range test; Levels of significance non-significant (ns), $p < 0.05$, using the SAS program (SAS, 2012).

Table 1: Means of total aerobic bacterial count with ozone exposure time (10, 15 and 20) minutes and without ozone in beef meat with (SE±).

| Sam- ples of meat | Region | Freq- uency | Means of total aerobic bacterial count cfu/g meat without ozone | Means of total aerobic bacterial count cfu/g meat with ozone | | |
|----------------------------|----------------|----------------|---|---|------------|--------|
| | | | | 10 min | 15 min | 20 min |
| beef | Abu Ghraib | 5 | 4.4c±0.16 | 3.4c±0.21 | 1.47d±0.17 | 0 |
| beef | Al-Durra | 5 | 5.2a±0.16 | 4.5ab±0.21 | 3.1a±0.17 | 0 |
| beef | Allawi al-hila | 5 | 4.6bc±0.16 | 4.1b±0.21 | 2.6b±0.17 | 0 |
| beef | Al-Mansour | 5 | 4.9ab±0.16 | 4.2b±0.21 | 2c±0.17 | 0 |
| beef | Khadmyaa | 5 | 4.9ab±0.16 | 4.7a±0.21 | 2.5b±0.17 | 0 |
| significant difference | | | P<0.05 | P<0.05 | P<0.05 | NS |

Table 2: Means of total aerobic bacterial count with ozone exposure time (10, 15 and 20) minutes and without ozone in sheep meat with (SE±).

| Sam- ples of meat | Region | Freq- uency | Means of total aerobic bacterial count cfu/g meat without ozone | Means of total aerobic bacterial count cfu/g meat with ozone | | |
|----------------------------|----------------|----------------|---|---|-----------|--------|
| | | | | 10 min | 15 min | 20 min |
| sheep | Abu Ghraib | 5 | 4.7b±0.22 | 4.1b±0.14 | 2c±0.09 | 0 |
| sheep | Al-Durra | 5 | 5.3a±0.22 | 4.8a±0.14 | 2.7a±0.09 | 0 |
| sheep | Allawi al-hila | 5 | 4.9ab±0.22 | 4.5a±0.14 | 2c±0.09 | 0 |
| sheep | Al-Mansour | 5 | 4.9ab±0.22 | 4.2ab±0.14 | 2c±0.09 | 0 |
| sheep | Khadmyaa | 5 | 4.9ab±0.22 | 4.3ab±0.14 | 2.4b±0.09 | 0 |
| significant difference | | | P<0.05 | P<0.05 | P<0.05 | NS |

Table 3: Means of total coliform bacterial count with ozone exposure time (10, 15 and 20) minutes and without ozone in beef meat with (SE±).

| Sam- ples of meat | Region | Freq- uency | Means of total aerobic bacterial count cfu/g meat without ozone | Means of total aerobic bacterial count cfu/g meat with ozone | | |
|----------------------------|----------------|----------------|---|---|--------|--------|
| | | | | 10 min | 15 min | 20 min |
| beef | Abu Ghraib | 5 | 2.7b±0.2 | 1.7bc±0.22 | 0 | 0 |
| beef | Al-Durra | 5 | 3.4a±0.2 | 1.4bc±0.22 | 0 | 0 |
| beef | Allawi al-hila | 5 | 2.7b±0.2 | 1.2c±0.22 | 0 | 0 |
| beef | Al-Mansour | 5 | 3.0ab±0.2 | 1.8ab±0.22 | 0 | 0 |
| beef | Khadmyaa | 5 | 3.0ab±0.2 | 1.9a±0.22 | 0 | 0 |
| significant difference | | | P<0.05 | P<0.05 | NS | NS |

Results and Discussion

The means of total aerobic bacterial count with ozone exposure time (10, 15 and 20) minutes and without ozone in beef meat showed in table 1; there were significant difference (P<0.05) in the average bacterial counts between the region without treat the ozone were ranged from 4.4 cfu/g meat in Abu ghraib to 5.2 log cfu/g meat in al-durra, this results were lower than the standard limits recorded by ICOSQ. The ozone exposure 10, 15 minutes were ranged decrease from 4.7cfu/g meat to 1.4 log cfu/g meat and with ozone exposure 20 minutes were

decreased the average bacterial counts to zero log cfu/g meat.

The means of total aerobic bacterial count with ozone exposure time (10, 15 and 20) minutes and without ozone in sheep meat showed in table 2; there were significant difference (P<0.05) in the average bacterial counts between the region without treat the ozone were ranged from 4.7cfu/g.

Meat in Abu Ghraib to 5.3 log cfu/g meat in al-Durra, this result were lower than the standard limits recorded by ICOSQ. Ozone exposure 10, 15 minutes were ranged decrease from 4.8cfu/g meat to 2 log cfu/g meat and with ozone exposure 20 minutes were decreased the average bacterial counts to zero log cfu/g meat.

The means of total coliform bacterial count with ozone exposure time (10, 15 and 20) minutes and without ozone in beef meat showed in table 3; there were significant difference (P<0.05) in the average coliform counts between the region without treat the ozone were ranged from 2.7cfu/g meat in Abu Ghraib and Allawi to 3.4 log cfu/g meat in al-Durra. While with ozone exposure 10 minutes were ranged 1.2 to 1.9 log cfu/g meat and with ozone exposure 15, 20 minutes were decreased the average of coliform counts to zero log cfu/g meat.

The means of total coliform bacterial count with ozone exposure time (10, 15 and 20) minutes and without ozone in sheep meat showed in table 4; there were significant

difference (P<0.05) in the average of coliform counts between the region without treat the ozone were ranged from 2.2cfu/g meat in Abu Ghraib to 3.1 log cfu/g meat in al- Khadmyaa. While with ozone exposure 10 minutes were ranged 1.4 to 1.9 log cfu/g meat and with ozone exposure 15, 20 minutes were decreased the average bacterial counts to zero log cfu/g meat.

The means of *psychrophilic* bacterial count with ozone exposure time (10, 15 and 20) minutes and without ozone in beef meat showed in table 5; there were no significant difference (N.S) in the average *psychrophilic*

Table 4: Means of total coliform bacterial count with ozone exposure time (10, 15 and 20) minutes and without ozone in sheep meat with (SE±).

| Samples of meat | Region | Frequency | Means of total aerobic bacterial count cfu/g meat without ozone | Means of total aerobic bacterial count cfu/g meat with ozone | | |
|------------------------|----------------|-----------|---|--|--------|--------|
| | | | | 10 min | 15 min | 20 min |
| sheep | Abu Ghraib | 5 | 2.2b±0.30 | 1.9a±0.19 | 0 | 0 |
| sheep | Al-Durra | 5 | 3.0a±0.30 | 1.4ab±0.19 | 0 | 0 |
| sheep | Allawi al-hila | 5 | 2.3b±0.30 | 1.4b±0.19 | 0 | 0 |
| sheep | Al-Mansour | 5 | 2.5ab±0.30 | 1.4b±0.19 | 0 | 0 |
| sheep | Khadmyaa | 5 | 3.1a±0.30 | 1.6ab±0.19 | 0 | 0 |
| significant difference | | | P<0.05 | P<0.05 | NS | NS |

Table 5: Means of psychrophilic bacterial count with ozone exposure time (10, 15 and 20) minutes and without ozone in beef meat with (SE±).

| Samples of meat | Region | Frequency | Means of total aerobic bacterial count cfu/g meat without ozone | Means of total aerobic bacterial count cfu/g meat with ozone | | |
|------------------------|----------------|-----------|---|--|--------|--------|
| | | | | 10 min | 15 min | 20 min |
| beef | Abu Ghraib | 5 | 3.2a±0.24 | 1.8a±0.23 | 0 | 0 |
| beef | Al-Durra | 5 | 3.1a±0.24 | 1.8a±0.23 | 0 | 0 |
| beef | Allawi al-hila | 5 | 3.1a±0.24 | 1.9a±0.23 | 0 | 0 |
| beef | Al-Mansour | 5 | 3.3a±0.24 | 1.9a±0.23 | 0 | 0 |
| beef | Khadmyaa | 5 | 3.3a±0.24 | 1.9a±0.23 | 0 | 0 |
| significant difference | | | NS | NS | NS | NS |

Table 6: Means of psychrophilic bacterial count with ozone exposure time (10, 15 and 20) minutes and without ozone in sheep meat with (SE±).

| Samples of meat | Region | Frequency | Means of total aerobic bacterial count cfu/g meat without ozone | Means of total aerobic bacterial count cfu/g meat with ozone | | |
|------------------------|----------------|-----------|---|--|--------|--------|
| | | | | 10 min | 15 min | 20 min |
| sheep | Abu Ghraib | 5 | 3.3ab±0.1 | 1.9a±0.2 | 0 | 0 |
| sheep | Al-Durra | 5 | 2.9c±0.1 | 1.7a±0.2 | 0 | 0 |
| sheep | Allawi al-hila | 5 | 3.5a±0.1 | 1.9a±0.2 | 0 | 0 |
| sheep | Al-Mansour | 5 | 2.9c±0.1 | 1.6a±0.2 | 0 | 0 |
| sheep | Khadmyaa | 5 | 3bc±0.1 | 1.9a±0.2 | 0 | 0 |
| significant difference | | | P<0.05 | NS | NS | NS |

bacterial counts between the region without treat the ozone were ranged from 3.1cfu/g meat to 3.3 log cfu/g meat. Ozone exposure 10 minutes were ranged from 1.8 to 1.9 log cfu/g meat and with ozone exposure 15, 20 minutes were decrease the average bacterial counts to zero log cfu/g meat.

The means of *psychrophilic* bacterial count with ozone exposure time (10, 15 and 20) minutes and without ozone in sheep meat showed in table 6; there were significant difference (P<0.05) in the average *psychrophilic* bacterial counts between the region without

treat the ozone were ranged from 2.9cfu/g meat to 3.5 log cfu/g meat. Ozone exposure 10 minutes were ranged from 1.6 cfu/g meat to 1.9 log cfu/g meat and with ozone exposure 15, 20 minutes were decrease the average *psychrophilic* bacterial counts to zero log cfu/g meat.

The results (Table 1) showed the means of total aerobic bacterial without ozone in beef meat there were significant difference (P<0.05) in the average bacterial counts between the region were ranged from 4.4cfu/g meat in Abu Ghraib to 5.2 log cfu/g meat in al-Durra, this results were lower than the standard limits recorded by ICOSQ, (1992). The ozone exposure 10, 15 minutes were ranged decrease from 4.7cfu/g meat to 1.4 log cfu/g meat and with ozone exposure 20 minutes were decreased the average bacterial counts to zero log cfu/g meat.

The results (Table 2) showed the means of total aerobic bacterial count with ozone exposure time (10, 15 and 20) minutes and without ozone in sheep meat showed in table 2; there were significant difference (P<0.05) in the average bacterial counts between the region without treat the ozone were ranged from 4.7cfu/g meat in Abu ghraib to 5.3 log cfu/g meat in al-durra, this results were lower than the standard limits recorded by ICOSQ. Ozone exposure 10, 15 minutes were ranged decrease from 4.8cfu/g meat to 2 log cfu/g meat and with ozone exposure 20 minutes were decreased the average bacterial counts to zero log

cfu/g meat.

The results of this study (Table 1, 2) indicated that the number of surviving bacterial cells represented by cfu. From treated samples with ozonated water were less than from untreated samples. As well as, the number decreased with increasing the exposure time to ozonated water at the same concentration (0.5 ppm), possible explanation could be due to increasing the exposure time lead to longer the contact time of ozone with microorganisms, leading to lower inactivation rate this results agreement with other research (Cardenas *et al.*,

2011; Kanan, 2017; Suryaningsih *et al.*, 2020) reduces bacterial counts.

The results of this study (Table 3, 4) the means of total coliform bacterial count with ozone exposure time (10, 15 and 20) minutes and without ozone in beef and sheep meat there were significant difference ($P < 0.05$) in the average coliform counts between the region without treat the ozone were ranged from 2.2cfu/g meat to 3.4 log cfu/g meat. Ozone exposure 10 minutes were ranged 1.2 to 1.9 log cfu/g meat and with ozone exposure 15, 20 minutes were decrease the average of coliform counts to zero log cfu/g.

The results of this study (Table 5, 6) the means of *psychrophilic* bacterial count with ozone exposure time (10, 15 and 20) minutes and without ozone in beef and sheep meat there were no significant difference (N.S.) in the average coliform counts between the region without treat the ozone were ranged from 3.1cfu/g meat to 3.3 log cfu/g meat. Ozone exposure 10 minutes were reduced 1.8 to 1.9 log cfu/g meat and with ozone exposure 15, 20 minutes were decrease the average of coliform counts to zero log cfu/g.

The results of total coliform bacterial count and *psychrophilic* bacterial count with ozone exposure time (10, 15 and 20) minutes and without ozone in beef and sheep meat (Tables 3, 4, 5, 6) agreement with other research (Patil *et al.*, 2010; Cardenas *et al.*, 2011; Kanan, 2017; Suryaningsih *et al.*, 2020) in that time of ozone reduced microorganisms 99.9%.

Reference

- Abd-Elsahib Twegh, M., K.J. Hamzah, A.M. Jasim and Q.A. Mohammed (2020). Protective role of Vitamin –TPGS to overcome oxidative stress induced by dipping of sheep with cypermethrin. *Plant Archives*, **20(1)**: 1105-1109.
- AL-obaidi, Dahfir A.A. (2016). Effect of thawing cycles on bacteriological and physicochemical on frozen sheep meats. Conference of association of Environmental and Genetics “4th” Egypt /Cairo.
- A.O.A.C. (1995). Official methods of analysis 14th. Association of official Analytical chemists. Washington, DC.
- Ayranci, U.G., O. Ozunlu, H. Ergezer and H. Karaca (2020). Effects of ozone treatment on microbiological quality and physicochemical properties of turkey breast meat. *J. of I. O. Ass.*, **42(1)**.
- CFIS (Canadian Food Inspection System) (2004). Proposed national meat and poultry code, part 12-sampling and testing procedures. 1-69. <http://www.cfis.agr.ca>.
- Chin, A., and P.R. Berube (2005). Removal of disinfection by-product precursors with ozone-UV advanced oxidation process, New York: *Elsevier*, **39(10)**: 2136-2144.
- El-Dahshan, H.A., T.A. Hafez and H.A. El-Ghayaty (2013). Effect of Ozone on Preservation of Chilled Chicken, *Assiut Vet. Med. J.*, **59(136)**: 22-26.
- Jegadeeshwar, H., S. Vijay, S. Shankar, J. Premkumar and T.V. Ranganathan (2017). Review on Utilization of Ozone in Food preservation. Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects: Prem Kumar.
- Kanaan, Manal, H.G (2017). Antimicrobial efficiency of ozonated water As an intervention against food-borne pathogen campylobacter jejuni contaminating chicken meat. Fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree PHD/ University of Baghdad /college of veterinary medicine / Veterinary public health/ food hygiene.
- Karamah, E.F., S.Z. Adi and N. Wajdi (2019). Effect of ozone exposure time and ozonated water replacement to control the quality of chicken meat. *Journal of Physics: Conf. Series* 1295 - 01-2068.
- Kondratowicz, J., I. Chwastowska and P. Matuszewicz (2006). Sensory quality of pork and total microbial count depending on deep-freeze storage time and thawing method. *Veterinarija ir zootechnika*, **33(55)**: 43-46.
- Guzel-Seydim, Z.B., A.K. Greene and A.C. Seydim (2004). Use of ozone in the food industry. *Lebensm.-Wiss. u.-Technol.*, **37**: 453-460.
- ICOSQC (Iraqi control organization system quality central) (1992). Standard tender for fresh beef and buffalo meats (cooling -freezing) chapter 3rd standard limit for bacterial count.
- Ismail A.A., D.A. Al-Obaidi and F.K. Salom (2016). Influence of best thawing method to reduce microbial load in red meats. *The Iraqi Journal of Veterinary Medicine*, **40(1)**: 157-160.
- Mahapatra, A.K., K. Muthukumarappan and J.L. Julson (2005). Applications of ozone, bacteriocins and irradiation in food processing: A review. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.*, **45**: 447-461.
- Manousaridis, G, E.K. Nerantzaki, A. Paleologos, I.N. Tsiotsias, Savvaid and M.G. Kontominas (2005). Effect of ozone on microbial, chemical and sensory attributes of shucked mussels. *Food Microbiology*, **22(1)**: 1-9.
- María, J., C. Ferdaous, Z. Ghilratxe and P. Arnedo (2016). Combined effects of ozone and freeze-drying on the shelf-life of Broiler chicken meat. *LWT - Food Science and Technology*, **68**: 400-407.
- Midgley, J. and A. Small (2006). Review of new and emerging technologies for red meat. Food Science Australia. Meat & Livestock Australia Locked Bag 991.
- Mielcke, J. and A. Ried (2004). Current state of application of ozone and UV for food processing. In proceedings of the food protection international conference, Monte da Caparica, Portugal.
- Mitsuda, H., H. Ominami and A. Yamamoto (1990). “Synergistic effects of ozone and carbon dioxide gases for sterilizing food”. *Proc. Japan. Acad.*, **66**: 68-72.

- Muhlisin, Y.C., H.C. Ji, H. Tae-Wook and K. Sung (2015). Bacterial Counts And Oxidative Properties Of Chicken Breast Inoculated With *Salmonella typhimurium* Exposed to Gaseous Ozone, *Journal of Food Safety*, **35**: 137-144.
- Ölmez, H., and M.Y. Akbas (2009). Optimization of ozone treatment of fresh-cut green leaf lettuce. *Journal of Food Engineering*, **(4)**: 487-494.
- Perry, J.J. and A.E. Yousef (2011). Decontamination of Raw Foods using Ozone- Based Sanitization Techniques. *Annual Rev. food Sci. Technol.*, **2**: 281-298.
- Potts, H.E., D.A. Diver, P.C. Everest and R.D. O'Connor (2011). Plasma decontamination of sealed packages. *Belfast, UK, ICPIG.*, **30(16)**.
- Rice, R.G., D. Graham and C.D. Sopher (2001). Proceeding of the international ozone association pan American group. In Annual conference, advances in ozone technology, California.
- Strasser, J.H., D.M. Graham, J.D. Mannapperuma and R.J. Enzweiler (2002). Membrane Filtration and Ozonation of Poultry Process Water The Southern Conference on Avian Diseases, *43rd Annual Meeting January*, **15**: 157.
- SAS/S TAT (2012). User's Guide. Release 6.03 edition SAS Institute. INC., Cary. NC.
- Suryaningsih, W., L. Supriyono, B. Hariono and M.F. Kurnianto (2020). Improving the quality of smoked shark meat with ozone water technique. *Earth Environ. Sci. Second International Conference on Food and Agriculture*, **411**: 012-048.
- United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) (2003). Purchases of ground beef Items frozen. Washington, DC. 250-254. <http://www.fda.gov/food/science>.